arXiv:0809.0824v1 [math.DG] 4 Sep 2008

Prehomogeneous Affine Representations and Flat Pseudo-Riemannian Manifolds

Oliver Baues [*]
Institut für Algebra und Geometrie
Universität Karlsruhe
D-76128 Karlsruhe

September 4, 2008

Abstract

The theory of flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and flat affine manifolds is closely connected to the topic of prehomogeneous affine representations of Lie groups. In this article, we exhibit several aspects of this correspondence. At the heart of our presentation is a development of the theory of characteristic classes and characters of prehomogeneous affine representations. We give applications concerning flat affine, as well as Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectic affine flat manifolds.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C30, 20G05;
Secondary 11S90, 22E45, 53C50, 57R15, 57R20, 57S30

Contents

1 Introduction **5**
- 1.1 Flat Pseudo-Riemannian and flat affine manifolds 5
  - 1.1.1 Global models and their quotients 5
  - 1.1.2 Completeness and Pseudo-Euclidean space forms 6
- 1.2 Flat Riemannian manifolds 6
- 1.3 Flat manifolds of indefinite signature 7
  - 1.3.1 Structure theory of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds 7
  - 1.3.2 Homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds 8
- 1.4 Overview of the article 9

---

[*] e-mail: baues@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

2 Foundations 11
2.1 The development map and holonomy 11
2.2 (X, G)-manifolds 11
2.2.1 Affine and projectively flat manifolds 12
2.3 Flat manifolds are (X, G)-manifolds 14
2.3.1 Completeness and crystallographic groups 15
2.3.2 The development image of a compact affine manifold . . . 17

3 The group of affinities 17
3.1 Affine vector fields 17
3.1.1 The associative algebra of affine vector fields on a flat manifold 17
3.1.2 Right- and left-symmetric algebras 19
3.2 Development representation of affinities 19
3.3 Affinities of compact volume preserving affine manifolds 21
3.4 Lie groups of isometries 22
3.4.1 Killing vector fields 23
3.4.2 Isometries of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds 25
3.5 Lie groups of symplectic transformations 26
3.5.1 Symplectic vector fields 26
3.5.2 Automorphisms of compact symplectic affine manifolds . 28

4 Homogeneous model spaces 29
4.1 The development map of a homogeneous space 30
4.2 Compact homogeneous affine manifolds 31
4.2.1 Compact homogeneous manifolds with parallel volume . . 31
4.2.2 Pseudo-Riemannian examples 32
4.2.3 Symplectic examples 35
4.3 Holonomy of homogeneous affine manifolds 35
4.3.1 Holonomy of homogenous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds 35

5 Flat affine Lie groups 36
5.1 Left-invariant geometry on Lie groups 36
5.1.1 Left-symmetric algebras 37
5.2 The development map of a flat affine Lie group 37
5.2.1 The boundary of the development image 38
5.2.2 Completeness and unimodularity of flat affine Lie groups 39
5.3 Flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie groups 40
5.3.1 Flat biinvariant metrics on Lie groups 41
5.3.2 Construction of flat biinvariant metric Lie algebras 41
5.4 Étale affine representations 43
5.4.1 The relative invariant for an étale affine representation . . 43
5.4.2 The relative invariant of a simply transitive representation is constant 44
5.4.3 The dual tube representation 46

6 Affinely homogeneous domains 46
6.1 Prehomogeneous affine representations 47
6.2 Some examples 47
6.3 Characteristic map for a prehomogeneous representation 50
6.4 The automorphism group of an affine homogeneous domain . . . 51
6.4.1 Automorphisms of complex affine domains 51
6.4.2 Centralisers of prehomogeneous representations 52
6.5 Tube like domains 53
6.5.1 Complex tube domains 53
6.5.2 Dual tube domains 54
6.6 Pseudo-Riemannian affine homogeneous domains 57
6.6.1 Centralisers of prehomogeneous groups of isometries . . . 57
6.7 Symplectic affine homogeneous domains 58

7 A criterion for transitivity of prehomogeneous representations 59
7.1 Transitivity for prehomogeneous groups 60
7.2 The fundamental diagram 61
7.3 Transitivity of nilpotent prehomogeneous groups 63

8 Characteristic cohomology classes associated with affine representations 63
8.1 Construction of the characteristic classes 63
8.1.1 Alternative construction of the characteristic classes . . . 66
8.2 Significance of the relative classes 66
8.2.1 The character $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ 67
8.2.2 Transitive representations 68
8.3 Affine representations of nilpotent groups 69
8.3.1 Minimal classes for algebraic group actions 70
8.4 Prehomogeneous representations with reductive stabiliser 71
8.4.1 Prehomogeneous domains of reductive algebraic representations 72
8.4.2 The case of étale affine representations 74

9 Compact affine manifolds and prehomogeneous algebraic groups 75
9.1 Holonomy of compact complete affine manifolds 75
9.2 Holonomy of compact volume preserving affine manifolds 76

A Linear algebraic groups 79
A.1 Definition of linear algebraic groups 79
A.2 Structure theory 79
A.3 Orbit closure 80

B Lie algebra cohomology 80
B.1 Definition of Lie algebra cohomology 81
B.2 Relative Lie algebra cohomology 81
B.2.1 Top cohomology group with one-dimensional coefficients . 82

C Invariant measures on homogeneous spaces **83**
C.1 Semi-invariant and invariant measures 83
C.2 The unimodular character of $X = G/H$ 84

1 Introduction

1.1 Flat Pseudo-Riemannian and flat affine manifolds

A Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, <,>)$ is a smooth manifold M which is endowed with a possibly indefinite metric $<,>$ on its tangent bundle TM. We let the expression $s(<,>) = (n_+, n_-)$, $n_+ + n_- = \dim M$, denote the signature of $<,>$. A positive definite metric $<,>$ has signature $(n, 0)$ and is traditionally called a *Riemannian metric*. If the signature of $<,>$ is $(n-1, 1)$, $<,>$ is called a *Lorentzian metric*.

Every Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, <,>)$ has a unique torsion-free connection $\nabla_{<,>}$ on its tangent bundle TM which has the property that the metric tensor $<,>$ is parallel for $\nabla_{<,>}$. The connection $\nabla_{<,>}$ is called the Levi-Civita connection for $<,>$. Given vector fields X, Y, Z on M, the tensorial expression,

$$R_\nabla(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$$

is called the *curvature tensor* for a connection ∇.

The metric $<,>$ is called *flat* if the curvature $R_{\nabla_{<,>}}$ vanishes everywhere on M. Thus, in particular, *flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds* carry a torsion-free and flat connection on their tangent bundle. A manifold M together with a torsion-free and flat connection ∇ is called a *flat affine manifold*. Naturally, flat affine manifolds (M, ∇) share many of their geometric properties with the more restricted class of flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.

1.1.1 Global models and their quotients

There does exist an abundance of examples of simply connected flat Pseudo-Riemannian and flat affine manifolds. Indeed, every open subset U of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, defines a flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold (of any signature), and, every simply connected flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(\tilde{M}, <,>)$ or flat affine manifold $(\tilde{M}, \nabla)$ is obtained by pulling back the flat structures along a local diffeomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{M} \to U$ onto an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

A simply connected Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(\tilde{M}, <,>)$ will be called a *global model space* for flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. By elementary covering theory, every Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, <,>)$ is obtained as a quotient space of a global model $(\tilde{M}, <,>)$ by a *properly discontinuous* group Γ of isometries of $(\tilde{M}, <,>)$. Similarly, any flat affine manifold (M, ∇) is a quotient of a simply connected manifold $(\tilde{M}, \nabla)$ by a group of connection preserving diffeomorphisms.

In principle, the study of flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds breaks into two parts, namely the determination of *interesting* global models $(\tilde{M}, <,>)$ (apart from the standard complete model $\mathbb{E}^s$), and the study of the quotient spaces $(M, <,>)$ which are modelled on $(\tilde{M}, <,>)$.

A particular interesting class of model spaces will be furnished by *homogeneous* flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. These are flat spaces which admit a transitive group of isometries. More generally, *homogeneous flat affine manifolds*, that is, flat affine manifolds with a transitive group of affine transformations constitute a natural class of models.

1.1.2 Completeness and Pseudo-Euclidean space forms

A Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, <,>)$ is called *complete* if *every* geodesic curve for the connection $\nabla_{<,>}$ can be extended to infinity. If the flat manifold $(M, <,>)$ is complete, the *Killing-Hopf theorem* asserts, that the universal Pseudo-Riemannian covering space $(\tilde{M}, <,>)$ for $(M, <,>)$ is *isometric* to the Pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^s = (\mathbb{R}^n, <,>_s)$ of signature $s = s(<,>)$, where $<,>_s$ denotes the standard representative for a scalar product of signature s.

In particular, for fixed signature s, there exists, up to isometry, a unique simply connected *complete model space* $\mathbb{E}^s$ for flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature s.

As another consequence, every complete flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, <,>)$ of signature s is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{E}^s$ by a *properly discontinuous subgroup* Γ of isometries, acting without fixed points on $\mathbb{E}^s$. Such quotient manifolds are also called *Pseudo-Euclidean space forms.*

1.2 Flat Riemannian manifolds

By the Hopf-Rinow theorem (which holds solely in Riemannian geometry), the compactness of a Riemannian manifold implies its completeness. Similarly, the equivalence of metric and geodesic completeness for Riemannian manifolds implies that every homogeneous Riemannian manifold is complete. In fact, every homogeneous flat Riemannian manifold is a quotient of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a group of translations. In particular, every compact flat Riemannian manifold or homogeneous flat Riemannian manifold is a quotient of Euclidean space by a *discontinuous* group of isometries. Thus, the theory of flat Riemannian manifolds concerns mostly the study of complete space forms, and it is roughly equivalent to the study of discontinuous subgroups of the isometry group $E(n)$ of Euclidean space.

The structure of discontinuous subgroups of $E(n)$ is rather well understood, by the famous three *theorems of Bieberbach* [14, 15], dating from around 1910. According to Bieberbach, every discrete subgroup Γ of $E(n)$ is finitely generated and contains an abelian subgroup of finite index. If Γ acts with compact quotient space, then the subgroup of translations is of finite index in Γ. In particular, every compact Euclidean space form is finitely and isometrically covered by a flat torus $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$, where Λ is a lattice of translations. Moreover, Bieberbach proved, that in every dimension n, there exist only finitely many compact flat Riemannian manifolds up to affine equivalence. Thus, the class of compact flat Riemannian manifolds is rather restricted from a topological point of view.

The determination of compact Riemannian space forms and their geometric properties has a long tradition, and remains a subject of geometric and algebraic (it is related to the study of integral representations of finite groups) interest.

Recent contributions concern, for example, isospectrality phenomena [30, 83, 99], and spin structures [29, 92] on flat Riemannian manifolds.

The theory of *almost flat* Riemannian manifolds as developed by Gromov [51], in a sense, extends the theory of Bieberbach to a much more general context. See [51, 26]. Here, the role of flat tori is taken over by compact *nilmanifolds* (these are quotient spaces of nilpotent Lie groups). Incidentally, nilmanifolds also appear (at least conjecturally) as the fundamental building blocks for compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.

1.3 Flat manifolds of indefinite signature

Much of the theory of flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds aims to construct an analogy to the theory of Euclidean space forms. But, as is it turned out, many new phenomena and principle difficulties arise. Many of them constitute still open and difficult research questions.

For example, it is widely believed, that, as in the Riemannian case, only the Pseudo-Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{E}^s$ admit compact quotient manifolds. This conjecture is so far verified only for Lorentzian manifolds, see [27].

Likewise, the determination of simply connected *homogeneous model spaces* $(\tilde{M}, <, >)$, and more generally the determination of all *homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds* of a given signature s, is an unsolved problem.

1.3.1 Structure theory of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

Compactness and completeness A first stumbling stone for a structure theory is created by the general lack of understanding about the relationship of compactness and completeness for flat Pseudo-Riemannian and affine manifolds. In fact, although there are known examples of compact (non flat) Lorentzian manifolds, which are incomplete, it is expected ("*Conjecture of Markus*") that, as in the Riemannian case, a flat compact Pseudo-Riemannian manifold is indeed also complete. This conjecture was proved by Carriére [27] for the case of flat Lorentzian manifolds. But it remains unverified for flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of arbitrary signature.

More generally, the *Markus conjecture* (attributed to [80]) suggests that an orientable compact affinely flat manifold is complete if and only if it admits a *parallel volume*. Beside the result of Carriére, this conjecture is verified only in a few special cases. For example, *compact homogeneous* affine manifolds satisfy Markus' conjecture.

Note that, by dividing out a cyclic group of linear dilatations on the affine space with the point 0 removed, one constructs a simple example of a compact affinely flat and incomplete manifold. In general, the theory of compact flat affine manifolds is considered as wild and possibly untractable, although some results on the topology of such manifolds have been obtained in low dimensions. See [100] for wild examples.

Group theoretic structure of the fundamental group Assuming completeness, new osbtacles appear to generalise Bieberbach's theory of discontinuous Euclidean groups and Euclidean crystallographic groups to a theory of affine crystallographic groups. These concern the group theoretic structure of the fundamental group of a complete Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Conjecturally, ("*Auslander's conjecture*"), an affine crystallographic group has a solvable subgroup of finite index. The conjecture arose from a paper [4] of Louis Auslander, which contained a flawed proof of the even stronger claim that every finitely generated discontinuous subgroup of affine transformations has a solvable subgroup of finite index.

The solvable group replaces the finite index abelian subgroup in the Euclidean case. In this sense, the *Auslander conjecture* serves as a weak analogue to Bieberbach's first theorem. Auslander's conjecture is verified in low dimensions, in the Lorentzian case, and in some other cases (see [1] for a survey). But it remains one of the main open questions of the subject.

Also, if the assumption of compactness is dropped, examples of flat complete three dimensional Lorentzian manifolds with a free non-abelian fundamental group (cf. [31, 79]) give a counter example to the original claim of Auslander. In this case, the analogy with the Euclidean theory breaks down.

The classification theory of Pseudo-Euclidean space forms Assuming completeness and solvability of the fundamental group, new difficulties and phenomena arise for a possible classification program. For instance, the finitess part of the Bieberbach theory breaks down, as well. But it admits a weak and rather subtly defined replacement, as is described in [50].

The main achievement of the theory, so far, gives a rather precise and strong link of the theory of Pseudo-Euclidean and affine crystallographic groups with the theory of *left-invariant* flat Pseudo-Riemannian metrics on Lie groups. (See [40, 50] for an exposition.) For example, a classification theory of compact flat Lorentzian manifolds is developed in [6, 39, 48, 49, 52].

However, despite the achievements in the Lorentzian case, the structure theory for compact complete flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds remains widely open.

1.3.2 Homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

Unlike the Riemannian case, a homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifold need *not* be complete. The simplest example of a *non-complete* homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold is an open orbit of the two-dimensional non-abelian solvable simply connected Lie group in $\mathbb{E}^{1,1}$ (see [108, §11]). In general, the classification of non-complete homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds is not fully understood.

Only if the additional assumption of compactness is made then homogeneity implies completeness for Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (in fact, even without the assumption of flatness), see [56, 82]. Furthermore, in some cases, the group theoretical structure of a homogeneous space is directly linked to its completeness properties. For example, a flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group, more generally a volume preserving flat affine Lie group, is complete if and only if it is unimodular. In this article, we introduce the related new result that a flat affine homogeneous space of a nilpotent Lie group is complete if and only if the action preserves a parallel volume form. In particular, a flat homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifold or a flat symplectically homogeneous affine manifold of a nilpotent group is complete. See section 4 and section 7 for further discussion of these results.

The structure and classification of *complete* homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds is more accessible than the general case. Every Riemannian homogeneous flat manifold is obtained by dividing out a group of translations in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the Pseudo-Riemannian case interesting phenomena do occur.

For example, contrasting the Riemannian case, there exists a large class of (non-compact) complete homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with abelian but *non-translational* holonomy groups. See [107, 111, 112] for a detailed study of this examples.

In general, the holonomy group of a homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold must be a two-step nilpotent group. (See section 4.3.1 for details on this.) Non-abelian fundamental groups occur, in particular, as fundamental groups of *compact* homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. In fact, there exists a large class of compact two-step nilmanifolds, which admit an (essentially unique) homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian metric. The first examples, which are not homotopy equivalent to a torus arise in dimension six. See section 4.2.2 for more details and proofs.

For general homogeneous affinely flat manifolds similar results hold. By [45], the affine holonomy group of a compact affine manifold with parallel volume does not preserve any proper algebraic subsets in affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$. As a corollary a compact homogeneous affine manifold with parallel volume is complete. (An independent proof is given in section 4.2.)

More generally, if a volume preserving homogeneous affine manifold admits a compact Clifford-Klein form then it must be complete (see section 9.2 of this article for further discussion). In particular, if the universal covering of a compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold is homogeneous then it must be complete. It follows that non-complete homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds do not occur as models of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.

1.4 Overview of the article

This article aims to exhibit several aspects, which link the theory of flat affine and Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with the topic of representations of Lie groups and algebraic groups on affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$.

In section 1 we started with an overview on some of the main achievements and open problems in the topic of flat affine and Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.

In the following section 2 we discuss flat manifolds from the point of view of Thurston's theory of locally homogeneous (X, G)-manifolds. Here we introduce our notation, as well as basic definitions and methods.

In section 3, we describe the structure of the group of affinities and of the Lie algebra of affine vector fields on flat affine manifolds. By the development process, these groups are represented as subgroups of the affine group, and relate to certain associative matrix algebras. Compactness poses strong restrictions on the symmetries of volume preserving, in particular, of Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectic affine flat manifolds, which we describe in detail. Similarly, the holonomy groups of flat homogeneous manifolds are determined by the centralisers of prehomogeneous representations. They turn out to be a nilpotent, if the homogeneous manifold is complete.

The following section 4 is devoted to the basic properties of homogeneous affine manifolds. Their automorphism groups develop to prehomogeneous subgroups of the affine group. We show that the Markus conjecture is satisfied for compact homogeneous affine manifolds, and we describe the structure of *compact* homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian, and also of *compact* symplectically homogeneous affine flat manifolds.

We also introduce a result which states that a homogeneous affine manifold of a nilpotent group is complete if and only if the group is volume preserving. In particular, a homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian or symplectic affine flat manifold of a nilpotent group is always complete. The proof of these results depends on methods which are developed in section 7.

In section 5, we review the relationship between the geometry of a flat affine Lie group and the behaviour of its left and right Haar measures. The main result shows that the completeness of a flat affine Lie group is determined by the interaction of its unimodular character with the volume character which is defined by the affine structure. These results build on the study of étale affine representations of Lie groups.

In section 6, we discuss the basic properties of affinely homogeneous domains and of prehomogeneous affine representations of Lie groups.

In the following section 7, we develop a criterion for the transitivity of prehomogeneous affine representations, which extends corresponding results for étale affine representations. The main application shows that every volume preserving nilpotent prehomogeneous group of affine transformations is transitive.

In section 8, we explain how the geometry of invariant measures on an affine homogeneous space and the transitivity properties of its associated prehomogeneous representation are linked by certain naturally defined *characteristic classes* of the affine representation.

In section 9, we study properties of the Zariski closure $A(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the holonomy group of a *compact* affine manifold M. One of the main objectives of the subject is to characterise the groups $A(\Gamma)$ in relationship with the geometric properties of M. An important result of Goldman and Hirsch states that $A(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on affine space if M is a volume preserving compact flat affine manifold. This has strong consequences for the geometry of compact volume preserving flat affine manifolds. We explain some of the applications of this result, and we also explain how its proof relates to the methods developed in the previous sections.

Acknowledgement

I thank Joseph A. Wolf, Vicente Cortés and Wolfgang Globke for their detailed comments, numerous helpful suggestions, and careful reading of a first draft of this article.

2 Foundations

Flat Pseudo-Riemannian and affine manifolds constitute actually a particular class of locally homogenous manifolds. This point of view allows to express many geometric properties of flat manifolds in an elegant and transparent way.

2.1 The development map and holonomy

We start by briefly recalling the fundamental notion of an (X, G)-manifold. For further and more detailed reference on (X, G)-structures, see, for example, [37, 96, 102].

2.2 (X, G)-manifolds

Let X be a homogeneous space for the Lie group G. A manifold M is said to be *locally modelled on* (X, G) if M admits an atlas of charts with range in X such that the coordinate changes are restrictions of elements of G. A maximal atlas with this property is then called a (X, G)*-structure on* M, and M is called a (X, G)*-manifold*, or *locally homogeneous space* modelled on (X, G).

A map Φ between two (X, G)-manifolds is called an (X, G)*-map* if it looks like the action of an element of G in the local charts. If the (X, G)-map Φ is a diffeomorphism it is called an (X, G)*-equivalence*.

Every (X, G)-manifold comes equipped with some extra structure, called the development and the holonomy. Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ denote the universal covering space of the (X, G)-manifold M. We fix $x_0 \in M$. The *development map* of the (X, G)-structure on M is the local diffeomorphism

$$D : \tilde{M} \to X$$

which is obtained by analytic continuation of a local (X, G)-chart of M in x_0. The development map is an (X, G)-map, and, *for any* (X, G)*-equivalence* Φ *of* $\tilde{M}$*, there exists an element* $h(\Phi) \in G$ *such that*

$$D \circ \Phi = h(\Phi) \circ D \; . \tag{2.1}$$

The fundamental group $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M, x_0)$ acts on $\tilde{M}$ via covering transformations, which are (X, G)-equivalences of $\tilde{M}$. This induces the *holonomy homomorphism* $h : \pi_1(M, x_0) \to G$ which satisfies

$$D \circ \gamma = h(\gamma) \circ D \; , \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\gamma \in \pi_1(M, x_0)$. After the choice of the development map (which corresponds to a choice of a germ of a (X, G)-chart in x_0), the holonomy homomorphism h is well defined. We note that the (X, G)-structure on M determines the conjugacy class of h under the action of G.

Clearly, the development map already determines the (X, G)-structure on $\tilde{M}$, and specifying a development pair for the action of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ on $\tilde{M}$ is equivalent to constructing an (X, G)-structure on M:

Proposition 2.1 *Every local diffeomorphism $D : \tilde{M} \to X$ which satisfies* (2.2)*, for some $h : \pi_1(M, x_0) \to G$ defines a unique (X, G)-structure on M, and every (X, G)-structure on M arises in this way.*

Properly discontinuous actions Let Γ be a group of diffeomorphisms of a manifold M. Then Γ is said to act *properly discontinuously* on M if, for all compact subsets $\mathcal{K} \subset M$, the set

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. If Γ acts properly discontinuously and freely on M then the quotient space M/Γ is a smooth manifold, and the projection map $\pi : M \to M/\Gamma$ is a smooth covering map. Now if Γ acts by (X, G)-equivalences on an (X, G)-manifold M, then the quotient space M/Γ inherits a natural (X, G)-manifold structure from M. In fact, the development maps of M and M/Γ coincide (as well, as their universal coverings.)

Example 2.1 ((X, G)-space forms) Assume that X is simply connected, and Γ is a group of (X, G)-equivalences of X (that is, Γ is a subgroup of G) acting properly discontinuously and freely on X. Then X/Γ is an (X, G)-manifold, and the identity map of X is a development map for X/Γ.

See [37, 102] for further discussion of (X, G)-geometries and the properties of the development process.

2.2.1 Affine and projectively flat manifolds

The geometry of the Pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^s = (\mathbb{R}^n, E(s))$ is determined by the transitive action of the isometry group $E(s)$ of the standard scalar product of signature s. Similarly the geometry of affine space $\mathbb{A}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{Aff}(n))$ is determined by the action of the full affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$.

Coordinate representation of the affine group We view affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$ as a hyperplane

$$\mathbb{A}^n = \{(x, 1) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. In this setting, the group of affine transformations $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ identifies naturally with a group of linear transformations of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Namely,

$$\mathrm{Aff}(n) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} g & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;\middle|\; g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \right\} ,$$

which is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{R})$. Note that the affine group decomposes as a semi-direct product

$$\mathrm{Aff}(n) = T(n) \rtimes GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \ ,$$

where

$$T(n) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;\Big|\; t \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

is the group of translations of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The natural quotient homomorphism

$$\ell : \mathrm{Aff}(n) \to GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \ , \ A \mapsto \ell(A) = g$$

associates to the affine transformation $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ its *linear part*. The vector $t(A) = t$, is called the *translational part* of A.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$ of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ is

$$\mathfrak{aff}(n) = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;\Big|\; \varphi \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \right\} \ ,$$

which is a Lie subalgebra of the matrix algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n+1,\mathbb{R})$.

Note that the *evaluation map* of the affine action at $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$

$$o_x : \mathrm{Aff}(n) \to \mathbb{A}^n \ , \ \ A \mapsto A \cdot x \ = \ g(x) + t$$

is expressed by matrix multiplication, and so is its derivative at the identity, which is the map

$$t_x : \mathfrak{aff}(n) \to \mathbb{R}^n \ , \ \ X \mapsto X \cdot x \ = \ \varphi(X) + v \ . \tag{2.3}$$

Transitive subgroups of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ We shall also consider various subgroups of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. We let $O(s) = O(<,>_s)$ denote the group of linear isometries of the standard scalar product $<,>_s$ of signature s. The Pseudo-Euclidean isometry group $\mathrm{E}(s)$ is a semidirect product $\mathrm{E}(s) = T(n) \rtimes O(s)$. Thus $\mathrm{E}(s)$ embeds into the affine group as

$$\mathrm{E}(s) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} g & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \;\Big|\; g \in O(s) \right\} \ .$$

The group of volume preserving affine transformations is $\mathrm{SAff}(n) = \{A \in \mathrm{Aff}(n) \mid \ell(A) \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})\}$. The group of symplectic affine transformations is $\mathrm{Aff}(\omega_n) = \{A \in \mathrm{Aff}(2n) \mid \ell(A) \in \mathrm{Sp}(\omega_n)\}$, where ω_n is a non-degenerate skew bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Fixed points for reductive affine actions We call a subgroup G of a linear group *reductive* if every G-invariant linear subspace admits an invariant complementary subspace. The following Lemma is a particular useful fact:

Lemma 2.2 *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a reductive subgroup. Then the affine action of G has a fixed point on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*

Proof. Take a complementary line to the G-invariant subspace $\{(v,0) \mid v \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and intersect with the hyperplane $\mathbb{A}^n$. $\square$

Projective geometry The space of lines through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called real projective space, and it is denoted by $\mathbb{P}^n\,\mathbb{R}$. The projective linear group is

$$\mathrm{PGL}(n,\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{R})/\{\pm E_{n+1}\}$$

acting on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n\,\mathbb{R}$. Via the above coordinate representation, affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$ embeds as an open subset in $\mathbb{P}^n\,\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ embeds as a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(n,\mathbb{R})$. In particular, affine geometry (that is, geometry modelled on $(X,G) = (\mathbb{A}^n, \mathrm{Aff}(n))$), is a subclass of projective $(\mathbb{P}^n\,\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{PGL}(n,\mathbb{R}))$ geometry. Note also that a cone over any $(\mathbb{P}^n\,\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{PGL}(n,\mathbb{R}))$-manifold becomes a $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \mathrm{GL}(n+1,\mathbb{R}))$ manifold. (See [44], for an exposition about projectively flat manifolds, and their relation with affine flat manifolds.)

2.3 Flat manifolds are (X,G)-manifolds

As already remarked, the geometry of the Pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^s$ is determined by the transitive action of its isometry group $E(s)$, and similarly the geometry of affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$ is determined by the action of the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$.

Theorem 2.3 *A flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold* $(M, <,>)$ *is a locally homogeneous space modelled on the standard pseudo-Euclidean space* $\mathbb{E}^s$, $s = s(<,>)$. *A flat affine manifold* (M,∇) *is a locally homogeneous space modelled on affine space* $\mathbb{A}^n$.

Proof. In fact, by flatness of $(M, <,>)$, the exponential map $\exp_p : T_pM \to M$ allows to define an isometry of a neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbb{E}^s$ to a normal neighbourhood in $(M, <,>)$, for every point $p \in M$. This defines a *compatible atlas* for M, where all charts are local isometries, and with coordinate changes in the Pseudo-Euclidean group $E(s)$. Evidently, the analoguous argument also works for a flat affine manifold (M,∇). □

Note that the locally homogeneous $\mathbb{E}^s$-structure on $(M, <,>)$ is uniquely determined by the *compatibility condition* that its charts are local isometries. The *compatible* $\mathbb{A}^n$*-structure* for ∇ is determined by the condition that its charts are affine maps. In particular, we may speak of the development map $D : \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{A}^n$ and holonomy of a flat affine manifold, where D is then a local locally affine diffeomorphism (respectively, $D : \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{E}^s$ is a local isometry, for a flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold).

The traditional point of view (Pseudo-Riemannian metric and flat connection) and the $\mathbb{E}^s$-manifold point of view are completely equivalent. Namely, every locally homogeneous space modeled on $\mathbb{E}^s$ has a unique flat Pseudo-Riemannian metric $<,>$ which turns the local charts of the $\mathbb{E}^s$-structure into local isometries for $<,>$. A map between two flat manifolds $(M, <,>)$ and $(M', <,>')$ is a local isometry if and only if it is a map of $\mathbb{E}^s$-structures, and so on.

Holonomy and parallel transport Let $h : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{E}(s)$ denote the holonomy homomorphism of an $\mathbb{E}^s$-structure on M.

Definition 2.4 The homomorphism h is called the *affine holonomy homomorphism* of the flat manifold $(M, <,>)$. The composition $hol = \ell \circ h : \pi_1(M) \to O(s)$ is called the *linear holonomy* homomorphism.

Recall that the *parallel transport* of a flat manifold $(M, <,>)$ defines a homomorphism $p_x : \pi_1(M, x) \to O(T_xM, <,>_x)$ of the fundamental group of M into the group of linear isometries of the tangent space. The image $p_x(\pi_1(M, x))$ is called the holonomy group of $(M, <,>)$ (at x). The following is easy to see:

Proposition 2.5 *In a local chart for the induced $\mathbb{E}^s$-structure on M, based at $x \in M$, the parallel transport homomorphism $p_x : \pi_1(M, x) \to O(T_xM, <,>_x)$ corresponds to (that is, it is conjugate to) the linear holonomy homomorphism of the $\mathbb{E}^s$-structure.*

Of course, the analogous result holds for a flat affine manifold (M, ∇) and its parallel transport. Note that also the affine holonomy may be interpreted as a parallel transport in a suitable associated bundle over (M, ∇) (cf. [71]). For yet another interpretation of the affine parallel transport, see [26].

Affine structures of type $\mathcal{A}$ We do not need to restrict our attention to the transitive groups $E(s)$ or $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. More generally, we may consider any subgroup $\mathcal{A}$ of the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ to define a flat model geometry. This gives rise to the following notion.

Definition 2.6 A locally homogeneous space modelled on $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{A})$ is called a flat affine manifold of type $\mathcal{A}$.

The holonomy determines if the affine structure group for a flat affine manifold (M, ∇) can be reduced to a subgroup $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$.

Definition 2.7 A flat affine manifold (M, ∇) is called of type $\mathcal{A}$ if (a conjugate) of its affine holonomy homomorphism $h : \pi_1(M) \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ takes image in $\mathcal{A}$.

If (M, ∇) is of type $\mathcal{A}$ then it admits a compatible structure of an $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{A})$ manifold. The definition allows to consider various kinds of geometric flatness conditions for (M, ∇). For example, the groups $\mathcal{A} = S\mathrm{Aff}(n)$, $\mathrm{Aff}(\omega)$, $\mathrm{Aff}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, $UE(2n)$ decribe the concepts of volume preserving, symplectic, complex or Kähler flat affine manifold, respectively.

2.3.1 Completeness and crystallographic groups

Geodesic completeness of a flat affine manilfold is interpreted naturally by its development map.

Theorem 2.8 *A flat affine manifold (M, ∇) is complete if and only if its development map $D : \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{A}^n$ is a diffeomorphism.*

Proof. The development map D is a local affine diffeomorphism. By completeness of $(\tilde{M}, \nabla)$ it is onto $\mathbb{A}^n$. Moreover, geodesics of $\mathbb{A}^n$ admit a lift along any preimage for D. Therefore, D is a covering map. Hence, it must be a diffeomorphism. $\square$

Question 1 *Does there exists a flat affine manifold with development map* D*, which is onto* $\mathbb{A}^n$*, but not a diffeomorphism?*

The characterisation of completeness via the development implies the following:

Corollary 2.9 (Killing-Hopf theorem) *Let* (M, ∇) *be a complete flat affine manifold of type* $\mathcal{A}$*. Let* $\Gamma = h(\pi_1(M)) \leq \mathcal{A}$ *be its affine holonomy group. Then* Γ *acts properly discontinuously and freely on* $\mathbb{A}^n$*, and* M *is* $\mathcal{A}$*-equivalent to the* $\mathcal{A}$*-manifold* $\mathbb{A}^n / \Gamma$*.*

It follows that every complete Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, <,>)$ of signature s, is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{E}^s$ by a properly discontinuous group $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{E}(s)$. Such a manifold

$$M = \mathbb{E}^s / \Gamma$$

is called a *Pseudo-Euclidean space form.*

A complete flat affine manifold (M, ∇) is called an *affine space form.* By Corollary 2.9, the study of affine space forms reduces to the study of properly discontinuous subgroups of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$.

Example 2.2 *Every discrete subgroup* Γ *of the Euclidean group* $\mathrm{E}(n)$ *acts properly discontinuously on* $\mathbb{R}^n$*. If* Γ *is in addition torsion-free then* $\mathbb{E}^n/\Gamma$ *is a complete flat Riemannian manifold.*

In general, if $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{A}$ is properly discontinuous then it is discrete, but the converse may not hold.

Crystallographic groups A uniform discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{E}(n)$ is traditionally called a (Euclidean-) crystallographic group, cf. [106]. This motivates the following

Definition 2.10 A properly discontinuous subgroup $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$, is called an *affine crystallographic group of type* $\mathcal{A}$ if the quotient space $\mathbb{A}^n/\Gamma$ is compact.

Question 2 *Is an affine crystallographic group (up to finite index) contained in the group of volume preserving affine transformations of* $\mathbb{A}^n$*? Or equivalently, has an orientable compact complete affine manifold always a parallel volume form? (This is one direction of Markus' conjecture, see section 1.3.1).*

Note that a *finite volume* flat complete Riemannian manifold is necessarily compact. This is a consequence of the classification of discrete subgroups of the Euclidean group $\mathrm{E}(n)$, as given by Bieberbach, see [106].

Question 3 *Does there exist a non-compact, finite volume complete affine or Pseudo-Riemannian manifold? For example, does a complete flat Lorentzian manifold admit finite volume, without being compact?*

2.3.2 The development image of a compact affine manifold

Let M be a compact affinely flat manifold, $D : \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{A}^n$ its development map. The development image of M is an open domain

$$U_M = D(\tilde{M}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n \ .$$

It is conjectured that M is complete, if M admits a parallel volume (Markus' conjecture). In particular, in this case, the conjecture claims that $D(\tilde{M}) = \mathbb{A}^n$.

Example 2.3 *The development image of an affine two-torus U_{T^2} is one of the four affinely homogeneous domains which admit an abelian simply transitive group of affine transformations, see Corollary 6.6. See also [9] for construction methods of affine structures on T^2, and visualisation of the development process.*

In general, the development image of a compact affinely flat, or projectively flat, manifold can have a very complicated development image. See [100], for the construction of examples.

3 The group of affinities

Let ∇ be a torsion-free connection on M. We put $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \nabla)$ for the group of connection preserving diffeomorphisms of M. Recall (cf. [70]) that $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \nabla)$ is a Lie group.

3.1 Affine vector fields

A vector field X on (M, ∇) is called an *affine vector field* if its local flow preserves the connection ∇. The affine vector fields form a subalgebra $\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla)$ of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Vect}(M)$ of all C^∞-vector fields.

A vector field on M is called *complete* if its local flow generates a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of M. The *complete* affine vector fields on M form a subalgebra $\mathfrak{aut}_c(M, \nabla)$ of $\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla)$. If M is compact or ∇ is complete then $\mathfrak{aut}_c(M, \nabla) = \mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla)$ (see [71, VI, §2]).

Note that the Lie algebra of complete affine vector fields is (anti-) isomorphic to the tangent Lie algebra of (left-invariant) vector fields on $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \nabla)$.

3.1.1 The associative algebra of affine vector fields on a flat manifold

Let (M, ∇) be a flat affine manifold, and let X be a vector field on M. The covariant derivative of X defines an endomorphism field

$$A_X : \ Y \ \mapsto \ -\nabla_Y X$$

on M. Since ∇ is flat, the vector field X is affine if and only if A_X is parallel, that is, X is affine if and only if, for all vector fields Y, Z,

$$\nabla_Z A_X Y = A_X \nabla_Z Y \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, for an affine vector field X on the flat manifold (M, ∇) we have, for all $Y \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$, the relation

$$A_{[X,Y]} = [A_X, A_Y] \ . \tag{3.2}$$

Induced algebra structure on $\mathrm{Vect}(M)$ We declare the product of two vector fields $X, Y \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$ by

$$X *_\nabla Y := A_X Y = -\nabla_Y X \ . \tag{3.3}$$

In terms of the product $*_\nabla$, condition (3.1), that $X \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$ is affine, is equivalent to

$$X *_\nabla (Y *_\nabla Z) = (X *_\nabla Y) *_\nabla Z \ , \tag{3.4}$$

for all $Y, Z \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$.

A short calculation, involving the associativity condition (3.4) shows: if X and X' are affine vector fields then $A_X X' = X *_\nabla X'$ also satisfies (3.4), for all $Y, Z \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$. Hence, $X *_\nabla X'$ is an affine vector field. In particular, *the Lie algebra of affine vector fields* $\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla)$ *is a subalgebra of* $(\mathrm{Vect}(M), *_\nabla)$ *which is associative:*

Proposition 3.1 *The Lie algebra of affine vector fields* $(\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla)$ *forms an associative subalgebra of* $(\mathrm{Vect}(M), *_\nabla)$.

Let us furthermore remark that the centralisers of affine vector fields form a subalgebra in $(\mathrm{Vect}(M), *_\nabla)$:

Lemma 3.2 *Let* $Y \in \mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla)$ *be an affine vector field. Let* $X, X' \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$ *be vector fields on* M*, which centralise* Y*. Then also* $\nabla_X X'$ *centralises* Y*.*

Proof. Using (3.1) for the affine field Y, as well as, $[X, Y] = [X', Y] = 0$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_X X', Y] &= \nabla_{\nabla_X X'} Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X X' \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_{X'} Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X X' \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y X' - \nabla_Y \nabla_X X' \\ &= \nabla_{[X,Y]} X' = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark The fact that $\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla)$ inherits the structure of an associative matrix algebra, has strong consequences, especially in a situation, where

$\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ describes the tangent algebra for the group $\mathrm{Aut}(M,\nabla)$. For example, *homogeneous* affine manifolds, which are compact or complete are naturally related to associative matrix algebras. See, for example, [115] for applications. See also some of the results in section 6 and section 7 for further exploitation of this principle.

3.1.2 Right- and left-symmetric algebras

A bilinear product $\cdot$ on a vector space which satisfies the identity

$$X\cdot(Y\cdot Z)-(X\cdot Y)\cdot Z \;=\; Y\cdot(X\cdot Z)-(Y\cdot X)\cdot Z \tag{3.5}$$

is called a *left-symmetric algebra*. Complementary, a product is called *right-symmetric* if

$$Z\cdot(Y\cdot X)-(Z\cdot Y)\cdot X \;=\; Z\cdot(X\cdot Y)-(Z\cdot X)\cdot Y \quad . \tag{3.6}$$

Both identities naturally generalise the associativity condition for algebras, and, by exchanging factors, right- and left symmetric products are in one to one correspondence. Moreover, as in the associative case, there is an associated Lie product which is defined by

$$[X,Y] \;:=\; X\cdot Y - Y\cdot X \;.$$

The associative subalgebra of elements X which is defined by equation (3.4) is called the *associative kernel* of the right-symmetric algebra.

Example 3.1 *Let* (M,∇) *be a flat affine manifold. As declared in* (3.3)*, the flat torsion-free connection* ∇ *induces an algebra product* $*_\nabla$ *on the Lie algebra* $\mathrm{Vect}(M)$ *of* C^∞*- vector fields on* M*. Note then that* $*_\nabla$ *is a compatible* right-symmetric algebra *product on the Lie algebra* $\mathrm{Vect}(M)$*. The associative kernel of* $(\mathrm{Vect}(M),*_\nabla)$ *is finite dimensional, and it is precisely the subalgebra* $(\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla),*_\nabla)$ *of affine vector fields.*

Algebras satisfying (3.5) appeared and a play mayor role in the study of convex homogeneous cones [105]. Naturally finite dimensional LSAs over the real numbers play an important role in the study of left invariant flat affine structures on Lie groups, see section 5. Left symmetric algebras also appear in several other mathematical and physical contexts, see [25] for a recent survey.

3.2 Development representation of affinities

Let $(\tilde{M},\nabla)$ be the universal covering manifold of (M,∇). We put $\tilde{\Gamma}\leq \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M},\nabla)$ for the the group of covering transformations, and $\Gamma = h(\tilde{\Gamma})$ for the affine holonomy group of (M,∇). The development process provides a local representation of the group of affine transformations $\mathrm{Aut}(M,\nabla)$:

We define

$$\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla) = \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M},\tilde{\Gamma},\nabla) = \{\Phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{M},\nabla) \mid \Phi\,\tilde{\Gamma}\,\Phi^{-1} = \tilde{\Gamma}\}\ .$$

The group $\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla)$ is a covering group of $\mathrm{Aut}(M,\nabla)$, and the development homomorphism (2.1) induces a homomorphism of Lie groups

$$h : \hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla) \to \mathrm{Aff}(n) \tag{3.7}$$

into the affine group $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Note that h has discrete kernel. Moreover, the image $h(\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla))$ normalises Γ, and $h(\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla)^0)$ centralises Γ.

Representation of affine vector fields We study now the tangent representation of the development homomorphism.

Proposition 3.3 *The development defines a a natural faithful associative algebra representation*

$$\bar{h} :\ (\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla), *_\nabla)\ \to\ \mathfrak{aff}(n)\ . \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. We already remarked that $\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ forms an associative algebra with respect to $*_\nabla$). Via local affine coordinates, we can identify the tangent space T_pM with $\mathbb{R}^n$. By the formula $A_{X *_\nabla Y} = A_{A_X Y} = A_X A_Y$, which is deduced from (3.4), the map

$$\bar{h} : X \mapsto\ \phi_{X_p} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{X_p} & X_p \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.9}$$

is easily seen to be a faithful representation of $(\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla), *_\nabla)$ into the associative algebra of $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$ (where the associative algebra structure on $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$ is given by the usual product of matrices). □

The development representation

$$\bar{h} :\ \mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)\ \to\ \mathfrak{aff}(n)\ ,$$

as defined in (3.9), is associated to the local representation h of $\mathrm{Aut}(M,\nabla)$. In fact, on the subalgebra $\mathfrak{aut}_c(M,\nabla)$, the development representation $\bar{h}$ corresponds to the derivative of h. We further remark:

Proposition 3.4 *The representation $\bar{h}$ identifies $\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ with the subalgebra $\mathfrak{aff}(n)^\Gamma$ of Γ-invariant affine vector fields on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*

Proof. If M is simply connected the map $\bar{h} : \mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla) \to \mathfrak{aff}(n)$ is an isomorphism, since affine vector fields may be extended uniquely to all of M from any coordinate patch. For the general case, note that $\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{aut}(\tilde{M},\nabla)^{\tilde{\Gamma}}$ of Γ-invariant affine vector fields on $\tilde{M}$. Since $\bar{h} : \mathfrak{aut}(\tilde{M},\nabla) \to \mathfrak{aff}(n)$ is equivariant with respect to $h : \tilde{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$, $\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ is mapped onto $\mathfrak{aff}(n)^\Gamma$. □

3.3 Affinities of compact volume preserving affine manifolds

Recall that a compact volume preserving affine manifold is conjectured to be complete. Now if (M,∇) is a compact complete affine manifold then the centraliser of the affine crystallographic group $\Gamma = hol(\pi_1(M))$ in $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ is unipotent (see for example [50], or section 9). Since the centraliser of $hol(\pi_1(M))$ is the development of $\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla)^0$, the latter group is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, which is faithfully represented by unipotent matrices. In this section we show that the analogous facts do indeed hold for the affinities of a compact volume preserving affine manifold, without assuming its completeness.

Let $(\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla), *_\nabla)$ denote the Lie algebra of affine vector fields on M with the associative algebra structure induced by ∇. We note:

Proposition 3.5 *Let (M,∇) be a flat affine manifold with parallel volume, which is compact (or with finite volume). Then $(\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla), *_\nabla)$ is isomorphic to an associative algebra of nilpotent matrices. In particular, $\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra.*

Proof. Define the divergence of $X \in \mathrm{Vect}(M)$ relative to the parallel volume μ on M by the formula

$$\mathrm{div} X\, \mu = L_X \mu \ .$$

Since M is compact, by Green's theorem (cf. [71, Appendix 6]) we have,

$$\int_M \mathrm{div} X d\mu = 0 \ , \tag{3.10}$$

and, moreover, since the volume form is parallel, we also have (again according to [71, Appendix 6]),

$$\mathrm{div} X = -\mathrm{trace}\, A_X \ (= \mathrm{trace}\, \nabla_{\bullet} X) \ .$$

In particular, if $X \in \mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ then $\mathrm{div}X$ is a constant function, which implies $\mathrm{div}X = 0$. Thus, via the linear representation $X \mapsto \phi_{X_p}$ of $(\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla), *_\nabla)$ constructed in Proposition 3.3, $\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$ is isomorphic to an associative algebra of linear operators of trace zero. By Lemma 6.13, ϕ_{X_p} is a nilpotent operator, for all $X \in \mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$.

In the finite volume case, we use an analogous argument, noting that (3.10) holds for an affine vector field X on finite volume M as well. □

Corollary 3.6 *Let (M,∇) be a flat affine manifold with parallel volume which is compact (or with finite volume). Then the centraliser of the affine holonomy group Γ of M is a connected unipotent group, which is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla)^0$ under the development.*

Proof. In appropriately chosen coordinates, the homomorphism $\bar{h}$, defined in (3.8), corresponds to the differential of the holonomy representation $h : \hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M, \nabla) \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$. By Proposition 3.3, the tangent Lie algebra of the holonomy image is the associative algebra $\mathfrak{aff}(n)^{\Gamma}$, which is a subalgebra in $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$. By Proposition 3.5, the elements of $\mathfrak{aff}(n)^{\Gamma}$ are nilpotent. If $A \in Z_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\Gamma)$ is an affine transformation which centralises Γ then $A - E_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{aff}(n)^{\Gamma}$. Thus, A is a unipotent affine transformation. It follows that $Z_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\Gamma)$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ consisting of unipotent elements. In particular, $Z_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\Gamma)$ must be connected, and simply connected. It follows that the development homomorphism (3.7),

$$h : \hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M, \nabla)^0 \to Z_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\Gamma)$$

is a covering map onto, and, in fact, it is an isomorphism. □

The following is actually an application of Theorem 9.3.

Corollary 3.7 *Let (M, ∇) be a flat affine manifold with parallel volume, which is compact. If an affine vector field on M has a zero, it must be trivial. In particular,* $\dim \mathrm{Aut}(M, \nabla) \leq n = \dim M$.

Proof. In fact, by Theorem 9.3, the Zariski closure of Γ acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$. This implies that the unipotent group $Z_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\Gamma)$ acts without fixed points on $\mathbb{A}^n$. □

In particular, any locally faithful connection preserving action on a compact volume preserving affine manifold is locally free (the stabiliser of any point is discrete).

3.4 Lie groups of isometries

The group of isometries $\mathrm{Isom}(M, <,>)$ of a Pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a finite-dimensional Lie group, and it is a subgroup of the group of affine (connection preserving) transformations for the Levi-Civita connection of $<,>$. If M is compact and $<,>$ is Riemannian then $\mathrm{Isom}(M, <,>)$ is compact. The identity components of the automorphism groups of compact Lorentzian manifolds are known to be of rather restricted type. (Compare [2, 117, 118]). In fact, by [118], non-compact semisimple factors in the isometry group of a compact Lorentzian manifold are locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, a connected solvable subgroup of isometries of a compact Lorentzian manifold is a product of an abelian group with a 2-step nilpotent group of Heisenberg type (see [117]).

In the presence of a compatible *flat* torsion-free connection, particular strong restrictions hold for the group of isometries of a compact Pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, <,>)$. Proposition 3.5 already implies that the identity component of $\mathrm{Isom}(M, <,>)$ is a nilpotent Lie group. Here we show, more specifically, that, in case $(M, <,>)$ is a compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold, $\mathrm{Isom}(M, <,>)$ is a *two-step nilpotent group* of rather restricted type. If $(M, <,>)$ is a compact flat Lorentzian manifold then the identity component $\mathrm{Isom}(M, <,>)^0$ is abelian and consists of translations only.

3.4.1 Killing vector fields

Let $(M, <,>)$ be a Pseudo-Riemannian manifold and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection for $<,>$. A vector field X on M is called a *Killing vector field* if its flow preserves the metric $<,>$. Equivalently, we have $L_X <,> = 0$. Thus, X is Killing if and only if

$$L_X < U, V > = < [X, U]\, , \, V > + < U \, , \, [X, V] > \, , \tag{3.11}$$

for all vector fields U, V on M. Since $<,>$ is parallel, (3.11) holds if and only if A_X is skew with respect to $<,>$. Namely, (3.11) is equivalent to

$$< \nabla_U X \, , \, V > \; + \; < U \, , \, \nabla_V X > = \; 0 \, . \tag{3.12}$$

Let $\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$ denote the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields for $(M, <,>)$. Since Killing vector fields preserve the Levi-Civita connection, every Killing vector field is affine. We have the inclusion

$$\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>) \subseteq \mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla) \, .$$

Lemma 3.8 *Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$ be Killing vector fields, where Y centralises X. Then*

1. $< \nabla_Y X, X > = \; 0$.
2. $< \nabla_X X, Y > = \; 0$.

Proof. Since Y commutes with X, $\nabla_X Y = \nabla_Y X$. Because Y is Killing, A_Y is skew. Hence,

$$< \nabla_Y X, X > = < \nabla_X Y, X > = - < X, \nabla_X Y > \; .$$

Thus 1. follows. We note next that $L_X < Y, X > = 0$, since $[X, Y] = 0$. Since $<,>$ is parallel, this implies

$$0 = < \nabla_X Y, X > + < Y, \nabla_X X > = < \nabla_Y X, X > + < Y, \nabla_X X > \; .$$

By 1., $< \nabla_Y X, X > = 0$, and, hence, 2. holds. $\square$

Proposition 3.9 *Let X be a Killing vector field whose flow centralises a group G of isometries of $(M, <,>)$, which has an open orbit on M. Then*

1. $\nabla_X X \; = \; 0$.

If $(M, <,>)$ is also flat then:

2. $A_X A_X = 0$.

Proof. The Killling fields Y corresponding to the action of G span the tangent spaces on an open subset of M. Thus, $\nabla_X X = 0$ is a consequence of Lemma 3.8.

If $(M, <,>)$ is flat then A_X is parallel. Therefore,

$$A_X A_X Y = -A_X \nabla_Y X = -\nabla_Y \mathrm{A}_X X = \nabla_Y \nabla_X X = 0 \ .$$

Hence, $A_X A_X = 0$. □

We deduce a few consequences:

Proposition 3.10 *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.9, the following hold, for all Killing vector fields X, X', X'', which are commuting with G:*

1. $[X', X] = -2A_{X'} X = 2A_X X'$.

If $(M, <,>)$ is also flat then:

2. $A_{X'} A_{X''} X = A_{X''} A_{X'} X$.
3. $[[X', X''], X] = 0$.
4. $A_{[X,X']} = [A_X, A_{X'}] = 2A_X A_{X'}$.
5. $A_X A_{X'} A_{X''} = 0$.

Proof. Note that $X + X'$ is Killing and commutes with G. Therefore, by 1. of Proposition 3.9 , $\nabla_{X+X'} X + X' = \nabla_{X'} X + \nabla_X X' = 0$. It follows that $[X, X'] = \nabla_X X' - \nabla_{X'} X = -2A_X X'$. Therefore 1. holds.

Next (using 1.) we note that $A_{X'} A_{X''} X = -A_{X'} A_X X''$. Since $A_{X'}$ is parallel, $-A_{X'} A_X X'' = -A_{A_{X'} X} X''$. We remark that the Killing vector fields centralising G form a Lie algebra with respect to the bracket of vector fields. Thus, by 1. $A_{X'} X$ is a Killing vector field, and also centralises G. Therefore, $A_{X'} A_{X''} X = A_{X''} A_{X'} X$. Thus, 2. holds.

Now $[[X', X''], X] = -2A_{[X', X'']} X = -2(A_{X'} A_{X''} X - A_{X''} A_{X'} X) = 0$ follows. Thus, 3. holds.

Using polarisation, 2. of Proposition 3.9 implies that $A_X A_{X'} = -A_{X'} A_X$. Therefore, $A_{[X,X']} = [A_X, A_{X'}] = 2A_X A_{X'}$. Using these facts, we can compute, $A_X A_{X'} A_{X''} = (A_X A_{X'}) A_{X''} = -A_{X''} A_X A_{X'} = A_X A_{X''} A_{X'} = -A_X A_{X'} A_{X''}$. □

Corollary 3.11 *Let $(M, <,>)$ be a flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold which admits a group G of isometries, which has an open orbit on M. Then*

1. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)^G$ of Killing vector fields on $(M, <,>)$ which centralise G forms a subalgebra of the associative algebra of affine vector fields $(\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla), *_\nabla)$.*
2. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)^G$ is (at most) two step nilpotent.*

The result implies that the centraliser of a prehomogeneous group of isometries on $\mathbb{E}^s$ is a unipotent group, see Corollary 6.25. The main applications concern the automorphism groups of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (see section 3.4.2 below) and the holonomy groups of homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (see section 4.3).

3.4.2 Isometries of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

The following result gives a rough description of the possible connected groups of isometries of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. It is another consequence of Theorem 9.3:

Theorem 3.12 *Let $(M, <,>)$ be a compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold, and ∇ the Levi-Civita connection. Let $X \in \mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$ be a Killing vector field. Then the following hold:*

1. *If X has a zero then $X = 0$.*
2. *$A_X A_X = 0$.*
3. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$ of Killing vector fields on $(M, <,>)$ forms a subalgebra of the associative algebra of affine vector fields $(\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla), *_\nabla)$.*
4. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$ is (at most) two step nilpotent.*

Proof. Let Γ be the holonomy group of $(M, <,>)$. By Theorem 9.3, the Zariski closure $A(\Gamma)$ of the holonomy group acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$. This transitive group of isometries commutes with the development of the Killing vector fields on M. Therefore, X cannot have a zero. Moreover, Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 3.10 imply the next three claims. □

Remark The development homomorphism (3.8), $\bar{h} : \mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla) \to \mathfrak{aff}(n)$, maps the subalgebra $\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$ to an associative subalgebra of $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$, which is contained in $\mathfrak{o}(<,>_s)$. By the theorem, the elements $\bar{h}(X) = \phi_{X_p}$, $X \in \mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$, satisfy the conditions $X_p \notin \operatorname{Im} A_{X_p}$ and $A^2_{X_p} = 0$. Subalgebras of linear maps satisfying both conditions appear in the context of *complete* homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, as well. (See section 4.3.) *Abelian* algebras of this type have been further investigated in [111, 112]. For the construction of non-abelian examples, see section 5.3.2.

On a compact Riemannian manifold with non-positive Ricci curvature, every Killing vector field must be parallel, see [71]. This holds also in the flat Lorentzian case:

Corollary 3.13 *Let $(M, <,>)$ be a compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. If $<,>$ is Riemannian or $<,>$ has Lorentzian signature then every Killing vector field on M is parallel.*

Proof. Let X be Killing. By Proposition 3.12, the linear operator A_X develops to a two-step nilpotent element contained in $\mathfrak{o}(<,>)$. In the Riemannian case $\mathfrak{o}(<,>)$ has no non-zero nilpotent elements. For the Lorentzian case, note that every two-step nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{o}(<,>)$ is zero. This implies $A_X = 0$, in both cases. ☐

In section 5.3.2, we construct a compact flat manifold $(M, <,>)$ of dimension six, with signature $s(<,>) = (3,3)$, and six-dimensional non-abelian algebra $\mathfrak{o}(M, <,>)$.

3.5 Lie groups of symplectic transformations

Let ω be a non-degenerate 2-form on M which is closed. Then (M, ω) is called a *symplectic manifold*. A diffeomorphism of M which preserves ω is called a *symplectomorphism* of (M, ω). In general, the group of symplectomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$ of a symplectic manifold is very large, and it is not a Lie group, even if M is compact. However, if M is compact, there do exist strong restrictions on the finite-dimensional Lie subgroups of $\mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$. For example, Zwart and Boothby [116] proved that a *compact* symplectically homogeneous manifold of a solvable Lie group S is diffeomorphic to a torus T^{2n}. More generally, by Guan's work [53], for any compact symplectic manifold (M, ω), every connected solvable Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$ is 2-step solvable, with a compact adjoint image. Moreover, any finite dimensional connected subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$ is a semi-direct product of a compact group and a group S of the above type.

Let (M, ω) be compact symplectic manifold, which admits a compatible flat affine connection ∇. We show below (cf. Theorem 3.18) that every Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$, which also preserves ∇, is abelian.

Remark The above mentioned restrictions do *not* apply if M is non-compact. For example, there do exist plenty solvable Lie subgroups of $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, not 2-step solvable, which preserve the standard symplectic structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Such examples may be constructed using solvable Lie groups with left-invariant symplectic structure (symplectic Lie groups). See section 6.5.2, for a particular construction method for such groups, which also produces non-solvable examples. Further examples are discussed, for example, in [103].

3.5.1 Symplectic vector fields

A vector field X on (M, ω) is called a *symplectic vector field* if its flow preserves ω. Equivalently, X satisfies $L_X \omega = 0$, which means that

$$L_X\, \omega(U, V) = \omega([X, U], V) + \omega(U, [X, V])\,,$$

for all vector fields U, V on M.

Lemma 3.14 *Let X, Y, Z be symplectic vector fields on (M, ω). Then*

$$\omega(X, [Y, Z]) + \omega(Z, [X, Y]) + \omega(Y, [Z, X]) = 0\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Since $d\omega = 0$, for all vector fields X, Y, Z on M, the relation

$$\begin{aligned} L_X\,\omega(Y,Z) + L_Z\,\omega(X,Y) + L_Y\,\omega(Z,X) + \\ \omega(X,[Y,Z]) + \omega(Z,[X,Y]) + \omega(Y,[Z,X]) \;=\; 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

is satisfied. If X, Y, Z are symplectic, $L_X\omega = L_Y\omega = L_Z\omega = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & L_X\,\omega(Y,Z) + L_Y\,\omega(Z,X) + L_Z\,\omega(X,Y) = \\ & \qquad\qquad - 2\,(\,\omega(X,[Y,Z]) + \omega(Z,[X,Y]) + \omega(Y,[Z,X])\,)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the right hand side in (3.14), the lemma follows. □

Proposition 3.15 *Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold which admits a Lie group $G \leq \mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$, which acts transitively on M. Then every connected Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$, which centralizes G, is abelian.*

Proof. Let X, Y be vector fields on M, whose flows preserve ω, and which centralise G. Let Z be a vector field tangent to G. Since X, Y, Z are symplectic, equation (3.13) holds. Since $[X,Z] = [Y,Z] = 0$, it follows that

$$\omega(Z,[X,Y]) = 0\ .$$

Since G acts transitively on M, the tangent spaces at every point $x \in M$, are spanned by vectors Z_x, where Z is tangent to G. Hence, $[X,Y] = 0$. This proves the proposition. □

The following special case of Proposition 3.15 is well known:

Corollary 3.16 *Let (G,ω) be a symplectic Lie group, where ω is biinvariant. Then G is abelian.*

Symplectic affine vector fields

Let (M,∇,ω) be a flat affine manifold with parallel symplectic form ω. As in the metric case, a vector field X is symplectic if and only if A_X is skew with respect to ω. An affine vector field X on (M,∇) which is also symplectic is called a *symplectic affine vector field*. The symplectic affine vector fields form a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}(M,\nabla,\omega)$ of $\mathfrak{aut}(M,\nabla)$.

The following gives a useful analogue of Lemma 3.8 for the symplectic case:

Lemma 3.17 *Let X be a symplectic affine vector field on (M,∇,ω), and let Y, Z be symplectic affine vector fields which commute with X. Then*

$$2\,\omega(A_X A_X Y, Z) \;=\; \omega(\nabla_X X, [Y,Z])\ . \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation. See [12, Proof of Theorem 9] for a special case. □

3.5.2 Automorphisms of compact symplectic affine manifolds

On a symplectic affine manifold M the group of affine symplectic transformations forms a Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_\omega(M)$. If M is compact, we show that this group must be abelian. In fact, the following holds:

Theorem 3.18 *Let (M, ∇) be a compact flat affine manifold with parallel symplectic structure ω. Then the identity component of the group of symplectic affine transformations is an abelian group which develops to a unipotent subgroup of symplectic affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Aff}(\omega_n)$ be the holonomy group of M. The group of symplectic affine transformations $\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M, \nabla, \omega)^0$ develops onto a subgroup $h(\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M, \nabla, \omega)^0)$ of $\mathrm{Aff}(\omega_n)$ (compare section 3.2). This group centralises Γ, and therefore also the Zariski closure $A(\Gamma) \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$. The Zariski closure $A(\Gamma)$ is a group of symplectic affine transformation which acts transitively on affine space $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$ (by Theorem 9.3). Thus, by Proposition 3.15, $h(\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M, \nabla, \omega)^0)$ must be abelian. Hence, so is $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \nabla, \omega)^0$. □

It follows that a compact affine manifold which is homogeneous under the group of symplectic affine transformations must be diffeomorphic to a torus:

Corollary 3.19 *Let (M, ∇) be a compact flat affine manifold with parallel symplectic structure which is homogeneous for the group of symplectic affine transformations. Then M is diffeomorphic to a torus.*

Let $\mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ denote the Lie algebra of symplectic affine vector fields on M. As shown above, if M is compact then $\mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ is abelian. We further note:

Proposition 3.20 *Let (M, ∇) be a compact flat affine manifold with parallel symplectic structure ω. Then the following hold:*

1. *The Lie algebra of symplectic affine vector fields $\mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ is abelian, and $\dim \mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega) \leq \dim M$.*
2. *For all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$, $A_X A_X Y = 0$.*

Proof. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ is abelian, by Theorem 3.18. Let $\bar{X}$ be the development image of X and Y. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}^n, \omega_n)$ be a symplectic affine vector field on $\mathbb{A}^n$ centralising $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$. By Lemma 3.17, we obtain $\omega_n(A_{\bar{X}} A_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}, Z) = 0$. Since $A(\Gamma)$ acts transitively, the vector fields Z commuting with $\bar{Y}$ and $\bar{X}$ span all tangent spaces. Therefore, $A_X A_X Y = 0$. □

If (M, ∇, ω) is homogeneous then $\mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ forms a subalgebra of the algebra of affine vector fields:

Proposition 3.21 *If M is homogeneous under the group of symplectic affine transformations then*

1. *For* $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$*,* $A_X A_Y = 0$*.*
2. $\mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ *forms a subalgebra of the associative algebra* $(\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla, *_\nabla)$*.*

Proof. Since M is homogeneous, the vector fields $Y \in \mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ span all tangent spaces. Therefore, $A_X A_X = 0$, for all $X \in \mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$. Since $\mathfrak{s}(M, \nabla, \omega)$ is abelian, also $A_X A_Y = 0$. □

Remark The structure and classification of algebras which are appearing in Proposition 3.21 have been investigated in [12].

4 Homogeneous model spaces

Let G be a Lie group, and $H \leq G$ a closed subgroup. Then $X = G/H$ is a homogeneous space for G. If X admits a flat affine connection ∇, which is G-invariant, then (X, ∇) is called a *flat affine homogeneous space.* Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a discrete subgroup, such that the quotient space

$$M = \Gamma \backslash G/H$$

is a manifold. Since ∇ is invariant by Γ, M inherits a flat connection ∇, such that the natural map $(X, \nabla) \to (M, \nabla)$ is an affine covering map. Then M is called a *Clifford-Klein form* for $X = G/H$, and the (simply connected) covering space (X, ∇) is called a global model for (M, ∇).

The Auslander and Markus conjectures suggest a strong relationship between the geometry of a flat affine homogeneous space (X, ∇), and the existence of compact Clifford-Klein forms for X.

The following result a consequence of Theorem 9.3:

Theorem 4.1 *Let* $(X = G/H, \nabla)$ *be a flat affine homogeneous space. If* X *has a compact Clifford-Klein form* (M, ∇) *with parallel volume then* (X, ∇) *is complete.*

In particular, if the universal covering (X, ∇) of a compact volume preserving flat affine manifold (M, ∇) is homogeneous under its group of affine transformations, then (M, ∇) is complete.

In general, it seems difficult to understand the precise conditions on an arbitrary flat affine homogeneous space (X, ∇), which ensure the completeness of (X, ∇). For flat affine Lie groups (G, ∇), a simple characterisation is known (see section 5). An approach to the general problem will be introduced in section 7 and section 8. In particular, we will prove the following result:

Theorem 4.2 *Let* (M, ∇) *be a homogeneous flat affine manifold for a nilpotent Lie group* G*. Then* M *is complete if and only if* G *preserves a parallel volume form on* M*.*

The theorem is a direct consequence of a corresponding result for affine homogeneous domains of nilpotent groups (see section 7.3).

In what follows, we will then mainly investigate *compact homogeneous* affine manifolds. Before doing so, we discuss the development map of a homogeneous space and indicate the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 in section 4.1. Next we provide a structure theorem for volume preserving compact homogeneous affine manifolds in section 4.2.1. This result implies a direct proof (independent of Theorem 4.1) that every compact volume preserving homogeneous affine manifold is complete. As another consequence of the structure theorem, we derive a classification theorem for compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous manifolds. We also show that a compact symplectic homogeneous affine manifold is *diffeomorphic* to a torus. In section 4.3 we further discuss the properties of the holonomy groups of homogeneous manifolds.

4.1 The development map of a homogeneous space

Recall that a group action on $\mathbb{A}^n$ is called *prehomogeneous* if it has a Zariski-dense open orbit. A subset of $\mathbb{A}^n$ is *semi-algebraic* if it is defined by polynomial equations and inequalities. The following is a basic observation:

Proposition 4.3 *Let (M, ∇) be a homogeneous flat affine manifold. Then the development map is a covering map, and its development image is a semi-algebraic subset in $\mathbb{A}^n$. Let G be a group which acts transitively on (M, ∇). Then its universal covering group acts prehomogeneously on $\mathbb{A}^n$ by the development homomorphism.*

Proof. Let G be a Lie group which acts transitively on (M, ∇), and $\tilde{G} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(\tilde{M})$ be a covering group, which lifts the action of G. Then the development $h(\tilde{G}) \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ acts transitively on the development image $U = D(\tilde{M})$. In fact, it follows that $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ is an affinely homogeneous domain. By Proposition 6.8, every affinely homogeneous domain U is a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The development map $D : \tilde{M} \to U$ is a covering map, because it identifies with a covering of homogeneous spaces $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H} \to h(\tilde{G})/L = U$, which is induced by the locally faithful homomorphism $h : \tilde{G} \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1 Since X has a compact Clifford-Klein form with parallel volume, its development image is a homogeneous affine domain U, which is divisible by volume preserving affine transformations. Hence, by Corollary 9.4, $U = \mathbb{A}^n$. Since D is a covering, (X, ∇) must be complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.2 Assume M has a transitive volume preserving action of some nilpotent Lie group. Then the universal covering X of M is a homogeneous space for a nilpotent Lie group N of affine transformations, which preserves a parallel volume form. The development of N preserves the parallel volume on

$\mathbb{A}^n$, and acts prehomogeneously. By Corollary 7.8, the development of N acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$, and therefore $D(X) = \mathbb{A}^n$. Since D is a covering, it must be a diffeomorphism. Therefore, M is complete.

The converse is a direct consequence of Corollary 7.8. $\square$

4.2 Compact homogeneous affine manifolds

Robert Hermann [57] observed that a compact Pseudo-Riemannian manifold which admits a transitive group of isometries must be complete (see [82] for a complete proof).

Example 4.1 *Consider* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ *as an open subset in* $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$. *Then the natural affine strucure on* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ *is invariant under left- and right-translations on the group. As a consequence of a result of Borel [21], there exist cocompact lattices* $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$. *The compact manifold* $M = \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\,\Gamma$ *inherits an affine flat structure, and* $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ *acts as a transitive group of affine transformations on* (M,∇). *Thus* (M,∇) *is a compact affinely homogeneous manifold, which is not complete.*

Markus' conjecture asserts that an (orientable) compact flat affine manifold is complete if and only if it admits a parallel volume form. The conjecture is known to hold for compact affinely homogeneous affine manifolds. (This is proved in [45]. See section 9.2 for further discussion.) We obtain an independent proof in Corollary 4.5 below.

4.2.1 Compact homogeneous manifolds with parallel volume

We have the following structure result for compact homogeneous flat affine manifold with parallel volume:

Theorem 4.4 *Let* (M,∇) *be a compact homogeneous flat affine manifold with volume preserving affine structure. Then the following hold:*

1. (M,∇) *is affinely diffeomorphic to a quotient space of a simply connected nilpotent affine Lie group* (N,∇) *with biinvariant affine structure* ∇ *by a discrete subgroup* $\Gamma \leq N$.
2. (M,∇) *is geodesically complete.*

Furthermore, every parallel tensor field on (M,∇), *which is preserved by the action of* N, *pulls back to a biinvariant (and parallel) tensor field on* N.

Proof. By Proposition 3.5, the group of affinities $\hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla)^0$ develops to a connected unipotent Lie group. Moreover, M is a compact homogeneous space of the simply connected nilpotent Lie group $N = \hat{\mathrm{Aut}}(M,\nabla)^0$. Since N acts almost effectively on M, if follows that $\dim N = \dim M$ (see [78]). Therefore, $M = N/\Gamma$ is a quotient of N by a uniform discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq N$. Pull back

∇ to obtain a left-invariant affine connection ∇ on N, which is right-invariant under the lattice Γ. Since Γ is Zariski-dense in the adjoint representation (see [95]), ∇ is a biinvariant connection on N. (In fact, ∇ is determined by the associative product structure $*_\nabla$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(M, \nabla) = \mathfrak{n}$.) The volume preserving left-invariant connection ∇ on the nilpotent Lie group N is complete. (See section 5.2.2.) Therefore, M is complete. $\square$

Remark By a result of Mostow [87] (see also, [95, 101]), a finite volume homogeneous space of a solvable Lie group is compact. Thus, the above proof also shows that a finite volume homogeneous affine manifold is compact and geodesically complete.

The Markus conjecture holds for compact homogeneous affine manifolds:

Corollary 4.5 *Let (M, ∇) be a compact homogeneous flat affine manifold. Then (M, ∇) is complete if and only if (M, ∇) has parallel volume.*

Proof. If $(M, \nabla$ has parallel volume Theorem 4.4 applies.

Assume now that (M, ∇) is complete. Then, as already remarked in the beginning of section 3.3, $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \nabla)^0$ is a nilpotent Lie group, which develops to a unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, $M = N/\Gamma$, where N is a nilpotent Lie group with complete left invariant affine connection ∇, such that $(N, \nabla) \to (M, \nabla)$ is an affine covering. Since N is nilpotent and ∇ is complete, the left-multiplications on N are volume preserving affine transformations (see section 5.2.2). Since N is nilpotent, also the right-multiplications preserve the left-invariant parallel volume on N. Since $hol(\pi_1(M)) = \Gamma$ is contained in the right-multiplications on N, Γ preserves the parallel volume on N. This shows that (M, ∇) has parallel volume. $\square$

Note also that Theorem 4.4 strengthens the completeness result in [57, 82] considerably, since it is only required that the group of affinities $\mathrm{Aut}(M, \nabla_{<,>})$ acts transitively on M, to ensure completeness.

4.2.2 Pseudo-Riemannian examples

Let $(M, <,>)$ be a homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold, which is compact. Then, by the above, $(M, \nabla_{<,>})$ is complete. Moreover, the following structure theorem holds:

Theorem 4.6 *Let M be a compact (or finite volume) homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then M is isometric to a quotient of a flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group N with biinvariant metric.*

Proof. In fact, by Theorem 4.4, $(M, <,>)$ is isometric to a quotient of a nilpotent flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $(N, <,>)$ with biinvariant metric $<,>$, such that the natural (orbit-) map $N \to M = N/\Gamma$ is a Pseudo-Riemannian covering. $\square$

Theorem 4.6 implies the following strong geometric *rigidity property* for compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds:

Corollary 4.7 *Let M and M' be compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups. Then M and M' are affinely diffeomorphic.*

Proof. As above, we write $M = N/\Gamma$ and $M' = N'/\Gamma'$, where Γ, Γ' are lattices in the simply connected nilpotent Lie groups N and N', respectively. By Malcev-rigidity, cf. [78], every isomorphism $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ extends to an isomorphism of Lie groups $\Phi : N \to N'$. We contend that Φ is an affine isomorphism of metric Lie groups $(N, <,>) \to (N', <,>')$. In fact, both $<,>$ and $<,>'$ are biinvariant metrics, and therefore (see [90, Proposition 11.9]), the Levi-Civita connection ∇ (respectively ∇') is the canonical torsion-free connection on the Lie group N (respectively N'). That is, for left-invariant vector fields X and Y, $\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]$. In particular, it follows that the isomorphism of Lie groups Φ is affine with respect to ∇ and ∇'. Therefore, the induced diffeomorphism $\bar{\Phi} : M \to M'$ is affine. □

In particular, the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ determines the Levi-Civita connection on a compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold M up to affine equivalence.

Example 4.2 *If $\pi_1(M)$ is abelian then $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $M = T^n$ is diffeomorphic to a torus. Moreover, by Corollary 4.7, every homogeneous flat manifold $(T^n, <,>)$ is isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{E}^s$ by a lattice of translations. The affine structure of $(T^n, <,>)$ is the uniquely determined translational structure on T^n.*

Remark In fact, *every* flat metric on the torus T^n *is* homogeneous, and thus isometric to a quotient of $\mathbb{E}^s$ by a lattice of translations. To the contrary, the set of affine equivalence classes of volume preserving complete affine structures on T^n is rather large. See [9, 13] for further discussion.

A nilpotent Lie group N, which acts transitively by isometries on a compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold M is of rather restricted type:

Lemma 4.8 *Let $M = N/\Gamma$ be a compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then N is a two-step nilpotent Lie group.*

Proof. Remark (see [90, loc. cit.]), that the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the biinvariant flat metric $<,>$ on N is computed as, $R_\nabla(X, Y)Z = \frac{1}{4}[X, [Y, Z]]$, for all left-invariant vector fields X, Y, Z. Since $<,>$ is flat, this implies $[X, [Y, Z]] = 0$. It follows that N is two-step nilpotent. □

Note also that every quotient space $M = N/\Gamma$ of a flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group N with biinvariant metric by a discrete subgroup Γ is a homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. In fact, left-multiplication in N induces a transitive isometric action of N on $M = N/\Gamma$.

In section 5.3.1, we will discuss the structure of flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie groups with biinvariant metric in detail. In particular, see Corollary 5.14, we explicitly construct a family of such Lie groups, which gives rise to an interesting class of examples of compact (complete) homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with non-abelian fundamental group. This is summarised in Corollary 4.10 below.

First, we state a few general consequences concerning the classification of *compact* homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds:

Corollary 4.9 *Let M be a compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then the following hold:*

1. *If $\dim M \leq 5$ then M is isometric to a translation torus (that is, M is a quotient of $\mathbb{E}^s$, by a lattice of translations).*
2. *The fundamental group Γ of M is two-step nilpotent.*

The first examples of compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with *non-abelian* fundamental group arise in dimension six. More generally, there is an interesting class of examples, as follows:

Corollary 4.10 *Let N/Γ be a compact two-step nilmanifold (where Γ is a lattice in the two-step nilpotent Lie group N, $n = \dim N$). Then there exists a flat* rank *n torus torus bundle*

$$T^n \to M \to N/\Gamma$$

such that M admits a homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature $s = (n, n)$ with totally geodesic and isotropic fibers T^n.

Proof. Let $A : N \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ denote the coadjoint representation of N on the dual $\mathfrak{n}^*$ of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. By Corollary 5.3.1, the corresponding semidirect product $N \ltimes_A \mathfrak{n}^*$ admits a flat biinvariant Pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature $(n.n)$, $n = \dim M$. Now Γ is a lattice in N, and under the representation A, maps to a finitely generated subgroup of the group of unipotent upper triangular matrices with rational coefficients relative a basis of $\mathfrak{n}$. In particular, $A(\Gamma)$ preserves a lattice $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ in $\mathfrak{n}^*$. The semi-direct product $\Gamma' = \Gamma \ltimes_A \Lambda$ is a lattice in $N \ltimes_A \mathfrak{n}^*$, and the manifold $M = N \ltimes_A \mathfrak{n}^* / \, \Gamma'$ has the required properties. □

In particular, let Γ be a torsion-free finitely generated two-step nilpotent group. Then Γ arises as a quotient $\Gamma = \Gamma'/\Lambda$, where Γ' is the holonomy of a compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold, and Λ is an abelian normal subgroup of Γ', with $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda = \operatorname{rank} \Gamma$.

Example 4.3 *In dimension $n = 6$, there exist up to affine equivalence two classes of compact homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. The six-dimensional translation torus T^6, and torus bundles $T^3 \to M_{6,\Gamma} \to H_3/\Gamma$, where Γ is a lattice in the three-dimensional Heisenberg group H_3.*

4.2.3 Symplectic examples

As noted before, and contrasting the Pseudo-Riemannian situation, only tori admit the structure of a homogeneous symplectic flat affine manifold. However, such a structure is, in general, not affinely equivalent to a translation torus:

Corollary 4.11 *Let M be a compact (or finite volume) homogeneous symplectic flat affine manifold. Then M is a compact abelian flat affine symplectic Lie group. In particular, M is diffeomorphic to a torus.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, (M, ∇) is a quotient of an affine symplectic Lie group N with biinvariant symplectic structure. By Proposition 3.16, N is abelian. (To show that M is a torus, we might have applied the classification results for connected groups of symmetries of compact symplectic manifolds given in [116, 53], as well.) $\square$

Note that the space of affine equivalence classes of flat symplectic connections on the torus T^{2n} is very large. In fact, as is shown in [12], the set of simply connected abelian flat affine symplectic Lie groups forms an algebraic variety of (real) dimension n^3. For the precise classification result and further geometric properties of abelian flat affine symplectic Lie groups, see [12].

4.3 Holonomy of homogeneous affine manifolds

The fundamental group of a homogeneous flat Riemannian manifold is abelian, and its holonomy acts by translations. Starting in dimension five, there do exist complete flat homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with abelian but non-translational holonomy group, see [107]. As Example 4.1 shows, the holonomy of a (compact) homogeneous affine manifold can be far away from being abelian or nilpotent. This example is however a non-complete manifold.

Theorem 4.12 *Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be the holonomy group of a complete affinely homogeneous affine manifold. Then Γ is a unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$.*

Proof. Since M is homogeneous, the development G of $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla)$ acts transitively on the development image of M, which is $\mathbb{A}^n$. Moreover, Γ centralises G. By Proposition 6.15, the centraliser of the transitive group G is a connected unipotent group. In particular, Γ is unipotent. $\square$

In particular:

Corollary 4.13 *The fundamental group of a complete affinely homogeneous affine manifold is nilpotent.*

4.3.1 Holonomy of homogenous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

The above result can be strengthened considerably for homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds even without the assumption of completeness.

Proposition 4.14 *Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{E}(s)$ be the holonomy group of a homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then Γ is a unipotent group, and Γ is nilpotent of nilpotency class at most two.*

Proof. Let $(M, <,>)$ be a homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. The development image of M is a Pseudo-Riemannian domain U in $\mathbb{E}^s$, which is homogeneous with respect to the development G of $\mathrm{Isom}(M, <,>)$. The holonomy group Γ preserves the domain U and is contained in the centraliser of G in the full isometry group $\mathrm{E}(s)$. By Corollary 6.25, the centraliser is a connected unipotent group of nilpotency class at most two. In particular, Γ has this property. □

Remark The proposition leads to a classification theory of flat homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, which are complete. Wolf studied the classification of complete homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with *abelian* holonomy Γ, discovering interesting phenomena, see [107, 111, 112]. In section 4.2.2, we proved the existence of a six-dimensional compact (complete) homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifold with non-abelian holonomy Γ. Moreover, all examples constructed in section 4.2.2 relate to certain two-step nilpotent Lie groups. *It remains to join these results to a full structure theory for complete flat homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds.*

The determination of all homogeneous flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (that is, including non-complete examples) seems not at hand, because of the difficulties associated with the classification of homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian domains. (See section 6.6.)

We mention furher that Proposition 4.14 admits an analogous version for symplectically homogeneous affine manifolds. This is a consequence of Proposition 6.28 below:

Proposition 4.15 *Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Aff}(\omega_n)$ be the holonomy group of a symplectically homogeneous affine manifold with parallel symplectic form. Then Γ is an abelian group of unipotent symplectic affine transformations.*

5 Flat affine Lie groups

We consider a particular tractable class of homogeneous spaces, namely those which admit a *simply transitive* group of equivalences. Many phenomena can be illustrated, and in many cases examples constructed from flat affine Lie groups serve as the basic building blocks of the theory.

5.1 Left-invariant geometry on Lie groups

Let G be a Lie group. Recall that a geometric structure on G is called left-invariant if it is preserved by all left-multiplication maps $l_g : G \to G$, $g \in G$. A connection ∇ on G is called a *left-invariant connection* if, for all $g \in G$,

l_g is a connection preserving diffeomorphism. A Pseudo-Riemannian metric is called left-invariant if all left-multiplications are isometries. More generally, if G has the structure of an (X, A)-manifold then the structure on G is called left-invariant if all left multiplications are (X, A)-equivalences.

Definition 5.1 Let G be a Lie group and ∇ a connection on G. If ∇ is flat affine and left-invariant, then (G, ∇) is called a *flat affine Lie group.* Moreover, ∇ is called a flat left-invariant connection of type $\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$, if (G, ∇) has a compatible *left-invariant* locally homogeneous structure of type $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{A})$.

In the latter situation we shall call the pair (G, ∇) a *flat affine Lie group of type* $\mathcal{A}$. If $<,>$ is a flat left-invariant metric, then $(G, <,>)$ is called a *flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group.*

Example 5.1 *Let* $\nabla_{<,>}$ *be the Levi-Civita connection of a flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group. Then* $(G, \nabla_{<,>})$ *is a flat affine Lie group of type* $E(s)$*.*

5.1.1 Left-symmetric algebras

There is a one to one correspondence of left-invariant flat affine connections ∇ on the simply connected group G, with left-symmetric algebra structures on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of left-invariant vector fields on G. In fact, if X, Y are left-invariant then $\nabla_X Y = -Y *_\nabla X$ is a left-invariant vector field. Hence ∇ defines a left-symmetric product on $\mathfrak{g}$. (Compare section 3.1.2.) The flat connection ∇ is a biinvariant connection if and only if the induced product is associative.

Example 5.2 *Let* N *be a two-step nilpotent Lie group. The Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields* $\mathfrak{n}$ *thus satisfies* $[\mathfrak{n}, [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]] = \{0\}$*. It follows that the canonical torsion-free connection on* N*, defined by*

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] \ , \ X, Y \in \mathfrak{n} \ ,$$

is flat. Therefore, N *is naturally a flat affine Lie group with biinvariant flat connection* ∇*. The bilinear product* $X \cdot Y := \nabla_X Y$ *defines an associative algebra structure on* $\mathfrak{n}$*. (See [93, 94] for more constructions of left-invariant flat connections on nilpotent Lie groups.)*

5.2 The development map of a flat affine Lie group

Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a homomorphism. Recall that ρ is called an *étale affine representation* (see Definition 6.3) if there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the orbit map at x

$$o_x : G \to \mathbb{A}^n, \ \ g \mapsto \rho(g) \cdot x$$

is a local diffeomorphism onto an open subset U of $\mathbb{A}^n$. The representation ρ is *simply transitive* if o_x is a diffeomorphism for one (and, hence, for all) $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$.

Example 5.3 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathcal{A}$ be an étale affine representation, and $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$ such that $o_x : G \to \mathbb{A}^n$ is a local diffeomorphism. By pull back of the standard flat connection on $\mathbb{A}^n$ along o_x, we obtain a flat left invariant connection of type $\mathcal{A}$ on G.*

Moreover, every flat left invariant connection of type $\mathcal{A}$ arises in this way:

Proposition 5.2 *Let G be a simply connected Lie group, and ∇ a a torsion-free flat connection on G. Then the following are equivalent:*

- *∇ is a left-invariant connection of type $\mathcal{A}$.*
- *There exists an étale affine representation $\rho : G \to \mathcal{A}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that ∇ and its compatible $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{A})$-structure are a pullback along the orbit map o_x.*

Moreover, the connection ∇ is complete if and only if ρ is simply transitive.

Proof. Let $D : G \to \mathbb{A}^n$ be any development map for the compatible left-invariant $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{A})$-structure. Let h denote the holonomy homomorphism with respect to D. Since the locally homogeneous structure is compatible with ∇, the map D is also an affine map $(G, \nabla) \to \mathbb{A}^n$. Since the maps $l_g : G \to G$ are equivalences for the locally homogeneous $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{A})$-structure on G, $\rho(g) = h(l_g)$ defines a representation $\rho : G \to \mathcal{A}$.

We put $x = D(1)$. Then, by (2.2),

$$D(g) = D(l_g 1) = \rho(g) D(1) = o_x(g) \ .$$

Thus, the orbit map for ρ at $x = D(1)$ is the development map D. This shows that ρ is an étale affine representation, and the left-invariant $(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{A})$-structure on G is obtained by pullback along o_x. □

5.2.1 The boundary of the development image

An étale affine representation $\rho : G \to \mathcal{A}$, which induces a flat left-invariant connection ∇ of type $\mathcal{A}$, as in Proposition 5.2, will be called a *compatible* étale affine representation for ∇.

Corollary 5.3 *The development map of a flat affine Lie group (G, ∇) is a covering map onto its image, and it is an orbit-map for any compatible étale affine representation of its universal covering group $(\tilde{G}, \nabla)$.*

Proof. Since $D : \tilde{G} \to \mathbb{A}^n$ is a local diffeomorphism and an orbit-map, it is a covering map. □

Let $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the adjoint representation of G. Furthermore, if (G, ∇) is affine we put $\det_\nabla l : G \to \mathbb{R}^{\neq 0}$ for the character which is obtained by the left-action of G on parallel volume forms.

Theorem 5.4 *Let (G, ∇) be a flat affine Lie group. Then the following hold:*

1. *Either (G, ∇) is complete or the development image of (G, ∇) is a connected component of the complement of a non-empty hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n = \dim G$. In particular, the development image is a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.*
2. *Assume that G is simply connected. Then the connection ∇ is complete if and only if $\det \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1} \det_{\nabla} l_g = 1$, for all $g \in G$.*

Proof. In fact, the development image of G is the orbit $\rho(\tilde{G})x$, for a compatible affine étale representation ρ for the induced flat structure on $\tilde{G}$. By section 5.4, $D(\tilde{G}) = \rho(\tilde{G})x$ is a connected component of the open semi-algebraic subset $U_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \delta(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\delta = \delta(\rho)$ is the relative invariant for ρ. This implies 1. Moreover, since D is a covering map, ∇ is complete if and only if D is surjective. If G is simply connected, the volume character of G satisfies $\det_{\nabla} l_g = \det \rho_{\nabla}(g)$, for any compatible étale affine representation $\rho_{\nabla} : \tilde{G} \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Thus 2. follows from Theorem 5.19 below. □

5.2.2 Completeness and unimodularity of flat affine Lie groups

Let (G, ∇) be a flat affine Lie group. If ∇ is complete then, by Proposition 5.2, the universal covering Lie group of G acts simply transitively on affine space. This, more or less, reduces the study of complete affine Lie groups to a study of simply transitive representations on affine space. (See [5], for a seminal paper on simply transitive groups of affine transformations.)

Corollary 5.5 *Let (G, ∇) be a flat affine Lie group. If ∇ is complete then G is a solvable Lie group.*

Proof. By Levi's theorem $\tilde{G}$ splits as a semi-direct product of a semisimple group H and a solvable Lie group S. Now $\tilde{G}$ can not have a reductive Levi-component H since every affine representation of H has a fixed point. Hence, $\tilde{G} = S$ must be solvable. □

Recall that a Lie group G is called *unimodular* if $\det \mathrm{Ad}(g) = 1$, for all $g \in G$. If a flat Lie group (G, ∇) has a left-invariant parallel volume form, we call it *volume preserving.*

Corollary 5.6 *Let (G, ∇) be a volume-preserving flat affine Lie group. Then (G, ∇) is complete if and only if G is unimodular.*

Corollary 5.7 *Let (G, ∇) be a flat affine Lie group, where G is unimodular. Then (G, ∇) is complete if and only if (G, ∇) is a volume-preserving flat affine Lie group.*

Example 5.4 (Semi-simple Lie groups are not affine.) *Let S be a semisimple Lie group. Then S does not admit a flat left-invariant connection.*

For further generalisation, see section 5.4.2.

5.3 Flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie groups

Corollary 5.8 *Let $(G, <,>)$ be a flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group. Then $(G, \nabla_{<,>})$ is complete if and only if G is unimodular. In particular, a unimodular flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group is solvable.*

Example 5.5 *A flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group $(G, <,>)$, with biinvariant metric $<,>$ is complete and G is a two-step nilpotent Lie group. (See section 5.3.1 below.)*

The above corollary already excludes a large class of Lie groups from carrying flat left-invariant metrics:

Example 5.6 *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Since G is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, it has a natural structure of a flat affine Lie group. However, G does not admit a volume preserving flat left-invariant connection. In particular, G does not admit a flat Pseudo-Riemannian metric.*

The latter argument holds for all *reductive* Lie groups G. Here we call Lie group *reductive* if it is an almost semi-direct product of its center and a semisimple Lie group. Such a group is necessarily unimodular. Hence:

Corollary 5.9 *Let G be reductive. Then G does not admit a volume preserving flat left-invariant connection. In particular, G does not admit a left-invariant flat Pseudo-Riemannian metric.*

Remark Similar restrictions, as stated here for the Pseudo-Riemannian case, also hold for symplectic affine Lie groups.

Concerning the existence of flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie groups $(G, <,>)$, where G is not solvable, we observe:

Example 5.7 *Every flat Lorentzian Lie group G is solvable. This is a consequence of the classification of homogeneous domains in $\mathbb{E}^{n-1,1}$. In fact, every such domain is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. (See section 6.6, repectively [35].) Therefore, the universal covering group $\tilde{G}$ of G is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. It can not have a reductive part, since $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}$ does not admit a faithful representation.*

Not every flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group is solvable, as the following example shows:

Example 5.8 *Let $U = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{A}^4$. Then the dual tube domain $\check{T}(U) \leq \mathbb{E}^{4,4}$ is a homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian domain, which has a simply transitive isometric action of the group $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes (\mathbb{R}^4)^*$. (Compare section 6.5.2.)*

Question 4 *Are flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie groups of signature $s = (n-2, 2), (n-3, 3)$ always solvable?*

Milnor [85] gives the classification and structure of simply connected *flat Riemannian Lie groups*. These are automatically complete. In [49] (see also [3] for the case of nilpotent Lie groups) the classification of all simply connected *complete* flat Lorentzian Lie groups is described.

5.3.1 Flat biinvariant metrics on Lie groups

A left-invariant metric $<,>$ on G is called *biinvariant* if the right-multiplications of G are isometries as well. If G is connected, $<,>$ is biinvariant if and only if the induced scalar product $<,>$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is skew with respect to the Lie bracket. That is, $<,>$ is biinvariant iff, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$< [X,Y], Z > \, = \, - < Y, [X,Z] > \ . \tag{5.1}$$

The following fact was already used in the proof of Corollary 4.7:

Lemma 5.10 *Let $(G, <,>)$ be a Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group with biinvariant metric. Then the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla = \nabla_{<,>}$ is the canonical torsion-free connection on G, that is, $\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X,Y]$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, $(G, <,>)$ is flat if and only if G is two-step nilpotent.*

Corollary 5.11 *Every flat Pseudo-Riemannian Lie group with biinvariant metric is complete.*

5.3.2 Construction of flat biinvariant metric Lie algebras

We describe now a method, which allows to construct examples of flat biinvariant metric Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$. This, of course, implies the construction of flat Lie groups with biinvariant metric.

Coadjoint extensions Let $\mathfrak{n}$ denote a Lie algebra, and $\mathfrak{n}^*$ its dual vector space. By the coadjoint representation $\mathrm{ad}^* : \mathfrak{n} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{n}^*)$, $\mathfrak{n}^*$ is a module for $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $\omega \in Z^2(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^*)$ be a two-cocycle (cf. Appendix B). To ω there belongs a *Lie algebra extension*

$$0 \to \mathfrak{n}^* \to \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega} \to \mathfrak{n} \to 0 \ ,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}^*$ is an abelian ideal in $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}$. Put $W = \mathfrak{n}^* \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ for the direct sum of vector spaces. Explicitly, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}$ arises from the Lie product on W, which is declared by

$$[(\lambda, v), (\lambda', v')] = (\mathrm{ad}^*(v)\lambda' - \mathrm{ad}^*(v')\lambda + \omega(v, v'), [v, v']) \ .$$

(See, for example, [77] for the general theory of Lie-algebra extensions.)

Next we define a natural scalar product $<,>_o$ of signature $s = (n, n)$ on W, where $n = \dim \mathfrak{n}$. With respect to $<,>_o$, $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^*$ are dual totally isotropic subspaces under $<,>_o$. Moreover, if $v \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^*$, we put

$$< v, \lambda >_o = \lambda(v) \ .$$

We put $V = \mathfrak{n}$, and we define a three-form $F_\omega \in \bigwedge^2 V^* \otimes V^*$ by putting

$$F_\omega(u, v, w) = < \omega(u, v), w >_o \ .$$

The following lemma is easily verified by direct calculation:

Lemma 5.12 *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be two-step nilpotent, and $\omega \in Z^2(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^*)$. Then the following hold:*

1. *The canonical scalar product $<,>_o$ on $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}$ satisfies* (5.1) *if and only if F_ω is alternating (that is, if $F_\omega \in \bigwedge^3 V^*$).*
2. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}$ is two-step nilpotent, iff, for all $u, v, w, z \in \mathfrak{n}$,*

$$F_\omega(u, [v, w], z) = F_\omega(v, w, [u, z]) \; . \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Note, since $\mathfrak{n}$ is two-step nilpotent, triple commutators in $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}$ satisfy

$$[(\lambda, u), [(\mu, v), (\tau, w)]] = (ad^*_u \omega(v, w) + \omega(u, [v, w]), 0) \; .$$

This proves 2. ☐

Thus we have, in particular:

Proposition 5.13 *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be two-step nilpotent, and $\omega \in Z^2(\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^*)$. If F_ω is alternating and satisfies* (5.2)*, then the metric Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}, <,>_o)$ is flat and $<,>_o$ is biinvariant.*

Proof. Since F_ω is alternating the metric $<,>_o$ is biinvariant. Since , (5.2) is satisfied, $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}$ is two-step nilpotent, and therefore, the biinvariant metric $<,>_o$ is flat. ☐

Split extensions We may always choose $\omega = 0$. In this case, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{n},\omega}$ is the semi-direct product $\mathfrak{n}^* \oplus_{\mathrm{ad}^*} \mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ with its representation space $\mathfrak{n}^*$. Thus, a particular interesting and rich class of examples arises as follows:

Corollary 5.14 *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a two-step nilpotent Lie algebra. Then the metric Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{n}^* \oplus_{\mathrm{ad}^*} \mathfrak{n}, <,>_o)$ is flat with biinvariant metric.*

The corollary shows in particular that the class of Lie algebras with flat biinvariant metrics is as rich as the class of two-step nilpotent Lie algebras.

Twisted extensions with abelian base If $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a}$ is abelian, examples may be constructed using alternating three-forms on $\mathfrak{a}$.

Example 5.9 *Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a}_3$ be the three-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. Let* $\det \in \bigwedge^3 \mathfrak{a}_3^*$ *be a non-degenerate alternating three-form, and define*

$$\omega_{\det}(X, Y) = \det(X, Y, \cdot\,) \; .$$

Then $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{a}_3,\omega_{\det}}, <,>_o)$ is a non-abelian biinvariant flat metric Lie algebra.

The following result states that every flat metric Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$ with biinvariant metric arises in this way:

Theorem 5.15 *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$ *be a flat metric Lie algebra with biinvariant metric. Then there exists an abelian Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{a}$ *and an alternating three-form* $F_\omega \in \bigwedge^3 \mathfrak{a}^*$*, and an abelian Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{z}$ *such that* $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$ *can be written as a direct product of metric Lie algebras*

$$(\mathfrak{g}, <,>) \;=\; (\mathfrak{z}, <,>) \oplus (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{a},\omega}, <,>_o) \; .$$

Proof. We show that it is possible to choose $\mathfrak{n}$ as an abelian Lie algebra, as in the previous example. Note that the commutator subalgebra $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$ is an isotropic ideal in $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$, and its orthogonal complement with respect to $<,>$ is the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. This shows that we can choose an isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{a}$ of $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$, such that there is a direct decomposition of subspaces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$, where $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$. □

Example 5.10 *Let* $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>)$ *be a flat biinvariant metric Lie algebra of dimension six. Then* $\mathfrak{g}$ *is abelian or* $(\mathfrak{g}, <,>) = (\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{a}_3,\omega_{\det}}, <,>_o)$*. In particular, in the second case* $\mathfrak{g}$*, is a semidirect product of the three-dimensional Heisenberg algebra* $\mathfrak{h}_3$ *with its coadjoint representation.*

Remark that a version of Theorem 5.15 already appeared in [109, Proposition 7.5]. A similar result is stated recently also in [28].

5.4 Étale affine representations

Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an étale affine representation, and let $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the corresponding Lie algebra representation.

5.4.1 The relative invariant for an étale affine representation

Choose a basis $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ for $\mathfrak{g}$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let us consider the linear map $\tau_x(\rho) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, which is defined by

$$\tau_x(\rho) : \; (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \longmapsto t_x(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_i)) \; .$$

Here, t_x is the derivative of the evaluation map at x, see (2.3). We easily see that $\tau_x(\rho)$ is an isomorphism if and only if the orbit map $o_x : G \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is nonsingular, that is, if ρ is étale at x.

If $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we put $\rho^A(g) = A\,\rho(g)A^{-1}$. The following formulas are easily verified by direct calculation:

Lemma 5.16 *Let* $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, with* $\ell(A) \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ *its linear part. Let* $g \in G$*, and* $\mathrm{Ad}(g) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ *its adjoint action on the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *of* G*. We put* $B = \rho(g)$*. Then the following hold:*

$$\det \tau_x(\rho^A) = (\det \ell(A)) \det \tau_{A^{-1}x}(\rho)$$

$$\det \tau_x(\rho^B) = (\det \mathrm{Ad}(g)) \det \tau_x(\rho)$$

We define the polynomial function

$$\delta = \delta(\rho) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \ , \ x \mapsto \delta(x) = \det \tau_x(\rho)$$

which (by the above lemma) satisfies the transformation rule

$$\delta(\rho(g)x) \ = \det \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1} \det \ell(\rho(g)) \, \delta(x) \ . \tag{5.3}$$

Definition 5.17 We call $\delta = \delta(\rho)$ the *relative invariant* for the étale affine representation ρ. Its character is the function $\chi = \chi(\rho) : G \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, which is defined as

$$\chi(g) = \det \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1} \det \ell(\rho(g))$$

Note that an open orbit $\rho(G)x$ of an affine étale representation ρ is contained in (and it is actually a connected component of) the open semi-algebraic subset

$$U_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \delta(x) \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \ .$$

By a theorem of Whitney [114], a real semi-algebraic set U_δ has only finitely many connected components in the standard Euclidean topology on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we have proved the following result:

Corollary 5.18 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine étale representation. Then G has finitely many open orbits on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, either G is transitive or each orbit is a connected component of the complement of a hypersurface of degree n.*

Example 5.11 *The list of étale affine representations of Lie groups G, with* $\dim G = 2$*, is contained in Example 6.2.*

The relative invariant δ may also be interpreted in terms of growth of the right-invariant volume on G, relative to a parallel volume on $\mathbb{A}^n$, see Example 9.1.

5.4.2 The relative invariant of a simply transitive representation is constant

The following theorem is actually a direct consequence of Proposition 7.7. For transparency, we provide a direct proof. (For a different proof see [45, 55], [67] for the case of nilpotent groups.)

Theorem 5.19 *Let G be a Lie group, and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine étale representation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *ρ is simply transitive.*
2. *The relative invariant $\delta(\rho)$ is a non-zero constant function.*
3. $\chi(\rho) \equiv 1$.

Proof. Clearly, if $\delta(\rho)$ is non-vanishing, every orbit of G is open. Hence, there can be only one orbit, and $\rho(G)$ is a simply transitive group of affine transformations. Conversely, assume that $\rho(G)$ is simply transitive. Then the relative invariant $\delta(\rho)$ is a nowhere vanishing polynomial function. Let $\mathbf{G}$ denote the Zariski closure of $\rho(G)$ in $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\mathbf{G}$ is a transitive group of affine transformations on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\delta(\rho)$ remains a relative invariant, for some polynomial homomorphism $\chi_{\mathbf{G}} : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, which extends χ. Note that also the unipotent radical $\mathbf{U}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (even simply transitively, see [5]), and the polynomial character $\chi_{\mathbf{G}}$ is trivial on $\mathbf{U}$. Therefore, by formula (5.3), evaluated on $\mathbf{U}$, $\delta(\rho)$ must be constant.

The latter fact clearly implies that $\chi(\rho)$ is constant. Conversely, if $\chi(\rho)$ is constant, by (5.3), $\delta(\rho)$ is constant and non-zero on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since, $\delta(\rho)$ is a polynomial it must be constant. ☐

Remark In the case of an étale affine representation, the relative invariant $\delta(\rho)$ may be identified with the characteristic map $\Phi(\rho) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ (as defined in section 6.3), using an isomorphism $\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* = \mathbb{R}$. See section 8.4 for further discussion, and, in particular, Proposition 8.27.

We call ρ volume preserving if its linear part satisfies $\ell(\rho(G)) \leq \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 5.20 *Let G be unimodular, and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ an étale affine representation. Then G is simply transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$ if and only if $\rho(G)$ is volume preserving.*

Here is an immediate application which shows that a large class of Lie groups does not admit étale affine representations. Consider the connected abelian group

$$H^1(G) = G/[G, G] \,,$$

over which every homomorphism of G to an abelian group factorises.

Corollary 5.21 *If the group $H^1(G)$ is compact then G does not admit an étale affine representation.*

Proof. By our assumption, for every étale representation ρ of G, its character $\chi(\rho)$ must be trivial. Therefore, by the above theorem, ρ must be simply transitive, and G is simply connected solvable. This shows that, in fact, $H^1(G, \mathbb{R}) = \{1\}$, and since G is solvable, G must be trivial. A contradiction. ☐

Example 5.12 *The criterion is satisfied, for example, if*

$$H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \{0\} \,.$$

Hence, no semisimple Lie group admits an étale affine representation. *Also* no compact group *admits an étale affine representation.*

Corollary 5.22 *If the group G is compact then G does not admit an étale affine representation.*

Example 5.13 *Let G be a reductive Lie group with one-dimensional center. Then every étale affine representation ρ of G is linear. Since G is unimodular, $\delta(\rho)$ is a non-zero homogeneous relative invariant polynomial for G of degree n, with non-trivial character* $\det = \chi(\rho)$. *Such representations exist, for example, for $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. There do exist other examples as well. See [8, 25, 68] for further reference on this problem.*

5.4.3 The dual tube representation

The dual tube, as discussed in section 6.5, is a useful tool in the construction of Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectic affine étale representations. This is based on the following simple observation:

Lemma 5.23 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an étale affine representation, with open orbit U. Let $\ell(\rho) : G \to \mathrm{GL}(n)$ denote its linear part. Then the associated semi-direct product Lie group $G \ltimes_{\ell(\rho)^*} \mathbb{R}^n$ has an étale affine representation on $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$, which has the dual tube domain $\check{T}(U)$ as open orbit. Moreover, $G \ltimes_{\ell(\rho)^*} \mathbb{R}^n$ preserves the natural flat Pseudo-Riemannian metric of signature (n, n), and also the natural symplectic form on $\check{T}(U)$.*

(Here, $\ell(\rho)^*$ denotes the dual representation for $\ell(\rho)$.)

The lemma is a particular case of Proposition 6.17 applied to étale affine representations.

6 Affinely homogeneous domains

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ denote an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^n$. We put

$$\mathrm{Aff}(U) = \{A \in \mathrm{Aff}(n) \mid A(U) = U\}$$

for the *affine automorphism group* of U.

Definition 6.1 Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be a connected open subset. Then U is called an *affinely homogeneous domain* if $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$ acts transitively on U.

A domain U in $\mathbb{E}^s$ is called homogeneous, or a *Pseudo-Riemannian affine homogeneous domain* if its isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(U) = \mathrm{Aff}(U) \cap E(s)$ acts transitively on U. Similarly, a domain U in symplectic affine space $(\mathbb{A}^{2k}, \omega)$ is called a *symplectic affine homogeneous domain*, if the group of symplectic affine automorphisms of U, $\mathrm{Aff}(U, \omega) = \mathrm{Aff}(U) \cap \mathrm{Aff}(\omega)$ acts transitively.

An affine domain U is called *divisible* if there exists a *discrete* subgroup

$$\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Aff}(U)$$

such that U/Γ is compact.

6.1 Prehomogeneous affine representations

Let G be a Lie group and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ a homomorphism. The homomorphism ρ is called an affine representation of G. Composition with the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Aff}(n) \to \mathrm{GL}(n)$, defines a linear representation

$$\ell = \ell_\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(n)$$

which is called the linear part of ρ.

Definition 6.2 The affine representation ρ is called a *prehomogeneous affine representation*, if $\rho(G)$ acts transitively on an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$. If $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ is a subgroup with an open orbit in $\mathbb{A}^n$ then G is called a *prehomogeneous subgroup*.

We let $U_\rho \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ denote the union of all open orbits for G.

Remark If G admits a fixed point on $\mathbb{A}^n$, then the homomorphism ρ is conjugate by a translation to the linear representation ℓ_ρ on the vector space $V = \mathbb{R}^n$. Such a representation will be called *linear*. A vector space V with a linear G-action, which has an open orbit, is traditionally called a *prehomogeneous vector space* (see [68]).

Quite opposite to a linear representation is the situation that the affine representation ρ is *transitive* on $\mathbb{A}^n$. In this case, $U_\rho = \mathbb{A}^n$.

The following notion plays a special role.

Definition 6.3 A prehomogeneous representation ρ on $\mathbb{A}^n$ is called an *étale affine representation* if $\dim G = n$.

6.2 Some examples

There is a wealth of prehomogeneous affine representations ρ, and associated affinely homogeneous domains. We consider some simple examples.

Example 6.1

1. *The vector group $\mathbb{R}^n$ acts simply transitively by translations on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*
2. *$G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts by left- and also by right-multiplication on the vector space of matrices $\mathrm{Mat}(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$. Both actions have open orbit $U = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n^2} = \mathrm{Mat}(n \times n, \mathbb{R})$, and both are simply transitive on U.*
3. *$\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $U = \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$. Also $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ has an open orbit $U \times U$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*

Note that all the homogeneous domains in the previous example are divisible by affine transformations. The first two examples admit transitive étale affine groups, the first one being the role model for a simply transitive affine representation. The second and third examples come from prehomogeneous linear representations of reductive groups, a topic which is studied extensively in the literature, see [68] and the references therein.

Two-dimensional affinely homogeneous domains In dimension two there are up to affine equivalence six homogeneous affine domains. The following list also exhausts the set of all two-dimensional étale affine representations up to affine equivalence. (Compare [89, 33].) Note that each two-dimensional affinely homogeneous domain admits a simply transitive group of affine transformations.

Example 6.2 (Étale affine representations in dimension 2)

1. (***The plane*** $U = \mathbb{A}^2$)

$$\mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } \mathcal{U} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & u + \frac{1}{2}v^2 \\ 0 & 1 & v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}(2)$$

are simply transitive abelian groups of affine transformations.

The group

$$\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \exp(t\lambda) & 0 & s \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}(2)$$

is a simply transitive solvable, non-abelian, group of affine transformations, for $\lambda \neq 0$.

2. (***The halfspace*** $U = \mathcal{H}_2$) *Let* $\mathcal{H}_2$ *be the halfspace* $x > 0$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \exp(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \ \mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H}_2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ z & \beta & v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, \alpha > 0 \right\}$$

is an abelian group of affine transformations. The half-spaces (x, y), $x > 0$ *and* $x < 0$ *are open orbits.*

The groups

$$\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,\tau} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \exp(t\lambda) & 0 & s \\ 0 & \exp(-t\lambda) & (\exp(-t\lambda) - 1)\tau \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}(2)$$

are solvable, non-abelian, groups of affine transformations, with two half-spaces as open orbits, $\lambda \neq 0$.

The group of upper triangular matrices

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \exp(t\lambda) & b \\ 0 & \exp(-t\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2)$$

is a solvable, non-abelian, linear *group of transformations with two half-spaces as open orbits.*

3. (***The sector*** $U = \mathcal{Q}_2$)

$$\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{Q}_2)^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, a > 0, b > 0 \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2)$$

is an abelian, linear *group of transformations, which has the four open sectors as open orbits.*

4. (***The parabolic domains*** $U = \mathcal{P}_2^+, \mathcal{P}_2^-$) *Let* $\mathcal{P}_2^+ = \{(y, x) \mid y > \frac{1}{2}x^2\}$ *be the convex domain enclosed by a parabola.*

$$\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{P}_2^{\pm})^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & \frac{1}{2}v^2 \\ 0 & 1 & v \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \lambda > 0 \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}(2)$$

is solvable, non-abelian, with open orbits $\mathcal{P}_2^+$ *and the exterior* $\mathcal{P}_2^-$ *of a parabola.*

5. (***The plane with a point removed,*** $U = \mathbb{A}^2 - 0$)

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{ \exp(t) \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi & \sin\phi \\ -\sin\phi & \cos\phi \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(2) = \mathrm{Aut}(U)$$

is an abelian linear *group, with open orbit* $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$.

We remark:

Corollary 6.4 *Every two-dimensional affinely homogeneous domain* $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ *admits a simple transitive étale group of affine automorphisms in* $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$.

Corollary 6.5 *Every two-dimensional affinely homogeneous domain is divisible, except for the parabolic domains* $\mathcal{P}^{\pm}$.

Proof. If $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ admits a simply transitive abelian group G of affine transformations, then U is divisible by a lattice $\Gamma \leq G$, $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$. This is the case for all domains, but $\mathcal{P}^{\pm}$. The automorphism group $G = \mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{P}^{\pm})$ is a solvable non-unimodular étale group of affine transformations. Therefore, every Γ which divides $\mathcal{P}^{\pm}$ is a lattice in G. However, the existence of a lattice implies that G is unimodular (see Appendix C); a contradiction. □

Corollary 6.6 ([89]) *Let* $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ *be the development image of a compact affine two-torus. Then* U *is an affinely homogeneous domain which admits an abelian simply transitive group of affine transformations.*

This also implies:

Corollary 6.7 *Every two-dimensional divisible domain* $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ *is convex and homogeneous.*

There are attempts to classify étale affine representations in low dimensions, see [89, 42, 40, 18], for some results. In general, it is a difficult problem to decide which Lie groups G admit an étale affine representation. See section 5.4, for further discussion.

6.3 Characteristic map for a prehomogeneous representation

Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine representation, and let $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. We define the *orbit map* at x as

$$o_x : G \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad g \mapsto \rho(g)x \ .$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G, and let

$$\tau_x = \tau_x(\rho) : \ \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

denote the differential of o_x at the identity of G. We remark:

1. The kernel of the linear map τ_x is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_x$ of the stabiliser $H = G_x \leq G$ of x under the action ρ.
2. The orbit $\rho(G)x$ is open if and only if $o_x : G \to U = \rho(G)x$ is a submersion of G onto the open subset U of $\mathbb{A}^n$. Moreover, *G has an open orbit at x if and only if τ_x has maximal rank, that is, if τ_x is onto.*

Let us fix a parallel volume form ν on $\mathbb{A}^n$. We construct the *characteristic map for ρ*

$$\Phi = \Phi_\rho : \ \mathbb{A}^n \longrightarrow \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* \ , \ \ \Phi(x) = \tau_x^* \nu \ ,$$

by taking the pull back of ν along τ_x. Its image

$$\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n) \subseteq \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$$

is called the *characteristic image* of ρ.

The map Φ and the characteristic image $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$ carry fundamental information about ρ and the associated homogeneous domain U_ρ. This theme will be further developed in section 7.2.

Note, in particular, that the map Φ is a *polynomial map* in the natural coordinates of $\mathbb{A}^n$. Moreover, by the above considerations, *G has an open orbit at x, if and only if the form $\Phi_x = \Phi(x)$ does not vanish.*

As a first application, we have two basic remarks:

Proposition 6.8

1. *Every affinely homogenous domain U is an open semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.*
2. *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine representation. Then G has only finitely many open orbits.*

Proof. Let G be a connected group which is transitive on U. The set $U_\rho = \{x \mid \Phi_x \neq 0\}$ is a Zariski open subset of $\mathbb{A}^n$. Its connected components are precisely the open orbits for G. By [114][Theorem 4], there are only finitely many components in the complement of a real algebraic variety. Therefore, U_ρ has only finitely many components. Each component is a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. □

6.4 The automorphism group of an affine homogeneous domain

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine homogeneous domain, and $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$ its affine automorphism group. The following explains the prominent role which algebraic groups play in the study of affinely homogeneous domains. (For a review on linear algebraic groups and basic definitions, consult Appendix A.)

Lemma 6.9 *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine homogeneous domain. Then the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$ of U is a finite index subgroup of a real algebraic group. In particular, $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$ has finitely many connected components.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{U} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be the domain, where the Lie group $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$ has open orbits. Then U is a connected component of $\tilde{U}$. The complement $W = \mathbb{A}^n - \tilde{U}$ is an algebraic subset of $\mathbb{A}^n$, by Proposition 6.8. Since $\mathrm{Aff}(\tilde{U}) = \mathrm{Aff}(W)$, we conclude that $\mathrm{Aff}(\tilde{U})$ is a real algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Since $\tilde{U}$ has only finitely many components (cf. Proposition 6.8), $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$ has finite index in $\mathrm{Aff}(\tilde{U})$. □

Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous Lie subgroup. The real Zariski closure $\overline{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ of G, is clearly also a prehomogeneous real linear algebraic group.

Corollary 6.10 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous representation. Then the Zariski closure $\overline{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ preserves the maximal domain U_ρ.*

6.4.1 Automorphisms of complex affine domains

A connected domain $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ is called a *complex affine homogeneous domain*, if its group of complex affine transformations

$$\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{U}) = \{A \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid A\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}\}$$

acts transitively on $\mathcal{U}$. We mention:

Lemma 6.11 *Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a complex affine homogeneous domain. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is a Zariski-open, hence irreducible and Zariski-dense connected subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The automorphism group $\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a complex linear algebraic group.*

Proof. The proof follows along the lines of the proof of Lemma 6.9. Note that any open and connected orbit $\mathcal{U} = \mathbf{G}^0 x$, where $\mathbf{G}$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, is Zariski-dense in $\mathbb{C}^n$. By Appendix A.3, $\mathbf{G}x$ is also dense in the Euclidean topology of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore, there is only one such orbit. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}$ is a connected domain, and $\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$. □

6.4.2 Centralisers of prehomogeneous representations

Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous representation. We let

$$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) = \{ A \in \mathrm{Aff}(n) \mid A\,\rho(g) = \rho(g) A \text{ , for all } g \in G \ \} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$$

denote the centraliser of G in $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Since the elements of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ permute the open orbits of G, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ preserves the maximal set U_ρ. In particular, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \leq \mathrm{Aff}(U_\rho) \ .$$

Note that $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Moreover, we have the following fact:

Lemma 6.12 *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}_\rho(G)$ of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ forms an associative subalgebra of $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathfrak{aff}(n)$. Clearly, $\varphi \in \mathfrak{z}_\rho(G)$ if and only if $A\varphi A^{-1} = \varphi$, for all $A \in \rho(G)$. Thus, if $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{z}_\rho(G)$ then $A(\varphi\psi)A^{-1} = \varphi\psi \in \mathfrak{z}_\rho(G)$. □

The following lemma is useful in this context:

Lemma 6.13 *Let $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathrm{Mat}(n \times n)$ be an associative subalgebra of linear operators, such that $\operatorname{trace} \phi = 0$, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$. Then every element $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent. Moreover, the Lie algebra which belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ (by taking commutators in $\mathcal{A}$) is nilpotent.*

Corollary 6.14 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous representation such that every element of the centraliser algebra $\mathfrak{z}_\rho(G)$ contains only elements of trace zero. Then the centraliser $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ contains only unipotent elements, and, in particular, it is a connected nilpotent Lie group.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$. Viewing A as an element of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{R})$, we obtain $A - E_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{z}_\rho(G)$. Thus, $A - E_{n+1}$ is nilpotent, by Lemma 6.13. This implies that the real algebraic group $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ has only unipotent elements. □

This situation occurs, for example, if ρ is transitive:

Proposition 6.15 *Let ρ be a transitive affine representation. Then the centraliser of $\rho(G)$ in $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$ is a connected unipotent group of dimension $\leq n$.*

Proof. If $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ is a transitive subgroup, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ acts without fixed points on $\mathbb{A}^n$. The centraliser $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G)$ is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Its reductive part T has a fixed point, by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, T must be trivial. □

We shall show below (see Proposition 6.26 and Proposition 6.28) that the centralisers of Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectic prehomogeneous groups in the group of isometries of $\mathbb{E}^s$, respectively symplectic affine transformations of $(\mathbb{A}^n, \omega)$ are unipotent groups. Such results have immediate geometric implications. As the following example shows, the latter phenomena depend on the orthogonal and symplectic structure:

Example 6.3 *$G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a volume preserving prehomogeneous subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$, as in 2. Example 6.1. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, define $t_\lambda(u, v) = (\lambda u, \lambda^{-1} v)$. Then $T = \{t_\lambda \mid \lambda > 0\} \leq \mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n)$ is an abelian group of volume preserving linear maps, consisting of semisimple elements, and T is contained in the centraliser of G.*

Note also that the volume preserving group T in the example leaves invariant the natural symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$.

6.5 Tube like domains

Affinely homogeneous domains play an important role in the theory of Hermitian symmetric spaces, by the *tube construction*, which itself is an important source for the construction of complex homogeneous domains from affine homogeneous domains. An analogous dual construction plays a role for the theory of Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectically affine homogeneous domains. We develop its basic properties and draw some consequences concerning the classification of Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectically affine homogenous domains.

6.5.1 Complex tube domains

The classical construction of *tube domains* goes as follows. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a connected open set. Then

$$T(U) = U + i\mathbb{R}^n \ \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$$

is called the *complex tube domain* associated to U. Every $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ extends to a complex affine transformation $A_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, where

$$A_{\mathbb{C}}(x + iy) = Ax + i\,\ell(A)y\ .$$

If $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(U)$ is an affine automorphism of U then $A_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{Aff}_{\mathbb{C}}(T(U))$. Also the group of purely imaginary translations $i\,\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ acts on $T(U)$, and this group is normalised by the transformations $A_{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, if U is a homogeneous affine domain then $T(U)$ admits a transitive group of complex affine transformations. That is, $T(U)$ is a *complex affine homogeneous domain.*

Example 6.4 *Riemannian Hermitian symmetric spaces (cf. [54][Ch. VIII]) are obtained by using the Bergman metric on a complex homogeneous domain $T(U)$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex non-degenerate homogeneous selfdual cone.*

Complex domains $T(U)$, where U is a convex non-degenerate cone are also called *Siegel-domains* of the first kind. Here a convex cone is called non-degenerate if it does not contain any straight line. See [74, 75] and Matsushimas expository paper [81] for results on homogeneous tube domains over convex non-degenerate cones, and their relation with *bounded domains* in $\mathbb{C}^n$. See [38] for a generalisation in the context of Pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces.

6.5.2 Dual tube domains

A process which is dual to the construction of the tube domain $T(U) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, $A \mapsto A_{\mathbb{C}}$, allows to construct Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectically affine homogeneous domains from arbitrary homogeneous affine domains. Perhaps surprisingly, this construction suggests that *the determination of homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian and symplectic affine domains is at least as complicated as the classification of all affinely homogeneous domains.*

The dual tube domain $\check{T}(U)$ Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ denote a real vector space, and V^* the dual space of V. If φ is a linear map of V, we let φ^* denote the dual (transposed) map of V^*. If $U \subseteq V$ is a connected open subset we call

$$\check{T}(U) = U \times V^* \subseteq V \oplus V^*$$

the *dual tube domain over U.*

Let $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We extend A to an affine map $\check{A} \in \mathrm{Aff}(V \oplus V^*)$, by declaring

$$\check{A}\,(u + \lambda) = Au + (\ell(A)^{-1})^* \lambda \ .$$

If A is an affine automorphism of U then $\check{A}$ preserves $\check{T}(U)$. Note also that the group of translations $V^* \subseteq \mathrm{Aff}(\check{T}(U))$ is normalised by the transformation $\check{A}$. In particular, if U is a homogeneous affine domain then $\check{T}(U)$ is a homogeneous affine domain, as well.

Natural Pseudo-Riemannnian metric on $\check{T}(U)$ The vector space $V \oplus V^*$ admits a naturally defined scalar product $<\check{,}>$ of signature (n, n). This is defined by

$$< u + \lambda, \check{u}' + \lambda' > = \lambda(u') + \lambda'(u) \ .$$

The scalar product $<\check{,}>$ is evidently preserved by $\check{A}$, $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Thus:

Proposition 6.16 *The map $A \mapsto \check{A}$ defines a faithful homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Aff}(U) \to \mathrm{Isom}(\check{T}(U), <\check{,}>) \ .$$

In particular, we remark:

Proposition 6.17 *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine homogeneous domain. Then the tube domain $(\check{T}(U), \check{<,>})$ is a Pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous domain of signature (n, n).*

Proof. In fact let, $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a subgroup which acts transitively on U. Then $V^* \rtimes \check{G} \leq E(n, n)$ acts transitively on $\check{T}(U) = U \times V^*$. □

Note that V^* is a maximal isotropic subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{n,n} = V \oplus V^*$. The following geometric characterisation of Pseudo-Riemannian domains of tube type is straightforward:

Lemma 6.18 *Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{E}^{n,n}$ be a homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian domain. If* $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{D})$ *contains a maximal isotropic subgroup of translations then*

$$\mathcal{D} = \check{T}(U) \; ,$$

for some affinely homogeneous domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$.

The following notion is related:

Definition 6.19 A domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{E}^s$ is called *translationally isotropic* if the group of translations $t(U)$ in $\mathrm{Isom}(U)$ satisfies $t(U)^\perp \subseteq t(U)$.

Every tube domain $(\check{T}(U), \check{<,>}) \subseteq \mathbb{E}^{n,n}$ is *translationally isotropic*, since $V^* \subseteq t(U)$ is a maximal isotropic subspace, and thus $t(U)^\perp \subseteq V^*$.

Proposition 6.20 ([34][Theorem 5.3]) *Every translationally isotropic homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian domain $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{E}^{m,l}$ is of the form*

$$\mathcal{D} = \check{T}(U) \times \mathbb{E}^{m-k, l-k} \; ,$$

for some affinely homogeneous domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^k$, $l, m \geq k$.

Question 5 *By [35], the Lorentzian homogeneous domains are $\mathbb{E}^{n-1,1}$, and the translationally isotropic domains $\check{T}(\mathbb{R}^{>0}) \times \mathbb{E}^{n-2}$. Is every homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian domain translationally isotropic?*

The question suggests, in particular, that homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian domains admit large groups of translations.

Natural symplectic geometry on $\check{T}(U)$ On the vector space $V \oplus V^*$ there is also a naturally defined symplectic form $\check{\omega}$, which is given by

$$\check{\omega}(\, u + \lambda, u' + \lambda' \,) = \lambda(u') - \lambda'(u) \; .$$

The symplectic form $\check{\omega}$ is evidently preserved by $\check{A}$, $A \in \mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Most considerations for the Pseudo-Riemannian case carry over analogously to the symplectic case. We just remark:

Proposition 6.21 *The map* $A \mapsto \check{A}$ *defines a faithful homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Aff}(U) \to \mathrm{Aff}(\check{T}(U), \check{\omega}) \; .$$

Thus:

Corollary 6.22 *Let* U *be an affine homogeneous domain. Then* $(\check{T}(U), \check{\omega})$ *is a symplectic affine homogeneous domain.*

Moreover, we mention:

Lemma 6.23 *Let* $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2n}$ *be a symplectic affine homogeneous domain. If* $\mathrm{Aff}(\check{T}(U), \check{\omega})$ *contains a Lagrangian subgroup of translations then*

$$\mathcal{D} = \check{T}(U) \; ,$$

for some affinely homogeneous domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$.

As in the Pseudo-Riemannian case, one might wonder which role translationally isotropic symplectically affine homogeneous domains play in the classification of all symplectically affine homogeneous domains. However, here we have

Example 6.5 $(U = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$, $\omega = dx \wedge dy)$ *is a symplectically affine homogeneous domain, and* $\mathrm{Aff}(U, \omega) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. *In particular,* U *is not translationally isotropic.*

Para-Kähler geometry of $\check{T}(U)$ We remark that the natural geometry on $\check{T}(U)$, which is preserved by the transformations $\check{A}$, is actually determined by the symplectic form $\check{\omega}$ together with the metric $<\check{,}>$. It may be expressed in a manner which is analogous to classical Kähler geometry as follows.

We define a linear operator $\check{J} \in \mathrm{GL}(V \oplus V^*)$, which is skew with respect to $\check{\omega}$ and satisfies $\check{J}^2 = \mathrm{id}$ by the relation

$$\check{g}(\cdot, \cdot) = \check{\omega}(\check{J} \cdot, \cdot) \; .$$

It is computed by the formula

$$\check{J}(u + \lambda) = (-u + \lambda) \; .$$

Note, in particular, that the transformations $\check{A}$ are $\check{J}$-linear, that is,

$$\ell(\check{A})\check{J} = \check{J}\ell(\check{A}) \; .$$

A geometric structure $(\check{\omega}, \check{J})$ of this kind, is thus a sort of analogue of complex Kähler geometry. Such structures have attracted recent interest of mathematicians and also physicists under the names of *Para-Kähler* or *Bi-Lagrangian* geometry. See, for example, [23, §5.2] and, in particular, the work of Kaneyuki et al. on Para-Kähler symmetric spaces [64, 65, 66].

6.6 Pseudo-Riemannian affine homogeneous domains

As follows from Proposition 6.17, there are as many Pseudo-Riemannian affine homogeneous domains as there are homogeneous affine domains. In particular, the classification of Pseudo-Riemannian affine homogeneous domains might be a quite untractable problem. However, for small index s, the possible types of homogeneous domains in $\mathbb{E}^s$ seem rather restricted.

Recall that a homogeneous Riemannian manifold is geodesically complete, since it is complete with respect to the Riemannian distance. Thus:

Example 6.6 *There is only one Euclidean homogeneous domain* $U \subseteq \mathbb{E}^n$*, namely, Euclidean space* $\mathbb{E}^n$ *itself.*

As follows from Example 6.2, we note for the case $n = 2$:

Example 6.7 *The two-dimensional Pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous domains are* $\mathbb{E}^2$*,* $\mathbb{E}^{1,1}$*, and the half-space* $\mathcal{H}_2 = T(\mathbb{R}^{>0}) \subset \mathbb{E}^{1,1}$*.*

In the Lorentzian case, we have the following result:

Proposition 6.24 ([35]) *Let* $U \subseteq \mathbb{E}^{n-1,1}$ *be a homogeneous Lorentzian domain. Then* $U = \mathbb{E}^{n-1,1}$ *or* $U = \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathbb{E}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{E}^{n-1,1}$*.*

In particular, every Lorentzian affine homogeneous domain is translationally isotropic.

We call a Pseudo-Riemannian domain *irreducible* if it does not admit a decomposition as in Proposition 6.20.

Example 6.8 *The (translationally isotropic) irreducible domains in* $\mathbb{E}^{2,2}$ *are the dual tube domains* $(\check{T}(U), \check{<,>})$*, where* $U = \mathbb{A}^2 - \{0\}$*,* $\mathcal{H}_2$*,* $\mathcal{Q}_2$*, and* $\mathcal{P}_2^{\pm}$*. (Compare Example 6.2.)*

It seems unknown, whether (up to products) the latter list exhausts all homogeneous domains in $\mathbb{E}^{n-2,2}$.

6.6.1 Centralisers of prehomogeneous groups of isometries

Let $\rho : G \to E(s)$ be a prehomogeneous group of isometries. We consider the subgroup of isometries in $E(s)$, which centralise $\rho(G)$.

Corollary 6.25 *Let* $U \subseteq \mathbb{E}^s$ *be a Pseudo-Riemannian affine homogeneous domain. Then the centraliser of any prehomogeneous subgroup* $G \leq \mathrm{Isom}(U)$ *in the group* $E(s)$ *is a connected unipotent group. Moreover, it is a nilpotent group of nilpotency class at most two.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.11, the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \cap E(s)$ consists of nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$, and it is a nilpotent Lie algebra of nilpotentency class at most two. Therefore, the identity component $(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \cap E(s))^0$ is a unipotent group, and it is nilpotent of nilpotency class at most two. We remark next that $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \cap E(s)$ is connected. (To prove that $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \cap E(s)$ is connected, we can argue as in the proof of Proposition 6.28.) □

The following observation is due to Wolf [106] (for transitive groups), see also [34] for the general case:

Proposition 6.26 *The elements in* $g \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \cap E(s)$ *are unipotent, and satisfy* $(g - E_{n+1})^2 = 0$.

Proof. By the above, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \cap E(s)$ is a unipotent linear algebraic group. Using the exponential representation of $g \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \cap E(s)$, Proposition 3.9 implies that $g - E_{n+1}$ represents a Killing vector field in $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$, and $(g - E_{n+1})^2 = 0$. □

The previous two results play a central role in the determination of all flat Pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous manifolds, which are complete. (See section 4.3.1 for further discussion.) Another application was observed in [34]:

Corollary 6.27 *Every nilpotent prehomogeneous group* N *of isometries of* $\mathbb{E}^s$ *is transitive on* $\mathbb{E}^s$.

Proof. The set of semisimple elements in a nilpotent algebraic group forms a central subgroup, see [21]. Thus, by Proposition 6.26, N must be a unipotent group. By Corollary, 7.5, N acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$. □

The latter result generalises to nilpotent groups of volume preserving affine transformations, see Corollary 7.8. For the proof, other methods are required.

6.7 Symplectic affine homogeneous domains

As for Pseudo-Riemannian domains, the tube construction produces a symplectic affinely homogeneous domain $(\check{T}(U), \check{\omega}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2k}$ from each affinely homogeneous domain $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^k$. However, we already noted in Example 6.5 that this construction does not exhaust the set of symplectic affinely homogeneous domains:

Example 6.9 *The two-dimensional symplectic affine homogeneous domains of tube type are* $\mathbb{A}^2$ *and the halfspace* $\mathcal{H}_2 = \check{T}(\mathbb{R}^{>0})$.

On the other hand, from Example 6.2, we note:

Example 6.10 *The two-dimensional symplectic affinely homogeneous domains are* $\mathbb{A}^2 - \{0\}$*, and the tube type domains* $\mathbb{A}^2$, $\mathcal{H}_2$.

Here is another similiarity to the Pseudo-Riemannian situation (compare Corollary 6.25):

Proposition 6.28 *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2k}$ be a symplectic affine homogeneous domain. Then the centraliser of any prehomogeneous subgroup $G \leq \operatorname{Aff}(U, \omega_k)$ in the group of symplectic affine transformations of $\mathbb{A}^{2k}$ is a connected unipotent group (and it is also abelian).*

(The connected component of the symplectic centraliser of a prehomogeneous group of symplectic transformations is abelian, by Proposition 3.15.)

Hence, we get:

Corollary 6.29 *Every nilpotent prehomogeneous subgroup of $\operatorname{Aff}(\omega_k)$ is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^{2k}$.*

For the proof of Proposition 6.28, let us first formulate a lemma:

Lemma 6.30 *Let T be an abelian subgroup of semisimple elements in $\operatorname{Sp}(V, \omega)$, and $G \leq \operatorname{Sp}(V, \omega)$ a subgroup which centralises T. Let V^T denote the subspace of invariants for T. Then there exists a decomposition $V = V^T \oplus (W_1 \oplus W_2)$, which is invariant by G and T, such that W_1 and W_2 are isotropic subspaces, and the restriction of ω to the subspaces V^T and $W_1 \oplus W_2$ is non-degenerate. Moreover, V^T and $W_1 \oplus W_2$ are orthogonal with respect to ω.*

The lemma is a direct consequence of the decomposition of V in eigenspaces with respect to T.

Proof of Proposition 6.28. Let $G \leq \operatorname{Aff}(U, \omega_k)$ be a prehomogeneous subgroup with orbit U. For $g \in \operatorname{Aff}(n)$, $t(g) \in V = \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the translation part of g. Let T denote a subgroup of semisimple elements in $\mathrm{Z}_{\operatorname{Aff}(\omega_k)}(G)$. After a change of origin, we may assume that T is linear, and, in particular, $T \leq \operatorname{Sp}(\omega_k)$. Since T centralises G, $t(g) \in V^T$, for all $g \in G$, and the subspace V^T is invariant by $\ell(G)$. Let $x = x_0 + x_1 \in U$, where $x_0 \in V^T$ and $x_1 \in W_1 \oplus W_2$, as in Lemma 6.30. Let $\pi_2 : V \to W_1 \oplus W_2$ be the corresponding projection operator. We conclude that $\pi_2(U) = \pi_2(Gx) = \pi_2(Gx_1) = \pi_2(\ell(G)x_1) = \ell(G)x_1$. Since $\ell(G)$ also preserves a Lagrange decomposition of $W_1 \oplus W_2$, it cannot have an open orbit in $W_1 \oplus W_2$. (In fact, the dual pairing of W_1 and W_2 with respect to ω produces a non-trivial $\ell(G)$-invariant polynomial.) Unless $T = \{1\}$, this is a contradiction, since $\pi_2(U)$ is open in $W_1 \oplus W_2$. $\square$

7 A criterion for transitivity of prehomogeneous representations

A distinguished class of prehomogeneous affine representations is formed by those representations, which are transitive on affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$. Our main concern in this section will be to formulate a criterion, which ensures that a given prehomogeneous affine representation $\rho : G \to \operatorname{Aff}(n)$ is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$.

In section 7.1, we recall how transitivity depends on the unipotent radical of the Zariski closure. A closer analysis of the characteristic map $\Phi(\rho)$, for a prehomogeneous representation ρ, is given in section 7.2. This allows to interpret transitivity for ρ in terms of the characteristic image

$$\Phi(\rho)(\mathbb{A}^n) \subseteq \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* \, .$$

An immediate application (see Corollary 7.8) is the following result:

Theorem 7.1 *Let U be an affine homogeneous domain, which admits a nilpotent transitive subgroup of volume preserving affine transformations. Then $U = \mathbb{A}^n$.*

In particular, for nilpotent prehomogeneous groups, transitivity is equivalent to volume preservation. Various special cases of this result have been treated in the literature before. For the case of étale affine representations see [67, 41], and for Pseudo-Riemannian prehomogeneous affine representations of nilpotent groups see [34, Corollary 6.27].

7.1 Transitivity for prehomogeneous groups

As a first remark, we note that transitivity may be recognised by looking at the real Zariski closure:

Corollary 7.2 *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous subgroup. Then G is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$ if and only if its Zariski closure $\overline{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ is transitive.*

The corollary is a direct consequence of Corollary 6.10.

Transitivity for prehomogeneous algebraic groups is determined by the unipotent radical, as follows:

Proposition 7.3 *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous real algebraic group. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *G is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*
2. *The unipotent radical $U(G)$ is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*

Proof. By section A, $G = U(G)H$, where H is reductive. Moreover, H has a fixed point on $\mathbb{A}^n$, by Lemma 2.2. Thus, if G is transitive, $U(G)$ must be transitive as well. □

Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous subgroup. Let $\mathbf{G} = \overline{G}^{Z} \leq \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denote the complex Zariski closure of G. Then $\mathbf{G}$ acts prehomogeneously on complex affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Corollary 7.4 *Let $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be prehomogeneous. Then G is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$ if and only if its complex Zariski closure $\mathbf{G}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof. If G is transitive, so is the unipotent radical U of its real closure $\overline{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$. The Zariski closure of U, $\mathbf{U} \leq \mathbf{G}$ is prehomogeneous on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and unipotent. By the closed orbit property, $\mathbf{U}$ must be transitive. Therefore, $\mathbf{G}$ is transitive.

Conversely, assume $\mathbf{G}$ is transitive. Note that Proposition 7.3 holds analogously for complex algebraic actions on $\mathbb{C}^n$. We deduce that $\mathbf{U}$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Note that $U = \mathbf{U}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathbf{U}$ (see [21]). In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} U = \dim \mathbf{U}$. Thus U, and, in particular, also $\overline{G}^{\mathbb{R}}$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$. By Corollary 7.2, G is transitive, as well. $\square$

Transitivity of nilpotent groups We remark that unipotent prehomogeneous groups are always transitive:

Corollary 7.5 *Let $N \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous group which is nilpotent. Then N is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$ if and only if N is unipotent.*

Proof. In fact, if N is unipotent every orbit on $\mathbb{A}^n$ is closed (cf. Appendix A, Proposition A.2). The converse is a consequence of Proposition 6.15. $\square$

7.2 The fundamental diagram

We let $N = N_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\rho(G)) \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ denote the normaliser of G in $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Then N acts by conjugation on the image $\rho(G)$. Assuming that ρ is faithful, this gives also rise to an action $C : N \to \mathrm{Aut}(G)$, where, for $A \in N$,

$$C(A) : G \to G$$

is defined by the relation

$$\rho\,(C(A)(g)) \;=\; A\,\rho(g)\,A^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, we let $c : N \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the induced representation on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

We pick up the notation used in section 6.3. Recall, in particular, that $\tau_x : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the differential of the orbit map $o_x : G \to \mathbb{A}^n$ at $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. For all $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$, $A \in N$, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\tau_x"] \arrow[d, "c(A)"'] & \mathbb{R}^n \arrow[d, "\ell(A)"] \\ \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\tau_{Ax}"] & \mathbb{R}^n \end{tikzcd} . \qquad (7.1)$$

Now consider the characteristic map

$$\Phi = \Phi(\rho) : \mathbb{A}^n \to \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^* \;,\; \Phi(x) = \tau_x^* \nu$$

for ρ. The commutative diagram (7.1) implies the following relation, which holds for all $A \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\rho(G))$:

$$\Phi_{Ax} \; = \; \det \ell(A) \; \big((c(A)^{-1})^* \Phi_x \big) \; . \tag{7.2}$$

By section 6.3, the following is evident:

Proposition 7.6 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous affine representation. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

i) G acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$.

ii) 0 is not contained in the characteristic image $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$.

For an algebraic representation, we note the following refinement:

Proposition 7.7 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(G)$ be a prehomogeneous algebraic representation with maximal domain U_ρ. Then the following are equivalent:*

i) G acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$.

ii) 0 is not contained in the closure of the characteristic image $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$.

iii) The image $\Phi(U_\rho) \subseteq \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ is Zariski-closed.

iv) The image $\Phi(U_\rho) \subseteq \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ is closed in the Euclidean topology.

Proof. We show first, if G acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$ then $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is Zariski closed in $\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$. In fact, if G acts transitively then its unipotent radical $U(G)$ acts transitively as well. Thus, using relation (7.2), we deduce that

$$\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n) = \Phi(U(G)x) = c(U(G))\Phi_x$$

is the orbit of a unipotent linear algebraic group. Therefore, $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is Zariski closed. Hence, i) implies ii) and also iii). In particular, by Proposition 7.6, i) is equivalent to ii).

Now, assume condition iii). Then $\Phi(U_\rho)$ is Zariski-closed. Note that the open set U_ρ is Zariski-dense in $\mathbb{A}^n$. Since Φ is a polynomial map (a morphism of varieties), it preserves the Zariski closure. Thus,

$$\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n) = \Phi(\overline{U_\rho}^{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq \; \overline{\Phi(U_\rho)}^{\mathbb{R}} = \Phi(U_\rho) \; .$$

Since Φ is non-zero on U_ρ, 0 is not contained in $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$. This shows that $U_\rho = \mathbb{A}^n$, and, hence, G acts transitively. We proved that i) is equivalent to iii).

Since $\Phi(U_\rho)$ is a finite union of orbits, $\Phi(U_\rho)$ is Zariski closed if and only if it is closed in the Euclidean topology. (See the remarks in section A.) Hence, iii) is equivalent to iv). $\square$

Remark It might well happen that the characteristic image $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is Zariski-closed in $\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ and also contains 0.

For étale affine representations the above criterion implies:

Example 7.1 *Let $n = \dim G$. Then G acts simply transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$ if and only if Φ is constant, and non-zero. In particular, $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n) = \{\Phi_0\}$, where $\Phi_0 \neq 0$.*

7.3 Transitivity of nilpotent prehomogeneous groups

As a direct application of Proposition 7.7, we can deduce that, for nilpotent groups, transitivity is equivalent with volume preservation:

Corollary 7.8 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous affine representation, where G is a connected nilpotent Lie group. Then the following are equivalent:*

i) ρ is transitive.

ii) $\rho(G)$ is unipotent.

iii) ρ is volume preserving (that is, $\ell(\rho(G)) \subset \mathrm{SL}(n)$).

Proof. Suppose G is acting transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that G is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. A connected nilpotent linear algebraic group is a direct product $G = U(G)T$, where $U(G)$ is unipotent and T is a connected abelian group of diagonalisable matrices, which is contained in the center of G, cf. [21]. Since, $U(G)$ acts transitively, its centraliser is unipotent. Hence, $T = \{1\}$ and $G = U(G)$ is unipotent. Thus, i) implies ii).

Since every algebraic character of a unipotent group is trivial, ii) implies iii).

Suppose now that $\rho(G)$ is volume preserving. Let $x_0 \in U_\rho$. Then the characteristic orbit $\Phi(G\,x_0) = c(G)^*\Phi_{x_0}$ is (Zariski-) closed, since the adjoint action of G on $\mathfrak{g}$ is unipotent. Then $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n) = \Phi(\overline{G\,x_0}^{Z}) \subseteq \overline{\Phi(G\,x_0)}^{Z} = c(G)^*\Phi_{x_0}$ does not contain 0. Hence, G acts transitively. Thus, iii) implies i). $\square$

8 Characteristic cohomology classes associated with affine representations

Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine representation. The characteristic map Φ_ρ gives rise to certain characteristic cohomology classes, which carry information about Φ_ρ, and, in particular, about the transitivity properties of ρ.

8.1 Construction of the characteristic classes

Let $\bar\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{aff}(n)$ denote the differential of ρ, and $\bar\ell : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ the corresponding linear part. The representation $\bar\ell$ turns $\mathbb{R}^n$ into a $\mathfrak{g}$-module, which we denote by $\mathbb{R}^n_{\bar\ell}$. Furthermore, we let $\mathbb{R}_{\bar\ell}$ denote the one-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module, which is induced by the trace of $\bar\ell$. We shall also consider the Lie algebra cohomology groups $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar\ell})$, $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar\ell})$, where $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. (See Appendix B for definitions and notation on Lie algebra cohomology.)

Lemma 8.1 *Let $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_x$ the stabiliser of x under the action $\bar\rho$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{A}^n \to \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the characteristic map for ρ. Then Φ_x is an element of $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar\ell})^{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar\ell})$. In particular,*

$$d_{\bar\ell}\,\Phi_x = 0\ .$$

Moreover, if $x \in U_\rho$ then $\Phi_x \neq 0$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ acts trivially on $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$.

Proof. Clearly, Φ_x is in $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$. By the relation (7.2), the form Φ_x is stabilised by the twisted adjoint action of $H = G_x$. Taking derivatives shows that $\Phi_x \in C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an invariant for $\mathfrak{h}$. Since $C^*(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a subcomplex for $d_{\bar{\ell}}$ and $C^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, M) = \{0\}$, $k > n$, (for any module M), we deduce that $d_{\bar{\ell}}\Phi_x = 0$. Since $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is one-dimensional and spanned by Φ_x, $\mathfrak{h}$ acts trivially. ☐

The absolute class As a consequence of relation (7.2), we deduce:

Proposition 8.2 *The forms Φ_x, $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$, are elements of the cocycle vector space $Z^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$, and represent a unique cohomology class $\bar{c} = [\Phi_x] \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$, which does not depend on x.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.1, Φ_x is a cocycle. We show now that the associated cohomology classes $[\Phi_x] \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ do not depend on x. We argue as follows. Recall that the representation ℓ defines a twisted adjoint action of G on the cohomology groups $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$, whose derivative is induced by the operators L_X, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, cf. Appendix B. Assuming G is connected, this inner action of G on the cohomology is trivial, cf. [36]. If ρ is not prehomogeneous then $\Phi_x = 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. Hence, $[\Phi_x] = 0$, and the claim is proved. Otherwise, let $x_0 \in U_\rho$. The transformation formula (7.2) shows that the forms Φ_x, $x \in G\,x_0$, form a twisted adjoint orbit in $Z^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$. By the above remark, they represent the same cohomology class $c \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$. Therefore, the polynomial map $\mathbb{A}^n \to H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$, $x \mapsto [\Phi_x]$ is constant on the Zariski dense subset $G\,x_0$. Hence, it is constant. ☐

Definition 8.3 We call $\bar{c} = \bar{c}(\rho) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ the (absolute) characteristic class of the affine representation ρ.

The geometric importance of the absolute class $\bar{c}(\rho)$ stems from:

Proposition 8.4 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine representation. If the absolute characteristic class $\bar{c}(\rho)$ is different from 0 then ρ is transitive.*

Proof. Assume that ρ is not transitive. Then, by Proposition 7.6, $\Phi(\mathbb{A}^n)$ contains 0. By Proposition 8.2, $\bar{c}(\rho) = 0$. ☐

However, the class $\bar{c}$ may vanish for a transitive affine representation. The following example of a nilpotent transitive group is taken from [45]:

Example 8.1 *Consider*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ X(s,t,u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t & v & s \\ 0 & 0 & u & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid s,t,u,v \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{aff}(3)$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}$ *is the tangent algebra to a transitive action of a four-dimensional unipotent group* $G \leq \mathrm{Aff}(n)$. *The tangent algebra of the stabiliser at* $0 \in \mathbb{A}^3$ *is* $\mathfrak{h} = \{X(0,0,0,v) \mid v \in \mathbb{R}\}$. *We put* $\sigma, \tau, \omega, \nu$, *for the left invariant one-forms dual to* $S = X(1,0,0,0)$, $T = X(0,1,0,0)$, $U = X(0,0,1,0)$, $V = X(0,0,0,1) \in \mathfrak{g}$. *Then* $d\tau = d\omega = 0$, *and* $d\nu = -\tau \wedge \omega$, $d\sigma = \omega \wedge \nu$. *Thus* $\Phi_0 = \sigma \wedge \tau \wedge \omega = -d(\sigma \wedge \nu)$. *But note that* $H^3(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) = <[\Phi_0]> \neq \{0\}$.

The example motivates the following construction of the relative classes.

The relative classes Let $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. We put $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_x$ for the stabiliser of x under the action $\bar{\rho}$. By Lemma 8.1, the form Φ_x represents a cohomology class $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$.

Definition 8.5 We call $\bar{c}(\rho, x) = [\Phi_x] \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ the *relative* characteristic class of the affine representation ρ, at $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$.

Note that the forms $\Phi(\rho, x)$ vanish precisely outside the union of all open orbits of G. Hence:

Lemma 8.6 *If* $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \neq 0$, *for some* $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$, *then* ρ *is prehomogeneous.*

In fact, we also have:

Lemma 8.7 *Let* $x \in U_\rho$. *Then* $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \neq 0$ *if and only if* $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq 0$.

As example 8.1 shows, there exist transitive representations ρ, where G is nilpotent, and the absolute class $\bar{c}(\rho)$ is zero, while all relative versions $\bar{c}(\rho, x)$ are non-zero. In fact, in in section 8.2 below we show that $\bar{c}(\rho, x)$ is non-zero, whenever ρ is transitive. We will also show that, *for nilpotent groups* G, the non-vanishing of the class $\bar{c}(\rho, x)$, for some $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$, implies transitivity of ρ.

Naturality properties

1. By construction, the relative classes $\bar{c}(\rho, x)$ map to the absolute class $\bar{c}(\rho)$ under the natural homomorphism

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \to H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \ .$$

2. Let $L \leq G$ be a closed subgroup, and let $\rho_L : L \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ denote the restriction of ρ to L. Then in the following commutative diagram (vertical arrows denoting restriction homomorphisms)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \arrow[d] \\
H^n(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \arrow[r] & H^n(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

the classes $\bar{c}(\rho, x)$, $c(\rho)$, map to $\bar{c}(\rho_L, x)$, $c(\rho_L)$ respectively.

3. Let $A \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(\rho(G))$, and $c(A) : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ the induced automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_x$ then $c(A)\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_{Ax}$. Consider the map of cohomology groups

$$c(A)^* : H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{Ax}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \to H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_x, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}).$$

   Then, by (7.2),

$$c(A)^* \bar{c}(\rho, Ax) = \det \ell(A) \bar{c}(\rho, x) \ .$$

8.1.1 Alternative construction of the characteristic classes

Let Γ be a group. To any affine representation $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ the translation part $t : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defines a cohomology class $[t] \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}^n_\ell)$, *which vanishes if and only if* Γ *has a fixed point on* $\mathbb{A}^n$. (See [58, 46] for some applications.)

Analogously, for a Lie algebra representation $\bar{\rho} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{aff}(n)$, the translation part

$$t : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

defines a class $u \in H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}^n_{\bar{\ell}})$, which is called the *radiance obstruction.* Goldman and Hirsch [46, 45] considered the exterior powers

$$u^i = \Lambda^i u \in H^i(\mathfrak{g}, \bigwedge^i \mathbb{R}^n_{\bar{\ell}}) \ .$$

By [45], if $u^i \neq 0$ then every orbit of G has at least dimension i. Note that the fixed n-form ν defines an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules

$$\bigwedge^n \mathbb{R}^n_{\bar{\ell}} \cong \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}} \ .$$

Under this isomorphism, the n-th exterior power of u identifies with $\bar{c}(\rho)$:

$$\bar{c}(\rho) \ = \ \Lambda^n u \ .$$

The representation of $\bar{c}(\rho)$ as an exterior product of a class in degree one, leads to an important application for compact affine manifolds, see section 9.2.

8.2 Significance of the relative classes

Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous representation. We show below that the cohomology groups $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ are computed by a characteristic character $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$, which is associated to ρ and G. We then explain how the group $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is linked to the geometry of the semi-invariant measure, which is induced by ρ on the homogeneous space $X = G/H$. As a first application, we deduce that, *for a transitive affine representation* ρ, all relative cohomology classes $\bar{c}(\rho, x)$ are non-zero (contrary to what may happen for the absolute class $\bar{c}(\rho)$).

8.2.1 The character $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$

Let $x \in U_\rho$. We put $N_G(H)$ for the normaliser of $H = G_x$ in G. The adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad} : N(G) = N_{\mathrm{Aff}(n)}(G) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a quotient representation

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{G/H} : N_G(H) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \ .$$

We then define the *unimodular character for* G/H *and* ρ as

$$\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \ = \ \det \ell(\rho) \ \det \mathrm{Ad}_{G/H}^{-1} : N_G(H) \to \mathbb{R}^{>0} \ .$$

The group $N(G)$ acts on $C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ by the twisted adjoint action as in equation (7.2). Since $N_G(H)$ normalises H and $\mathfrak{h}$, this action preserves the subspace

$$C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \subseteq C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \ .$$

Then $N_G(H)$ acts on the one-dimensional space

$$C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$$

with the character $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$. For all $A \in N_G(H)$, equation (7.2) turns to

$$\Phi_{Ax} \ = \ \chi_{G/H}(\rho)(A) \ \Phi_x \ . \tag{8.1}$$

In particular, this implies that $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ factorises over H, that is,

$$\chi_{G/H}(\rho)_{|H} \equiv 1 \ .$$

Associated Lie algebra cohomology group By Proposition C.3, the character $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ computes the cohomology group $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$:

Proposition 8.8 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\chi_{G/H}(\rho) : (N_G(H)/H)^0 \to \mathbb{R}^{>0} \ \equiv 1$.
2. $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq \{0\}$.

Example 8.2 *If* $N_G(H)^0 = H^0$ *then* $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq \{0\}$. *For example, the automorphism group* $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ *of a halfspace has* $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) = \mathbb{R}$.

Induced semi-invariant measure on X= G/H We put $X = G/H$ for the associated homogeneous space, where $H = G_x$. The pull back of the parallel volume form ν on $\mathbb{A}^n$ defines a semi-invariant measure on X. Its properties strongly interact with the geometry of the representation ρ.

The following geometric interpretation of the characteristic character $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ is a consequence of Lemma C.2:

Proposition 8.9 *Let* $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ *be a prehomogeneous representation,* $x \in U_\rho$ *and* $X = G/H$ *the associated homogeneous space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $|\chi_{G/H}(\rho)| : N_G(H) \to \mathbb{R}^{>0} \ \equiv 1$.
2. *The semi-invariant measure on* X*, which is induced from a parallel volume form* ν *on* $\mathbb{A}^n$*, is invariant by the right-action of* $N_G(H)$ *on* X.

Homogeneous domains with non-vanishing relative class We say that the affinely homogeneous domain U has a *non-vanishing relative class*, if the relative cohomology groups for the group $G = \mathrm{Aff}(U)$ are non-vanishing.

Corollary 8.10 *Let U be a homogeneous affine domain, $G = \mathrm{Aff}(U)$, and $H = G_x$, for $x \in U_\rho$. If $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq \{0\}$ then the center $\mathrm{Z}(G)$ of $\mathrm{Aff}(U)$ is a connected unipotent group.*

Proof. Clearly, $Z(\mathrm{Aff}(n), G)^0 \leq N_G(H)$. Thus, by our assumption, the character $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ is trivial on $Z(\mathrm{Aff}(n), G)^0$. Since $Z(\mathrm{Aff}(n), G)^0$ is in the kernel of the representation $\mathrm{Ad}_{G/H}$, this implies that the elements of $Z(\mathrm{Aff}(n), G)^0$ are volume preserving on $\mathbb{A}^n$. By Corollary 6.14, $Z(\mathrm{Aff}(n), G)$ is a connected unipotent group. Thus, $\mathrm{Z}(G) = Z(\mathrm{Aff}(n), G)$. □

Example 8.3 *Among the two-dimensional homogeneous domains, precisely $U = \mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$, and the sector $U = \mathcal{Q}_2$ have vanishing relative class.*

8.2.2 Transitive representations

As remarked before, $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ is trivial on H, for any prehomogeneous affine representation ρ. If ρ is transitive then $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ is trivial on all of $N_G(H)$:

Corollary 8.11 *If ρ is a transitive affine representation then $|\chi_{G/H}(\rho)| \equiv 1$ on $N_G(H)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.7, the orbit Φ_{Ax}, $A \in N_G(H)$ must be bounded away from 0. By formula (8.1), this can only be if $\chi_{G/H}(A) \in \{1, -1\}$. □

We have the following consequence:

Theorem 8.12 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a transitive affine representation. For $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$, put $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_x$. Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$, the cohomology class $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is non-zero.*

Proof. Since G is transitive, the character $\chi_{G/H}$ is trivial, by Corollary 8.11. This implies, $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \cong \mathbb{R}$, by Proposition 8.8. More specifically, the natural map induces an isomorphism of the group of relative n-cocycles $C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ with $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Since $\Phi_x \neq 0$, $[\Phi_x] = \bar{c}(\rho, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is a non-zero generator of $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$. □

Remark that, conversely, if, for all $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$, $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \neq 0$ then G acts transitively.

Corollary 8.13 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a transitive affine representation. Then $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq \{0\}$.*

We thus note (compare Proposition 8.9):

Corollary 8.14 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a transitive affine representation. Then the semi-invariant measure on $X = G/H$ with character $\det \ell(\rho)$ is right-invariant by $N_G(H)$.*

For a transitive *algebraic* representation, we add the following observation:

Proposition 8.15 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a transitive algebraic affine representation. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *ρ is volume preserving.*
2. *$\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \equiv 1$ on H.*
3. *$\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \equiv 1$ on $N_G(H)^0$.*

Proof. Put $\lambda = \det \ell(\rho)$. If ρ is volume preserving then $H \leq \ker \lambda$. Moreover, $\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \equiv 1$ on H, as for any prehomogeneous representation. Thus, $\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \equiv 1$ on H. Conversely, assume $\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \equiv 1$ on H. Then $H \leq \ker \lambda$. Since the unipotent radical of $\rho(G)$ acts transitively, $\ker \lambda$ acts transitively. Thus $\rho(G) = \rho((\ker \lambda)\, H) = \rho(\ker \lambda)$. Therefore, $\lambda \equiv 1$. It follows the equivalence of 1. and 2.

By Corollary 8.11, the analogous argument may be used to show the equivalence of 1. and 3. □

Corollary 8.16 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a transitive affine representation. Then ρ is volume preserving if and only if $X = G/H$ admits a G-invariant measure.*

8.3 Affine representations of nilpotent groups

In the case of nilpotent groups, we can summarise as follows:

Theorem 8.17 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine prehomogeneous representation, where G is a connected nilpotent Lie group. Let $x \in U_\rho$, and put $H = G_x$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *ρ is transitive on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*
2. *$\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \equiv 1$ on $N_G(H)^0$.*
3. *$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq 0$.*
4. *The semi-invariant measure induced by a parallel volume on $X = G/H$ is invariant by the right-action of $N_G(H)^0$.*
5. *The induced semi-invariant measure on X is a G-invariant measure.*
6. *$\rho(G)$ is volume preserving.*
7. *$\rho(G)$ is unipotent.*

Proof. If ρ is transitive then $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq 0$, by Theorem 8.12. By Proposition 8.8 and Proposition 8.9, this implies that $\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \equiv 1$ on $N_G(H)^0$, and also that the induced semi-invariant measure is invariant by $N_G(H)^0$. Since G is nilpotent this implies (cf. Example C.1) that the character $\det \ell(\rho)$ is trivial on $N_G(H)^0$. Moreover $\rho(G) \leq \overline{\rho(G)}^{\mathbb{R}} = TU$, where T is a central subgroup of diagonalisable elements, and U is unipotent. By functoriality we may assume now that $\rho(G) = \overline{\rho(G)}^{\mathbb{R}}$. It follows that $\det \ell(\rho) = 1$ on the central subgroup T. Since $\det = 1$ on U, $\rho(G)$ is volume preserving, and, in particular, the induced measure is an invariant measure. By Corollary 7.8, $\rho(G)$ is volume preserving implies that ρ is transitive. ☐

Moreover, transitivity of a nilpotent affine representation is determined by the relative class $\bar{c}(\rho_L, x)$ at an arbitrary point $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$:

Corollary 8.18 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine representation, where G is a connected nilpotent Lie group, and let $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. Then ρ is a transitive representation if and only if*

$$0 \neq \bar{c}(\rho, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) .$$

Proof. Assume that $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is different from zero. In particular, this implies that $0 \neq \Phi_x \in C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$. Thus, the representation ρ is prehomogeneous at x. By Theorem 8.17, ρ is transitive. ☐

Note, that in the situation of Theorem 8.17, $\mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}} = \mathbb{R}$ is the trivial $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

Theorem 8.17, together with Corollary 8.18 characterise transitivity for nilpotent affine actions. In particular, the results summarise various special cases, which have been obtained in the literature before: see [67] for étale affine representations of nilpotent groups, [45] for relation with the absolute classe $\bar{c}(\rho)$, and [34] for nilpotent representations with invariant scalar product (compare also sections 6.6, 6.7).

8.3.1 Minimal classes for algebraic group actions

Let ρ be an algebraic representation.

Definition 8.19 A relative class $\bar{c}(x, \rho)$ will be called *minimal* if $N_G(H)$ contains a maximal $\mathbb{R}$-split torus in G, where $H = G_x$.

For example, if G is nilpotent then $\bar{c}(x, \rho)$ is minimal, for all $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. Every reductive subgroup of G fixes a point $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. Therefore, every ρ has minimal relative classes $\bar{c}(x, \rho)$.

The following result generalises Corollary 8.18:

Theorem 8.20 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an affine representation, where G is algebraic. Let $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$ such that $\bar{c}(\rho, x)$ is minimal, and put $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_x$. Then ρ is transitive if and only if $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is different from zero.*

Proof. Assume $\bar{c}(\rho, x) \neq 0$. Then, in particular, ρ is prehomogeneous at x. Since $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is different from zero, $\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \equiv 1$, on $N_G(H)^0$. In particular, by (8.1), $N_G(H)^0$ is contained in the stability group of Φ_x. By Proposition A.3, the orbit $c(G)^*\Phi_x$ is closed in $C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$. Hence, Proposition 7.7 implies that ρ is transitive. □

8.4 Prehomogeneous representations with reductive stabiliser

A homogeneous space G/H is called *reductive* if the adjoint action of H on $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive. In particular, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ acts reductively on $\mathfrak{g}$, and there is a $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant direct decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a vector subspace isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ acts reductively on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous affine representation. We call ρ *reductive* if, for some $x \in U_\rho$, the isotropy algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ for the homogeneous space G/H, $H = G_x$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$.[1] In particular H^0 is a reductive subgroup in $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. Also ρ is reductive if $\mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$. This covers the important special case of étale affine representations, as well.

The character χ Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a prehomogeneous representation, where G is connected. We define the *characteristic character*

$$\chi = \chi(\rho) : \; G \;\to\; \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

by putting

$$\chi(\rho)(g) \;=\; \det \ell(g) \det \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1} \,.$$

Let $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ denote the adjoint representation of $N_G(H)$ restricted to $\mathfrak{h}$. On $N_G(H)$ we have $\chi(\rho) = (\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{h}})^{-1} \, \chi_{G/H}(\rho)$.

Lemma 8.21 *Let ρ be a reductive representation, where G is connected. Then $\chi(\rho) = \chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ on $N_G(H)^0$. Moreover, $\chi(\rho) \equiv 1$ if and only if $\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \equiv 1$ on $N_G(H)^0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is a reductive Lie Algebra $\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(h) = 1$, for all $h \in H^0$. Let $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the elements of $\mathfrak{p}$, centralised by $\mathfrak{h}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ acts reductively,

$$\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}^{\mathfrak{h}} \tag{8.2}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) + [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{h}] \,. \tag{8.3}$$

It follows from (8.2) that $\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}(n) = 1$, for all $n \in N_G(H)^0$. Therefore, $\chi(\rho) = \chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ on $N_G(H)^0$. In particular, $\chi(\rho) \equiv 1$, implies $\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \equiv 1$ on $N_G(H)^0$.

---

[1] A particular important class of examples appears in the work of Sato and Kimura [98] on regular reductive prehomogeneous vector spaces, where both G and H are assumed reductive. See also [68], and Example 8.4.

For the converse, assume that $\chi_{G/H}(\rho) \equiv 1$ on $N_G(H)^0$. Then the corresponding infinitesimal character $\bar{\chi}_{G/H} : \mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \to \mathbb{R}$ vanishes. Since $\bar{\chi}_{G/H}$ is the restriction of the infinitesimal character $\bar{\chi} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$, which belongs to $\chi(\rho)$, the decomposition (8.3) implies that $\bar{\chi} \equiv 0$. Hence, the character $\chi(\rho)$ is constant. □

Note, in particular, that $\chi(\rho) \equiv 1$ on H.

Corollary 8.22 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a reductive prehomogeneous representation, which is transitive. Then $|\chi(\rho)| \equiv 1$.*

Recall (cf. [73, 60]) that $\mathfrak{h}$ is called *not homologous to zero in* $\mathfrak{g}$ if the restriction homomorphism $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \to H^q(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$ is surjective, for all q. For example, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{j} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{j}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$ then $\mathfrak{h}$ is not homologous to zero. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$, then surjectivity of $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \to H^q(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$, $q = \dim \mathfrak{h}$, is sufficient for $\mathfrak{h}$ being not homologous to zero. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is not homologous to zero then (cf. [60, Theorem 12]) it follows, in particular, that the natural map on cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda) \to H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$ is injective, for any one-dimensional module $\mathbb{R}_\lambda$.

We summarise:

Corollary 8.23 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a reductive prehomogeneous representation. If $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_x$ is not homologous to zero in $\mathfrak{g}$, then the following are equivalent:*

1. *ρ is transitive.*
2. *$|\chi(\rho)| \equiv 1$.*
3. *$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq \{0\}$.*
4. *$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \ni \bar{c}(\rho) \neq 0$.*

Remark If $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$, we could (in lieu of Lemma 8.21) have applied Poincaré duality for relative cohomology (see [69]). Thereby,

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda) \cong H^{n-k}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{ad} \otimes \mathbb{R}^*_\lambda)^* ,$$

where $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. The module $\mathbb{R}_{ad} \otimes \mathbb{R}^*_{\bar{\ell}}$ is determined by the character $-\bar{\chi}$. Moreover,

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{ad} \otimes \mathbb{R}^*_{\bar{\ell}}) = H^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\chi}}) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{\chi}}$$

is non-zero if and only if $\bar{\chi} = 0$.

8.4.1 Prehomogeneous domains of reductive algebraic representations

Corollary 8.24 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be a reductive prehomogeneous representation, which is algebraic. Then ρ is transitive if and only if the absolute class $\bar{c}(\rho) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_\ell)$ is non-vanishing.*

Proof. If ρ is a transitive algebraic representation then $\rho(G) = UT$, where T is maximal reductive. In particular, $T = G_x$ fixes a point $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. By Theorem 8.12, $0 \neq \bar{c}(\rho, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$. Since $\mathfrak{t}$ is not homologous to zero in $\mathfrak{g}$, its image $\bar{c}(\rho) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_\ell)$ is non-zero. $\square$

Example 8.4 (Regular prehomogeneous vector spaces) *Let ρ be a linear prehomogeneous algebraic representation. If H is reductive then the set U_ρ is the complement of a hypersurface, and it is also the set of real points of an affine algebraic variety. (See, for example, [68, p.41ff], for reference.) In particular, any open orbit $\rho(G)x$ is (a connected component) of the set of real points of an affine algebraic variety. If furthermore $\rho(G)$ is reductive then the representation space $(\rho(G), \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a* regular *prehomogeneous vector space. By [68, Proposition 2.26] there exists a* non-degenerate *relative invariant for $\rho(G)$ which has character* $(\det \rho)^2$. *In particular,* $\chi_\rho = \det \rho \neq 1$. It follows, in particular, that $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\ell) = \{0\}$, for a regular prehomogeneous vector space.

Using arguments as in [68, loc. cit.], we note:

Proposition 8.25 *Let ρ be a prehomogeneous algebraic representation. If H is reductive then the affine homogeneous domain U_ρ is the complement of a hypersurface, and it is also the set of real points of an affine algebraic variety.*

In particular, in the situation of the proposition, there exists a relative polynomial invariant

$$\delta : \mathbb{A}^n \to \mathbb{R}\,,$$

satisfying $\delta\ \rho(g) = \tau(g)\,\delta$, for some character $\tau : G \to \mathbb{R}^{\neq 0}$, and

$$U_\rho = U_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^n \mid \delta(x) \neq 0\}\,.$$

One can show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between algebraic characters of G which factor over H, and the irreducible components of the complement of U_ρ. Hence, the group of characters, which factor over H is of rank one if $U_\rho \neq \mathbb{A}^n$. We conclude that $\chi(\rho)$ must be a power of τ.

Corollary 8.26 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an algebraic reductive prehomogeneous representation. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *ρ is transitive.*
2. *$|\chi(\rho)| \equiv 1$.*
3. *$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq \{0\}$.*
4. *$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \ni \bar{c}(\rho) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By the previous corollary, we have the equivalence of 1., 3. and 4. Assume that 1. holds. By the above remarks, this implies that the character $|\chi(\rho)|$ is constant. Conversely, if $|\chi(\rho)|$ is constant, then $\chi_{G/H}(\rho)$ is constant on $N_G(H)^0$. By Proposition 8.8, this implies 3. $\square$

By Corollary 7.8, the equivalences 1.-3. of Corollary 8.23 and Corollary 8.26 also hold if G is nilpotent. However, in general, $\chi(\rho) \equiv 1$ does not imply transitivity:

Example 8.5 *Consider the 2-dimensional linear prehomogeneous representation of the group* $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^2$*. It has open orbit* $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$*. The stabiliser* $H = G_x$*,* $x \neq 0$*, is a unipotent subgroup. Moreover,* $\chi_{\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})} \equiv 1$*, but* $\chi_{\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})/H} \neq 1$*. In particular,* $H^2(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$*.*

Also, the character χ may not be trivial for a transitive representation, as the following example shows:

Example 8.6 *Let* $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes H \leq \mathrm{Aff}(2)$*, where* $H \leq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *denotes the 2-dim. solvable group of upper triangular matrices, and* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *is the group of translations. Then* G *is transitive and* $\chi_G \neq 1$*.*

8.4.2 The case of étale affine representations

If the affine representation ρ is étale then $\mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$, and there are no relative versions of the characteristic class $c(\rho)$. As a special case of Corollary 8.23, we obtain:

Proposition 8.27 *Let* G *be a Lie group, and* $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ *be an affine étale representation. Then the following are equivalent*

1. ρ *is transitive.*
2. $\chi(\rho) \equiv 1$.
3. $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}}) \neq \{0\}$.

This result (in particular, the equivalence of 1. and 2.) appears in the work of Helmstetter [55], Kim [67], whereas the relation with the cohomology group $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\ell}})$ is introduced in [45]. It also plays a role in the theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces, see [68]. As shown in section 5.4, if ρ is an étale affine representation, the character χ relates to a relative invariant δ of ρ. This may also be interpreted as follows:

Example 8.7 *Any right-invariant volume form on* G *induces a volume form* η *on* U_ρ*. By comparison with the parallel volume* ν *on* $\mathbb{A}^n$*, we can write*

$$\eta = f \nu \ ,$$

for some function f *on* U_ρ*. It follows that* $\delta = f^{-1}$ *is a relative invariant for* G *with character* χ*, satisfying* $\delta\,\eta = \nu$*.*

Choose linear independent affine vector fields $\bar{X}_1, \dots \bar{X}_n$, whose flows generate $\rho(G)$, and such that $\eta(\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_n) = 1$. Then

$$\delta(x) = \nu(\bar{X}_1(x), \dots, \bar{X}_n(x)) \ .$$

It follows that the function δ is a polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and the zeros of δ describe the boundary of U_ρ.

9 Compact affine manifolds and prehomogeneous algebraic groups

Here, we develop a theme, which is contained in a series of papers [58, 46, 45] by Goldman and Hirsch. Their ideas show a strong link of the parallel volume conjecture with the theory of prehomogeneous affine representations, as presented in the previous two sections.

9.1 Holonomy of compact complete affine manifolds

A basic remark concerning compact complete affine manifolds is:

Theorem 9.1 *Let M be a compact complete affine manifold, and $\Gamma = h(\pi_1(M))$ its affine holonomy group. Then the Zariski closure $A(\Gamma)$ of Γ acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*

Proof. Let U be the unipotent radical of $A(\Gamma)$. Every orbit Ux is a contractible closed submanifold of $\mathbb{A}^n$ which is preserved by Γ. Since Γ acts properly discontinuously and freely on Ux, the quotient $\Gamma \backslash Ux$ is a compact manifold. Considering the cohomological dimension $cd(\Gamma)$ of the group Γ over $\mathbb{Z}$ (see [22]), we have $n = \dim \mathbb{A}^n = \dim M = cd(\Gamma) = \dim \Gamma \backslash Ux$. Thus $Ux = \mathbb{A}^n$. $\square$

Polynomial volume forms A volume form on M is called a *polynomial volume form* if it is expressed by a polynomial function in the affine coordinates of M. The following observation is thus an immediate consequence of Theorem 9.1 and Corollary 8.16:

Proposition 9.2 *Let M be a compact complete affine manifold. Put $G = A(\Gamma)$, and $H = G_x$, for some $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *M has a parallel volume form.*
2. *M has a polynomial volume form.*
3. *The homogeneous space G/H admits a G-invariant volume.*

In particular, *every polynomial volume form on a compact complete affine manifold is parallel.*

It seems natural to conjecture that on a compact affine manifold M a polynomial volume form must be parallel. In [41], this is proved under the assumption that the holonomy group Γ of M is nilpotent. Not much seems to be known in the general case.

Question 6 *Let M be a compact affine manifold. Is every polynomial volume form on M parallel?*

Example 9.1 *Let $\rho : G \to \mathrm{Aff}(n)$ be an étale affine representation. Assume that G admits a uniform lattice Γ. Every polynomial volume form on*

$$M = \Gamma \backslash G$$

lifts to a Γ-left-invariant volume form μ on G, which is polynomial. We may integrate μ with respect to a finite G-invariant volume λ on $\Gamma \backslash G$, to obtain a polynomial volume form η, which is left-invariant on G. Explicitly, if X_i are vector fields on G,

$$\eta(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} l_g^* \mu \, (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \, d\lambda \, .$$

Since Γ is uniform, G is unimodular and, therefore, η is also right-invariant on G. As in Example 8.7, we can write

$$\eta = f \nu \, ,$$

where $\delta = f^{-1}$ is a polynomial. Since η is polynomial, also f is a polynomial. It follows that f must be constant. Hence, the parallel volume on G is left-invariant. This implies that G and M are complete (see Corollary 5.7). By the above, since M is complete, the polynomial volume form μ is parallel.

9.2 Holonomy of compact volume preserving affine manifolds

Let M be a compact affine manifold, and $\Gamma = hol(\pi_1(M))$ its affine holonomy group. We put $A(\Gamma)$ for the Zariski closure of Γ in $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. The following striking observation is the main result of [45].

Theorem 9.3 (Goldman-Hirsch, [45]) *Let M be a compact affine manifold with parallel volume. Then $A(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$.*

We put $G = A(\Gamma)$, and $H = G_x$, for some $x \in \mathbb{A}^n$. The proof of Theorem 9.3, which we will explain below, then shows:

Corollary 9.4 *Let M be a compact affine manifold with parallel volume. Then the absolute characteristic class $\bar{c}(G) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ is non-zero.*

We also obtain the following strong restriction on the homogeneous spaces G/H, which may appear as Zariski closures of the holonomy groups of compact volume preserving affine manifolds.

Corollary 9.5 *Let M be a compact affine manifold with parallel volume. Then*

$$\dim H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) = 1 \, ,$$

and the natural homomorphism

$$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) \to H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$$

is injective.

Proof. By Theorem 9.3, $A(\Gamma)$ acts transitively, and by Corollary 9.4, the absolute class $\bar{c}(G) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R})$ is non-zero. Since, as described in section 8.1, $\bar{c}(G)$ is the image of a relative class $\bar{c}(G, x) \in H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$, the claim follows. □

Here is an important consequence of Theorem 9.3 concerning the *divisibility of homogeneous domains.*

Corollary 9.6 *Let U be a homogenous domain which is divisible by a properly discontinuous group Γ of affine transformations. Then either $U = \mathbb{A}^n$ or there exists an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\det \gamma > 1$.*

Proof. Follows immediately, since $A(\Gamma)^0 \leq \mathrm{Aff}(U)$ preserves U. (See section 6.4.) □

More generally, Theorem 9.3 implies that the development image of a compact volume preserving affine manifold M is not contained in a proper semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbb{A}^n$. (See [45]).

One can also use Theorem 9.3 to deduce:

Corollary 9.7 ([45]) *A compact homogeneous affine manifold M with parallel volume is complete.*

Proof. In fact, the universal cover X of a homogeneous affine manifold M develops onto an affine homogeneous domain U, and the development map is a covering map. If M is volume preserving U is divisible by a a volume preserving properly discontinuous group Γ of affine transformations. Hence, $U = \mathbb{A}^n$. It follows that the development map of M is a covering map onto $\mathbb{A}^n$. Therefore, it is a diffeomorphism. Thus, M is complete. □

See section 4.2, for an independent proof of the latter fact.

For an application of Theorem 9.3 concerning the structure of the groups of isometries of compact flat Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, see section 3.3.

9.2.1 Proof of Theorem 9.3

We outline the proof of Theorem 9.3 using the main ideas of [45], which relate nicely to the concepts discussed in section 8.1. The basic new tools which we require stem from the cohomology theory of discrete groups (as documented for example in [22]), and the cohomology of algebraic linear groups G, as developed in [59].

Cohomology of algebraic linear groups Let $G = UT$ be an algebraic linear group, where U is the unipotent radical and T is a maximal reductive subgroup. We let $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{u}$, $\mathfrak{t}$ denote their corresponding Lie algebras, which are subalgebras of $\mathfrak{aff}(n)$. Let V be a rational G-module. The algebraic cohomology groups $H^i_{alg}(G, V)$ are defined in [59]. For any subgroup Γ of G, there exists then a natural restriction homomorphism

$$r_\Gamma : H^i_{alg}(G, V) \to H^i(\Gamma, V)$$

into the ordinary cohomology groups of Γ. Moreover, the groups $H^i_{alg}(G, V)$ may be computed by the Hochschild isomorphism

$$hs : H^i_{alg}(G, V) \to H^i(\mathfrak{u}, V)^T ,$$

which identifies $H^i_{alg}(G, V)$ with the T-invariants of the Lie algebra cohomology of the unipotent radical. (See [59] for reference.)

Discrete cohomology of Γ, and cohomology of M Let M be a manifold, $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$. There exists a natural homomorphism

$$q : H^i(\Gamma, V) \to H^i(M, \mathcal{V})$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ is the local coefficient system on M induced by V. If M has contractible universal cover X then q is an isomorphism. Moreover q is an isomorphism on H^1. (See for example [22, VII, §7] or [77, IV, §11].)

The proof of Theorem 9.3 then builds on the following two remarks:

Functoriality of the characteristic class u^n Let $\Gamma = hol(\pi_1(M))$ be the holonomy of a compact volume preserving affine manifold, and $G = A(\Gamma) = UT$ its Zariski closure in $\mathrm{Aff}(n)$. As remarked in section 8.1.1, the translation part t, defines a characteristic class u^n, within the n-th cohomology groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{R} = \bigwedge^n \mathbb{R}^n$. The characteristic class u (and therefore u^n) is naturally defined in the discrete group-, algebraic group- and Lie algebra cohomology theory, and, as observed in [45], it is compatible with the restriction homomorphisms and the Hochschild isomorphism hs.

Representation of u^n in de Rham cohomology It is proved in [46] that $q(u^n) \in H^n(M, \mathbb{R})$ is represented by the parallel volume form in the de Rham cohomology group $H^n_{dR}(M, \mathbb{R})$ of M .

Proof of Theorem 9.3 Since M is compact, the de Rham cohomology class $q(u^n)$ of the parallel volume form on M does not vanish. Therefore, in particular $u^n \neq 0$. By the Hochschild isomorphism this also holds for $u^n \in H^n(\mathfrak{u}, \mathbb{R})$. As remarked in section 8.1.1, u^n corresponds to the class $\bar{c}(\mathfrak{u})$, which is therefore is non-vanishing. Therefore, U acts transitively on $\mathbb{A}^n$. □

A Linear algebraic groups

We provide some background material and basic facts from the theory of linear algebraic groups and algebraic group actions.

A.1 Definition of linear algebraic groups

A subgroup $\mathbf{G} \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a *linear algebraic group* if it is the zero locus of polynomial equations in its matrix entries. A subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be a *real linear algebraic group* if it is closed with respect to the Zariski topology on $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. A real linear algebraic group G is thus defined as the real zero locus of a set of real polynomials in its matrix entries. In particular, G is of the form $G = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$, for some linear algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$, which is defined over the reals. A homomorphism $\rho : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{L}$ between linear algebraic groups is called a *morphism of algebraic groups* or algebraic homomorphism if it may be expressed by polynomials in the matrix entries of $\mathbf{G}$.

If $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is any subgroup, we let $\overline{G}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote its real Zariski closure, which is the smallest real algebraic subgroup containing G. Correspondingly, let $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Then its Zariski closure $\overline{G}^{Z} \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the smallest linear algebraic group containing G.

A.2 Structure theory

Unipotent groups A linear algebraic group is called *unipotent* if it is conjugate to a subgroup of the group of all upper triangular matrices which have only 1 as eigenvalue. All its elements are unipotent matrices. Every connected subgroup of unipotent matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a unipotent real linear algebraic group. Also every unipotent linear algebraic group is connected. (See [21] or [91] for more details.)

Reductive groups A subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is called *reductive* if every G-invariant subspace in $\mathbb{R}^n$ has a G-invariant complement in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Main examples are compact subgroups, semisimple groups and (complex-) diagonalisable groups. A linear algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ is reductive if and only if its unipotent radical $\mathbf{U}$ is trivial. A connected abelian group of semisimple elements is called an algebraic torus.

Levi-splitting Every linear algebraic group $\mathbf{G} \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ splits as a semidirect product of linear algebraic groups $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}$, where $\mathbf{H}$ is reductive and $\mathbf{U}$ is the maximal unipotent normal subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. The group $\mathbf{U}$ is called the *unipotent radical* of $\mathbf{G}$. The splitting induces a corresponding splitting of the groups of real points. In particular, every real linear algebraic group $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ splits as a semidirect product of linear algebraic groups $G = HU(G)$, where H is reductive and $U(G)$ is the unipotent radical of G. See [21, 91].

A.3 Orbit closure

Every orbit $\mathbf{G}x$ of a linear algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ on a vector space (or algebraic variety) contains a closed orbit in its Zariski closure $\overline{\mathbf{G}x}^{Z}$, and the orbit $\mathbf{G}x$ is open in its closure. This is called the *closed orbit lemma*, see [21, 1.8]. By the latter fact and [88, I.10], *orbit closure in the Zariski-topology and in the the Euclidean (Hausdorff) topology coincide.* Concerning the topology of real algebraic group actions we have:

Proposition A.1 *If $\mathbf{G}$ is a linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{R}$, then the real points $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the orbit $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{G}x$ form a finite union of orbits of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{X}$ is closed, the orbits of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in the real algebraic variety $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are closed in the Euclidean topology.*

For this result, see [17, Proposition 2.3]. If $\mathbf{G}$ is reductive also the converse holds, cf. [20].

Proposition A.2 (See [20, 21, 97]) *Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a unipotent linear algebraic group, which acts on a vector space (or on an affine algebraic variety). Then every orbit of $\mathbf{U}$ is closed.*

The analogous result holds for actions of unipotent real linear algebraic groups. That is, every orbit of a unipotent real algebraic group is closed in the real Zariski-topology.

For algebraic actions, which are defined over $\mathbb{R}$, the preceding result generalises as follows. Let $\mathbf{G} \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a linear algebraic group which is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Recall that a torus $\mathbf{T} \leq \mathbf{G}$ is called $\mathbb{R}$-split if it can be diagonalised with respect to a real basis.

Proposition A.3 (See [20]) *If $\mathbf{G}$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, and the stabiliser $\mathbf{G}_x$, of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, contains a maximal $\mathbb{R}$-split torus of $\mathbf{G}$ then the orbit $\mathbf{G}x$ is Zariski closed.*

A corresponding result holds for real algebraic group actions. Namely, if G_x contains the maximal connected diagonalisable subgroup A of G, then Gx is closed in the Euclidean topology. (This can be proved directly as a consequence of Proposition A.2 and the Iwasawa decomposition (see [91]) $G = KAN = KNA$, where K is compact, N is unipotent.)

B Lie algebra cohomology

Here we introduce Lie algebra cohomology, and relative Lie algebra cohomology. We compute the top cohomology group in relative Lie algebra cohomology with one-dimensional coefficients.

B.1 Definition of Lie algebra cohomology

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over the reals. (We shall use the real numbers as ground field, for convenience.) Let $V = V_\lambda$ be the $\mathfrak{g}$-module, corresponding to an action $\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Let

$$C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) = (\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g})^* \otimes V$$

be the module of k-forms on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in V. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ by the adjoint action on $\bigwedge^k \mathfrak{g}^*$ twisted with λ. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the corresponding operator $L_X : C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) \to C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is called the Lie derivative. For $\omega \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, we put $\iota_X \omega \in C^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ to denote the contraction with X. Then the following commutation formula holds:

$$\iota_Y \, L_X = L_X \, \iota_Y - \iota_{[X,Y]} \; . \tag{B.1}$$

The boundary operator $d_V : C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) \to C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a differential of degree one, which commutes with the operators L_X. It is defined inductively by the relation

$$\iota_X \, d_V + d_V \, \iota_X = L_X \; . \tag{B.2}$$

Recall, that d_V may be explicitly computed as

$$\begin{aligned} d_V \omega \, (Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k) = & \sum_{l=1}^{k} (-1)^{l+1} \lambda(Y_l) \Big(\omega(Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Y_l} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k) \Big) \\ & + \sum_{r<s} (-1)^{r+s} \omega([Y_r, Y_s] \wedge Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Y_r} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Y_s} \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k) \; . \end{aligned}$$

As usual, $Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \{\omega \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) \mid d_V \omega = 0\}$ denotes the group of k-cocycles, and $B^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \{d_V \eta \mid \eta \in C^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)\} \subset Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ the group of coboundaries. The complex $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V), d_V)$ is called the *Koszul-complex.* Its cohomology vector spaces $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, V_\lambda) = Z^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)/B^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ are called the cohomology groups of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in V.

B.2 Relative Lie algebra cohomology

Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be a subalgebra. We shall also consider the relative cohomology groups $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, V)$. Let $C^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, V)$ denote the subspace of cochains in $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ which vanish if one argument is contained in $\mathfrak{h}$. Note that $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, V) \cong \Lambda^k(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}^*, V)$. As follows from (B.2), the $\mathfrak{h}$-invariants

$$C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, V)^{\mathfrak{h}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, V) \; ,$$

are preserved by d_V, and thus form a subcomplex $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, V)$ of the Koszul complex $(C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V), d_V)$. This subcomplex is called the complex of relative cochains. Its cohomology groups are the *relative cohomology groups* $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, V)$. Note, in particular, that the inclusion of cochain complexes, induces natural homomorphisms

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, V) \to H^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) \; .$$

See [60, 69, 73] for detailed reference on Lie algebra (co-) homology.

B.2.1 Top cohomology group with one-dimensional coefficients

Note that $H^k(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, V) = \{0\}$, $k > n = \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a one dimensional representation. We shall compute the top cohomology group $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$.

Let $\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ denote the normaliser of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathrm{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the adjoint representation, $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} : \mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ the quotient representation. Note, in particular, that (induced by the adjoint action) $\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ acts on the one dimensional module $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$. Since $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \Lambda^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*$, $\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ acts with the character $-\mathrm{trace}\,\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$. The Lie derivatives L_X, for $X \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, preserve $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda) \subseteq C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$, and, thereby, $\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ acts with the character

$$\bar{\chi}_\lambda = \lambda - \mathrm{trace}\,\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} \tag{B.3}$$

on $C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$, that is, for all $\tau \in C^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$,

$$L_X \tau = \bar{\chi}_\lambda(X)\, \tau \ . \tag{B.4}$$

Now the following holds:

Proposition B.1 *Let $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Then the group $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$ is non-zero if and only if $\bar{\chi}_\lambda \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda) \neq \{0\}$. Then, in particular, there exists a non-zero generator τ of the module $C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \lambda) = (\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)^{\mathfrak{h}}$. We compute the boundary operator $d_\lambda : C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \lambda) \to C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \lambda)$: Let $\omega \in \left(\Lambda^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*\right)^{\mathfrak{h}}$ be a relative $n-1$ cochain. By duality in $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R})$, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, such that $\iota_X \tau = \omega$. Moreover, by (B.1), $0 = L_H \omega = L_H \iota_X \tau = \iota_{[H,X]} \tau$, for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. In fact, this implies that $X \in \mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Using (B.2), we compute

$$d_\lambda \omega = d_\lambda \iota_X \tau = L_X \tau = \bar{\chi}_\lambda(X) \tau \ . \tag{B.5}$$

Therefore, if $\bar{\chi}_\lambda \neq 0$, $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda) = \{0\}$.

For the converse, assume $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda) = \{0\}$. Then either $(\Lambda^n \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}^*)^{\mathfrak{h}} = \{0\}$, or $d_\lambda \neq 0$. In the first case, we must have $\bar{\chi}_\lambda(H) \neq 0$, for some $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. In the second case, we may use the computation of the boundary (B.5), to conclude $\bar{\chi}_\lambda \neq 0$. □

The special case, $H^n(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$, $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is unimodular, is due to Koszul [73].

Example B.1 *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent then the group $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$ is non-zero if and only if $\lambda \equiv 0$ on $\mathfrak{n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.*

For an interpretation of $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_\lambda)$ concerning invariant measures on homogeneous spaces, see Proposition C.3.

C Invariant measures on homogeneous spaces

We briefly give some standard background material on the existence of invariant measures on homogeneous spaces. One may consult [95][Chapter I] or [113] for more detailed reference. We then proceed to show that the top relative Lie algebra cohomology group with one-dimensional coefficients, which is associated to a semi-invariant measure on a homogeneous space, carries information about the measure preserving automorphisms of the space. This extends a well known non-vanishing result of Koszul [73] on the top cohomology of unimodular Lie groups.

C.1 Semi-invariant and invariant measures

Let G be a Lie group, $H \leq G$ is a closed subgroup. We put $X = G/H$ for the associated G-homogeneous space, $n = \dim X$. Let $L_g : X \to X$ denote left-multiplication with $g \in G$. A Borel measure μ on X is called *semi-invariant* with character λ if there exists a continuous homomorphism $\lambda : G \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ such that $L_g^* \mu = \lambda(g)\mu$, for all $g \in G$. The measure μ is called *invariant* if $\lambda \equiv 1$.

Haar measure and unimodular character Every locally compact group G has a (up to scalar multiple) unique (left)-invariant measure $\mu = \mu_G$, which is called the *Haar measure* of G.

Let $R_g : G \to G$ denote right-multiplication with $g \in G$. Then $R_g^* \mu = \Delta_G(g)\mu$ is another Haar measure for G. The homomorphism

$$\Delta = \Delta_G : G \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

is called the *unimodular character* of G. If $\Delta_G \equiv 1$ the Haar-Measure is also right-invariant. Therefore, G has a *biinvariant measure* if and only if $\Delta_G \equiv 1$. In this case, G is called *unimodular.*

Since G is a Lie group, the Haar measure can be computed by integration relative to a left-invariant n-form $\omega \neq 0$ on G, $n = \dim G$. We have

$$\Delta_G(g) = |\det \mathrm{Ad}(g)| \ ,$$

where $\det \mathrm{Ad}(g)$ is the determinant of the adjoint representation. In particular, G is unimodular if and only if the determinant of the adjoint representation has absolute value one.

Existence of semi-invariant measures Not every homogeneous space admits an invariant measure. A precise criterion is as follows:

For $h \in H$, define $\Delta_{G/H}(h) = \Delta_G(h)\Delta_H(h)^{-1}$.

Proposition C.1 ([95][Lemma 1.4]) *The homogeneous space $X = G/H$ admits a (unique up to scalar) semi-invariant measure with character λ if and only if, for all $h \in H$,*

$$\lambda(h) = \Delta_{G/H}(h) \ .$$

In particular, X admits an invariant measure if and only if $\Delta_{G/H} \equiv 1$ on H.

Let $\mathrm{Ad}_{G/H}$ denote the adjoint representation of H on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$. Then, for all $h \in H$, $\Delta_{G/H}(h) = |\det \mathrm{Ad}_{G/H}(h)|$.

C.2 The unimodular character of $X = G/H$

Let $N_G(H)$ denote the normaliser of H in G. The adjoint representation $G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a quotient representation

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{G/H} : N_G(H) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \ .$$

Note that the restriction of $\mathrm{Ad}_{G/H}$ to H corresponds to the isotropy representation of H on the tangent space of X at H.

For every $g \in N_G(H)$, define

$$C(g) = L_g R_g^{-1} : X \to X \ ,$$

where R_g denotes right-multiplication on X. Let μ be a semi-invariant measure on X with character λ. The relation

$$C(g)^* \mu = \Delta_{G/H}(g)\mu,$$

defines a unimodular character,

$$\Delta_{G/H} : N_G(H) \to \mathbb{R}^{>0} \ ,$$

independently of λ. In fact, $\Delta_{G/H} = |\det \mathrm{Ad}_{G/H}|$. Obviously, we have:

Lemma C.2 *The semi-invariant measure μ with character λ is right-invariant by $g \in N_G(H)$ if and only if $\lambda(g)\, \Delta_{G/H}^{-1}(g) = 1$.*

In addition, we obtain the following:

Proposition C.3 *Let $X = G/H$ be a homogeneous space and μ a semi-invariant measure with (smooth) character λ. Let $\bar{\lambda} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the derivative of λ. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *μ is invariant by the right action of $N_G(H)^0$ on X.*
2. *$\chi_\lambda = \lambda\, \Delta_{G/H}^{-1} : \ N_G(H)^0 \to \mathbb{R}^{>0} \ \equiv 1$.*
3. *$H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\lambda}}) \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. This a direct consequence of the above lemma and Proposition B.1. □

Example C.1 *Let G be nilpotent. Then $\Delta_{G/H} \equiv 1$. Therefore, $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}_{\bar{\lambda}}) \neq \{0\}$ is equivalent to $\lambda \cong 1$ on $N_G(H)^0$.*

Corollary C.4 *Let $X = G/H$ be a homogeneous space which admits an invariant measure μ. Then μ is right-invariant by the action of $N_G(H)^0$ if and only if $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{R}) \neq \{0\}$.*

As a special case of the corollary, we have the well known theorem of Koszul [73], which states that $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$ if and only if G is unimodular.

References

[1] H. Abels, *Properly discontinuous groups of affine transformations. A survey*, Geometriae Dedicata **87** (2001), 309-333.

[2] S. Adams, G. Stuck, *The isometry group of a compact Lorentz manifold. I, II.* Invent. Math. **129** (1997), no. 2, 239-261, 263-287.

[3] A. Aubert, A. Medina, *Groupes de Lie pseudo-riemanniens plats*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 55 (2003), no. 4, 487-506.

[4] L. Auslander, *The structure of complete locally affine manifolds*, Topology **3** (1964) suppl. 1, 131-139.

[5] L. Auslander, *Simply transitive groups of affine motions*, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), no. 4, 809-826.

[6] L. Auslander, L. Markus, *Flat Lorentz 3-manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Soc. Math. **30** (1959).

[7] L. Auslander, M. Kuranishi, *On the holonomy group of locally Euclidean spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **65** (1957), 411-415.

[8] O. Baues, *Left-symmetric algebras for* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, Transactions of the AMS, **351** (1999), no. 7.

[9] O. Baues, *Gluing affine two-manifolds with polygons*, Geom. Dedicata **75** (1999), no. 1, 33-56

[10] O. Baues, *Varieties of discontinuous groups*, in *Crystallographic groups and their generalizations*, Contemporary Mathematics **262** (2000), 147-158.

[11] O. Baues, *Finite extensions and unipotent shadows of affine crystallographic groups*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **335** (2002), no. 10, 785-788.

[12] O. Baues, V. Cortés, *Simply transitive abelian groups of symplectic type*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **52** (2002), no. 6, 1729-1751.

[13] O. Baues, W.M. Goldman, *Is the deformation space of complete affine structures on the 2-torus smooth?*, "Geometry and dynamics", 69-89, Contemp. Math., **389**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[14] L. Bieberbach, Über die Bewegungsgruppen der Euklidischen Räume, Math. Ann. 70 (1911), 297-336.

[15] L. Bieberbach, Über die Bewegungsgruppen der Euklidischen Räume II, Math. Ann. 72 (1912), 400-412.

[16] A. Borel, J.-P. Serre, *Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), 111-164.

[17] A. Borel, Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 485-535.

[18] Y. Benoist, *Une nilvariété non affine*, J. Diff. Geom. **41** (1995), 21-52.

[19] J.-P. Benzécri, *Sur les variétés localement affines et localement projectives*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **88** (1960), 229-332.

[20] D. Birkes, *Orbits of linear algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **93** (1971), 459-475.

[21] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **126**, Springer-Verlag, (1991).

[22] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **87**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, (1982).

[23] R.L. Bryant, *Bochner-Kähler metrics*, J. A.M.S. **14** (2001), no. 3, 623-715.

[24] D. Burde, *Affine structures on nilmanifolds*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), 599-616.

[25] D. Burde, *Left-symmetric algebras, or pre-Lie algebras in geometry and physics*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **4** (2006), no. 3, 323-357.

[26] P. Buser, H. Karcher, *Gromov's almost flat manifolds*, Astérisque, **81**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, **1981**

[27] Y. Carrière, *Autour de la conjecture de L. Markus sur les variétés affines*, Invent. Math. **95** (1989), 743-753.

[28] V. Cortés, L. Schäfer, *Geometric structures on Lie groups with flat biinvariant metric*, preprint March 2008

[29] K. Dekimpe, M. Sadowski, A. Szczepański, *Spin structures on flat manifolds*, Monatsh. Math. **148** (2006), no. 4, 283-296.

[30] P. Doyle, J.P. Rossetti, *Tetra and Didi, the cosmic spectral twins*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 1227-1242.

[31] T. A. Drumm, W. Goldman, *Complete flat Lorentz 3-manifolds with free fundamental group*, Internat. J. Math. **1** (1990), 149-161.

[32] D. Duncan, E. Ihrig, *Incomplete flat homogeneous geometries*, Differential geometry: geometry in mathematical physics and related topics (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), 197-202, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 54, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[33] D. Duncan, E. Ihrig, *Translationally isotropic flat homogeneous manifolds with metric signature* $(n, 2)$, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **11** (1993), no. 1, 3-24.

[34] D. Duncan, E. Ihrig, *Flat pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with a nilpotent transitive group of isometries*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **10** (1992), no. 1, 87-101.

[35] D. Duncan, E. Ihrig, *Homogeneous spacetimes of zero curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), no. 3, 785-795.

[36] *Lie groups and Lie algebras. II*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **21**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2000).

[37] R.D. Canary, D.B.A. Epstein, P. Green, *Notes on notes of Thurston*, Analytical and Geometric Aspects of Hyperbolic Space, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **111**, Cambridge University Press, (1984).

[38] J. Faraut, S. Gindikin, *Pseudo-Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type*, Topics in geometry, 123-154, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 20, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.

[39] D. Fried, *Flat spacetimes*, J. Differential Geom. **26** (1987), 385-396.

[40] D. Fried, W.M. Goldman, *Three-dimensional affine crystallographic groups*, Adv. in Math. **47** (1983), no. 1, 1-49.

[41] D. Fried, W.M. Goldman, M.W. Hirsch, *Affine manifolds with nilpotent holonomy*, Comment. Math. Helv. **56** (1981), no. 4, 487-523.

[42] P. Furness, D. Arrowsmith, *Locally symmetric spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **10** (1972), 487-499

[43] W.M. Goldman, *Geometric structures on manifolds and varieties of representations*, Geometry of group representations, (Boulder, Colorado, 1987), Contemporary Mathematics **74** (1988), 169-198.

[44] W.M. Goldman, *Projective geometry on manifolds*, Lecture notes v.0.3 (1988).

[45] W.M. Goldman, M.W. Hirsch, *Affine manifolds and orbits of algebraic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **295** (1986), no. 1, 175-198.

[46] W.M. Goldman, M.W. Hirsch, *The radiance obstruction and parallel forms on affine manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **286** (1984), no. 2, 629-649.

[47] W.M. Goldman, Y. Kamishima, *Topological rigidity of developing maps with applications to conformally flat structures*, Geometry of group representations, (Boulder, Colorado, 1987), Contemporary Mathematics **74** (1988), 199-203

[48] W.M. Goldman, Y. Kamishima, *The fundamental group of a compact flat Lorentz space form is virtually polycyclic*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), no. 1, 233-240.

[49] F. Grunewald, G. Margulis, *Transitive and quasitransitive actions of affine groups preserving a generalized Lorentz-structure*, J. Geom. Phys. **5** (1988), no. 4, 493-531.

[50] F. Grunewald, D. Segal, *On affine crystallographic groups*, J. Differential Geom. **40** (1994), no. 3, 563-594.

[51] M. Gromov, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **13** (1978), no. 2, 231-241.

[52] M. Guediri, *Compact flat spacetimes*, Differential Geom. Appl. **21** (2004), no. 3, 283-295.

[53] D. Guan, *On compact symplectic manifolds with Lie group symmetries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), no. 8, 3359-3373.

[54] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics **80**, Academic Press, Inc., 1978

[55] J. Helmstetter, *Radical d'une algèbre symétrique à gauche*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **29** (1979), no. 4, viii, 17-35.

[56] R. Hermann, *An incomplete compact homogeneous Lorentz metric*, J. Math. Mech. **13** (1964), 497-501.

[57] R. Hermann, *Geodesics and classical mechanics on Lie groups*, J. Mathematical Phys. **13** (1972), 460-464.

[58] M. W. Hirsch, *Flat manifolds and the cohomology of groups*, Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos., Univ. California, Santa Barbara, Calif., 1977), pp. 94-103, Lecture Notes in Math., **664**, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[59] G. Hochschild, *Cohomology of algebraic linear groups*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 492-519.

[60] G. Hochschild, J.-P. Serre, *Cohomology of Lie algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 591-603.

[61] K. Jo, *Differentiability of quasi-homogeneous convex affine domains*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **42** (2005), no. 3, 485-498.

[62] K. Jo, I. Kim, *Convex affine domains and Markus conjecture*, Math. Z. **248** (2004), no. 1, 173-182.

[63] K. Jo, *Quasi-homogeneous domains and convex affine manifolds*, Topology Appl. **134** (2003), no. 2, 123-146.

[64] S. Kaneyuki, *On classification of parahermitian symmetric spaces*, Tokyo Journal of Mathematics **8** (1985), 473-482.

[65] S. Kaneyuki, *Compactification of parahermitian symmetric spaces and its applications*, I: Tube type realizations, ”Proceedings of the III International Workshop, Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics” (H. D. Doebner, V. K. Dobrev, and J. Hilgert, eds.), World Scientific Publishers, 2000, pp. 63-74.

[66] S. Kaneyuki, M. Kozai, *Paracomplex structures and affine symmetric spaces*, Tokyo Journal of Mathematics **8** (1985), 81-98.

[67] H. Kim, *Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups*, J. Diff. Geom. **24** (1986), pp. 373-394.

[68] T. Kimura, *Introduction to prehomogeneous vector spaces*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **215**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[69] A.W. Knapp, *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and cohomology*, Mathematical Notes, **34**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.

[70] S. Kobayashi, “Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry”, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

[71] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, “Foundations of Differential Geometry, I”, John Wiley & Sons 1969.

[72] B. Kostant, D. Sullivan, *The Euler characteristic of an affine space form is zero*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), no. 5, 937-938.

[73] J. L. Koszul, *Homologie et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **78** (1950), 66-127.

[74] J. L. Koszul, *Domaines bornés homogènes et orbites des groupes de transformations affines*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **89** (1961), 515-533.

[75] J. L. Koszul, *Variétés localement plates et convexité*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 285-290.

[76] N.H. Kuiper, *Sur les surfaces localement affines*, Géométrie différentielle. Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Strasbourg 1953, 79-87.

[77] S. Maclane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **114**, (1963).

[78] A. I. Malcev, *On a class of homogeneous spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation **39** (1951).

[79] G. A. Margulis, *Complete affine locally flat manifolds with a free fundamental group*, J. Soviet Math. **134** (1987), 129-134.

[80] L. Markus, *Cosmological models in differential geometry*, mimeographed notes, Univ. of Minnesota, 1962, p. 58.

[81] Y. Matsushima, *On tube domains*, Symmetric spaces (Short Courses, Washington Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1969-1970), pp. 255-270. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 8, Dekker, New York, 1972.

[82] J. Marsden, *On completeness of homogeneous pseudo-riemannian manifolds*, Indiana Univ. J. **22** (1972/73), 1065-1066.

[83] R. Miatello, R. Podestá, *Spectral properties of four-dimensional compact flat manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **29** (2006), no. 1, 17-50.

[84] J. Milnor, *On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds*, Advances in Math. **25** (1977), no. 2, 178-187

[85] J. Milnor, *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*, Advances in Math. **21** (1976), no. 3, 293-329 .

[86] J. Milnor, *On the existence of a connection with curvature zero*, Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1958), 215-223.

[87] G.D. Mostow, *Homogeneous spaces with finite invariant measure*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 17-37.

[88] D. Mumford, *The red book of varieties and schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1358**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1988).

[89] T. Nagano, K. Yagi, *The affine structures on the real two-torus*, Osaka J. Math. **11** (1974), 181-210.

[90] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, (1983).

[91] A.L. Onishchik, É.B. Vinberg, *Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1990).

[92] F. Pfäffle, *The Dirac spectrum of Bieberbach manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **35** (4) (2000), 367-385.

[93] J. Scheuneman, *Examples of compact locally affine spaces*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1971), 589-592.

[94] J. Scheuneman, *Affine structures on three-step nilpotent Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1974), 451-454.

[95] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **68**, Springer-Verlag, (1972).

[96] J. G. Ratcliffe, *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **149**, Springer-Verlag, (1994).

[97] M. Rosenlicht, *On quotient varieties and the affine embedding of certain homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 211-223.

[98] M. Sato, T. Kimura, *A classification of irreducible prehomogeneous vector spaces and their relative invariants*, Nagoya Math. J. **65** (1977), 1-155.

[99] A. Schiemann, Ternary positive definite quadratic forms are determined by their theta series, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), no. 3, 507-517.

[100] D. Sullivan and W. Thurston, *Manifolds with canonical coordinate charts: some examples*, L'Enseignement Math. **29** (1983), 15-25.

[101] R. Tolimieri, *Homogeneous space with finite invariant measure*, Geometriae Dedicata **1** (1972), no. 1, 1-5.

[102] W. Thurston, *Three dimensional geometry and topology*, Vol.1, Princeton University Press, (1997)

[103] A. Tralle, K. Aleksy, J. Oprea, *Symplectic manifolds with no Kähler structure* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1661, (1997).

[104] V. S. Varadarajan, *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations*, Reprint of the 1974 edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **102**, Springer-Verlag, (1984)

[105] É. B. Vinberg, *The theory of homogeneous convex cones*, Transl. Moscow Math. Soc. **12** (1963), 340-403.

[106] J.A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, Publish or Perish (1984)

[107] J.A. Wolf, *Homogeneous manifolds of zero curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1962), 462-469.

[108] J.A. Wolf, *Isotropic manifolds of indefinite metric*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), 21-64.

[109] J.A. Wolf, *On the geometry and classification of absolute parallelisms. I*, J. Differential Geometry **6** (1971/72), 317-342.

[110] J.A. Wolf, *On the geometry and classification of absolute parallelisms. II*, J. Differential Geometry **7** (1972), 19-44.

[111] J.A. Wolf, *Flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **57** (1995), no. 1, 111-120.

[112] J.A. Wolf, *Isoclinic spheres and flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, Crystallographic groups and their generalizations (Kortrijk, 1999), 303-310, Contemp. Math., **262**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[113] A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, Actual. Sci. Ind., no. 869. Hermann et Cie., Paris, 1940.

[114] H. Whitney, *Elementary structure of real algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957) 545-556.

[115] K. Yagi, *On compact homogeneous affine manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 457-475.

[116] Ph. B. Zwart, W. M. Boothby, *On compact, homogeneous symplectic manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **30** (1980), no. 1, vi-vii, 129-157.

[117] A. Zeghib, The identity component of the isometry group of a compact Lorentz manifold, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), no. 2, 321-333.

[118] R. J. Zimmer, *On the automorphism group of a compact Lorentz manifold and other geometric manifolds*, Invent. Math. **83** (1986), no. 3, 411-424.